

**Consistent and Robust Bayes Procedures for
Location based on Partial Information.**

By

Kjell A. Doksum
University of California, Berkeley

and

Albert Y. Lo
SUNY, Buffalo

Technical Report No. 82
November 1986
(revised August 1988)

Research partially supported by NSF grants DMS85-41787 and
NSF MCS81-02523-01

Department of Statistics
University of California
Berkeley, California

Consistent and Robust Bayes Procedures for Location based on Partial Information.

by

Kjell A. Doksum
University of California, Berkeley

and

Albert Y. Lo
SUNY, Buffalo

Abstract. We consider Bayes procedures for a location parameter θ that are robust with respect to the shape of the distribution F of the data. The case where F is fixed (nonrandom) and the case where F has a Dirichlet distribution are both treated. The procedures are based on the posterior distributions of the location parameter given the partial information contained in a robust estimate of location. We show consistency and asymptotic normality of the procedures and give instances where the Bayes procedure based on the full sample diverges while the Bayes procedures based on partial information converges and is asymptotically normal. Finally, we show that robust confidence procedures can be given a Bayesian interpretation.

1. Introduction. In a frequentist setting, it has long been recognized that in semiparametric models it can be advantageous to use only part of the information contained in the sample. Thus partial likelihood methods, which in many instances corresponds to using only the information supplied by the ranks of the data, have been shown to be very useful for estimating the parameters in semiparametric models. See for instance Cox (1972, 1975), and Kalbfleisch and Prentice (1973, 1980),

In a Bayesian context, the use of partial information can be found in the work of Bernstein (1946), von Mises (1931), Pratt (1965), Savage and Saxena (see Savage (1969)), and Pettitt (1983) among others.

Research partially supported by NSF grants DMS85-41787 and NSF MCS81-02523-01.

We consider Bayes procedures for location based on the partial information contained in robust estimates of location. We find that these procedures are consistent in some of the cases considered by Diaconis and Freedman (1986a,b) where the Bayes procedures based on the full sample diverges. Moreover, the posterior distribution of the location parameter given a robust estimate converges to a normal distribution and the Bayes procedure inherits the robustness properties of the robust estimate used in the conditioning. This result can be regarded as giving a Bayesian interpretation to robust estimation theory.

Section 2 treats the case where the shape F of the distribution is nonrandom, known or unknown. Here we obtain robust confidence intervals for location with a Bayesian interpretation in the spirit of Rubin (1984). In Section 3 the consistency and asymptotic normality results are established for the case where F is assumed to have a Dirichlet distribution. Section 4 contains a convergence lemma and proofs of two of the results in Sections 2.

2. Consistent and robust Bayes procedures when the error distribution is non-random, known or unknown.

We consider the location model where X_1, \dots, X_n is assumed to satisfy

$$X_i = \theta + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, n$$

The errors $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ are i.i.d. F

θ has density $\pi(\theta)$ and is independent of $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$.

Here θ is the location parameter of interest and the error distribution F is a nuisance parameter which, in this section, is assumed to be nonrandom.

The robustness literature is full of practical examples where this model is appropriate. Here is one from a newspaper headline.

Example. (Washington Post, Feb. 1986) Consider a rocket whose performance depends critically on the launchtime temperature θ on its surface. Hand-held infrared measuring devices are used to read temperatures on the surface immediately before the launching of the rocket. The readings are subject to errors with unknown error distribution. Gross errors are suspected leading to a desire for a robust estimate of θ . The density $\pi(\theta)$ is known from readings by accurate instruments during days of non-launch conditions.

In terms of distributions, our model is

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{l} \theta \text{ has density } \pi(\theta) \\ \text{Given } \theta, X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ are i.i.d. } F_\theta, \text{ where } F_\theta(x) = F(x - \theta) \end{array}$$

This is the usual Bayesian set up except F is not assumed to be $N(0, \sigma^2)$. We will call (2.1) the Bayesian location model with distributions π and F .

We first investigate the consistency, asymptotic normality and robustness of Bayes procedures when the distribution $H_\theta(x) = H(x - \theta)$ that actually generates the data is different from $F_\theta(x) = F(x - \theta)$. Thus we are considering a Bayesian version of Huber's (1964) robustness set up where he asked: "If we use the estimate appropriate for the model $F_\theta(x) = F(x - \theta)$, how does it perform if the true error distribution H is different from F ? Related Bayesian versions of this question have been considered by Freedman (1963, 1965), Fabius (1964), Doss (1984), and Pratt (1965), as well as Diaconis and Freedman (1986a,b) and Blackwell (1986).

2(a) CONSISTENCY.

Using the arguments of Diaconis and Freedman (1986a,b), we immediately find that Bayes procedures can perform very badly when $H \neq F$. We compute the Bayes procedure using model (2.1) with F Cauchy since a heavy tailed F is a good candidate for coming up with a robust procedure. Here is what happens:

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let Π_n be the posterior probability distribution of θ given X_1, \dots, X_n computed according to model (2.1) with the prior π standard normal and F standard Cauchy. Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n is actually generated by a distribution $H \neq F$. It is possible to specify an H with an infinitely differentiable density h which is symmetric about zero (i.e. the true θ is zero), with a unique maximum at zero, such that Π_n is inconsistent. More precisely, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, almost surely (a.s. $[H]$), the posterior Π_n concentrates near $\pm\gamma$ for some positive number γ in the sense that, for each $\eta > 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\Pi_n\{\theta: |\theta - \gamma| < \eta \text{ or } |\theta + \gamma| < \eta\} \rightarrow 1$ a.s. $[H]$. Moreover, for n large, the probability that Π_n concentrates close to γ is near 1/2, and the probability that Π_n concentrates close to $-\gamma$ is near 1/2.

PROOF. Diaconis & Freedman (1986b) consider F random with a Dirichlet distribution, $\mathbf{D}(\alpha)$, with $\alpha/\alpha(R)$ standard Cauchy. However, they point out that Korwar and Hollander (1973) have shown that Π_n for the model with $F \sim \mathbf{D}(\alpha)$, equals a.s. $[H]$ the Π_n for the model with F nonrandom and equal to $\alpha/\alpha(R)$. The result now follows from the arguments of Section 2 of Diaconis and Freedman (1986b).

One of the surprising aspects of the above result is that a study of the likelihood function for the Cauchy model suggests the use of the sample median to estimate θ , and the sample median does quite well for the Diaconis-Freedman counterexample density h described in Proposition 2.1 above. In fact, in both these models, the sample median $\tilde{\theta}$ is strongly consistent and $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta} - \theta)$ is nearly normal for moderate sample sizes n . This suggests a strategy for coming up with a consistent "Bayes"

procedure: Since the posterior given the sample concentrates near the wrong values $\pm\gamma$, why not use the posterior given the sample median since then the posterior (by Bayes Theorem) will be close to a normal distribution centered at the correct θ value? More generally, we would use the posterior distribution of θ given some consistent, well behaved estimate T_n . This idea can be found in the work of Bernstein (1946), von Mises (1931), and Pratt (1965), among others. We can think of it as a Bayes procedure based on the partial information contained in T_n . Or in other words, it uses a partial likelihood, i.e. the density (likelihood function) of T_n , rather than the full likelihood, in Bayes Theorem. For instance, if T_n is the sample median, F has density f and n is odd, then the posterior density of θ given T_n is

$$\pi(\theta|T_n) \propto \pi(\theta)f(T_n - \theta)\{F(T_n - \theta)[1 - F(T_n - \theta)]\}^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)}$$

where \propto denotes "proportional to".

Besides the sample median, other good candidates for consistent robust estimates T_n would be the Hodges-Lehmann (1963) estimate, the trimmed mean, or one of the Huber (1964) estimates.

Returning to the general case, we adopt Bernsteins (1946) condition on the estimate T_n of θ :

(2.2) *The conditional distribution of $T_n - \theta$ given θ does not depend on θ .*

Note that (2.2) is satisfied if T_n is a translation equivariant estimate of θ . If T_n satisfies (2.2), we will say that it is translation equivariant in distribution.

In what follows a sequence of random distribution functions \tilde{G}_n will be said to converge weakly in probability to the distribution function G if $\tilde{G}_n(t)$ converge in probability to $G(t)$ at each continuity point t of G . This notion of convergence has also been used by Walker (1969) and Darwid (1970). Let δ_{θ_0} denote point mass at θ_0 , and let \Rightarrow denote weak convergence, then our consistency result for the partial posterior is

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\Pi_n(\theta|T_n)$ be the posterior probability distribution of θ given T_n computed according to the Bayesian location model with error distribution F and with prior density π continuous and bounded away from zero and infinity in a neighborhood of the true parameter value θ_0 . Assume that for X_1, \dots, X_n a sample from F_{θ} , T_n is translation equivariant in distribution and that T_n converges in probability to θ . Finally, suppose that*

$$(2.3) \quad T_n \rightarrow \theta_0 \text{ a.s. } [H_{\theta_0}].$$

We conclude that

- (a) $\Pi_n(\cdot | T_n)$ is consistent in the sense that
 $\Pi_n(\cdot | T_n) \Rightarrow \delta_{\theta_0}$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (b) the quadratic loss Bayes estimate $E(\theta | T_n)$ is consistent in the sense that
 $E(\theta | T_n) \rightarrow \theta_0$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (c) If the convergence in (2.3) is in H_{θ_0} probability, then so is the convergence in (a) and (b).

The proof, which is similar to the proof in Lo (1984), is given in Section 4.

The assumptions in the above result assume that T_n is consistent for samples from F_{θ_0} and for samples from H_{θ_0} . Without a condition of this type, identifiability is lost and no consistency result is possible. If F and H are symmetric about zero, then it is satisfied for (practically) all the T_n that have appeared in the literature. In particular, if T_n is the sample median and if π , F and H are as in Proposition 2.1, then $\Pi_n(\cdot | T_n)$ is consistent and we have an example where the posterior based on the entire sample is inconsistent while the posterior based on partial information is consistent.

Note that if T_n is the sample median, $\Pi(\cdot | T_n)$ is consistent if F and H have medians zero and densities positive at zero.

2(b) ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY.

Next, we turn to the limit of the posterior distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\theta - T_n)$ given T_n computed according to the Bayesian location model with prior π and error distribution F . It turns out that if X_1, \dots, X_n is generated by $H_{\theta} \neq F_{\theta}$, then this posterior does not converge to the asymptotic distribution that $-\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta)$ has when X_1, \dots, X_n is a sample from H_{θ} , but it converges to the asymptotic distribution that $-\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta)$ has when X_1, \dots, X_n is a sample from F_{θ} . Thus, in the limit, the distribution assumed in the model "dominates" the true distribution. Here is the result:

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose that $\pi(\theta)$ and T_n satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Assume that there exist a distribution function G and a sequence of constants $\{a_n\}$ such that for X_1, \dots, X_n a sample from F_{θ} ,

$$(2.4) \quad -a_n(T_n - \theta) \Rightarrow G.$$

Let $\tilde{\Pi}_n(\cdot | T_n)$ denote the posterior probability distribution of $a_n(\theta - T_n)$ given T_n computed according to the Bayesian location model with error distribution F . Then

- (i) $\tilde{\Pi}_n(\cdot | T_n) \Rightarrow G$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (ii) If the convergence in (2.3) is in probability, so is the convergence in (i).

The proof is given in Section 4.

Typically, $a_n = \sqrt{n}$ and G is the $N(0, \tau_F^2)$ distribution, where τ_F^2 is the asymptotic variance of $\sqrt{n} (T_n - \theta)$ when X_1, \dots, X_n is a sample from F .

In a much more general setting, Le Cam (1953, Theorem 7) considered the case corresponding to $F_\theta = H_\theta$ and established the convergence of the posterior density of $\sqrt{n} (\theta - M_n)$ given the sample $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ to a normal density, where M_n is the MLE (maximum likelihood estimate) of θ . Results of this type had been formally derived by Laplace (1820). Recently Blackwell (1986), also in a more general setting, considered the case $F_\theta \neq H_\theta$ and established the convergence of the posterior density of $\sqrt{n} (\theta - M_n)$ given \underline{X} to a normal density determined by F_θ .

2(c) UNKNOWN ERROR DISTRIBUTION.

Theorem 2.2 refers to the case where the posterior is computed assuming that F is specified. Now we consider the case where F is unspecified and propose an approximate posterior distribution for θ given T_n which depends only on the data and not on F . Suppose that for X_1, \dots, X_n a sample from $H_\theta(t) = H(t - \theta)$, $-\sqrt{n} (T_n - \theta)$ converges weakly to $N(0, \tau_H^2)$ for some $\tau_H^2 > 0$ which does not depend on θ . Now Theorem 2.2 suggests that the posterior distribution of θ given T_n can be approximated by the $N(0, \tau_H^2/n)$ distribution. Since τ_H^2 is unknown, we replace it by a consistent estimate $\hat{\tau}^2$ and propose the $N(0, \hat{\tau}^2/n)$ distribution as the approximate distribution of θ given T_n . Under certain conditions, this can be justified:

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that the prior density π is continuous and bounded away from zero and infinity in a neighborhood of the true parameter value θ_0 . Assume that for X_1, \dots, X_n a sample from H_θ , T_n is translation equivariant in distribution and that $-\sqrt{n} (T_n - \theta)$ converge to a $N(0, \tau_H^2)$ distribution. If $\hat{\tau}$ is an estimate of τ_H which for each $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfies*

$$(2.5) \quad P[|\hat{\tau} - \tau_H| \geq \varepsilon | T_n] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ a.s. } [H_{\theta_0}].$$

Then for each $x \in R$,

$$P\left[\frac{\sqrt{n} (\theta - T_n)}{\hat{\tau}} \leq x | T_n\right] \rightarrow \Phi(x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ a.s. } [H_{\theta_0}]$$

where Φ is the standard normal distribution function.

PROOF. Let P^{T_n} denote the probability distribution of $(\theta, \hat{\tau})$ given T_n . On a set with probability one, $\hat{\tau}$ converges in P^{T_n} probability to τ , and $\sqrt{n} (\theta - T_n)$ converges in P^{T_n} law to $N(0, \tau^2)$. The result now follows from the Cramér-Slutsky theorem.

REMARK 2.1. Theorem 2.3 implies that the confidence interval $T_n \pm z_\alpha \hat{\tau}$, where $z_\alpha = \Phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha)$, has a Bayesian interpretation.

REMARK 2.2 When F is symmetric about zero, Theorem 2.2, in conjunction with the ideas of Stein (1956), can be used to construct an adaptive Bayes procedure: Let T_n be the adaptive estimate of location given by Stone (1975), then, under the conditions of Theorem 2.2 and those of Stone, $L(\sqrt{n}(\theta - T_n) | T_n)$ converges weakly in probability to the $N(0, 1/I(F))$ distribution, where $I(F)$ is the Fisher information of F . This $N(0, 1/I(F))$ limiting posterior distribution is the best possible for samples from $F(x - \theta)$, in fact, as shown by Le Cam (1953), the limiting posterior density of $\sqrt{n}(\theta - M_n)$ given \underline{X} is $N(0, 1/I(F))$. See also DeGroot (1970).

REMARK 2.3. Lindley (personal communication) asks whether our partial posterior is the full sample posterior for some model. The answer is yes, approximately, in many interesting cases: Many robust estimates are maximum likelihood estimates (MLE's) for some model. Thus Huber's (1964) robust estimate is the MLE for Huber's least favorable distribution. Let $Q_\theta(x) = Q(x - \theta)$ denote the distribution for which T_n is the MLE, then, when $H = F = Q$, the approximate posterior given in Theorem 2.2 is the approximate full sample posterior for samples from Q . This follows from Le Cam (1953). This remark corresponds to the idea that we obtain robust Bayes procedures by using a model distribution for $(X | \theta)$ with heavy tails.

REMARK 2.4. The results of this section apply not only in the location case. For instance, suppose we model X_1, \dots, X_n to be a sample from a distribution F_θ with support (θ, ∞) . Then if $T_n = X_{(1)}$ = smallest order statistic, and if X_1, \dots, X_n is a sample from a distribution H_θ with support (θ, ∞) , then Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, apply.

3. Robust and consistent Bayes procedures when F has a Dirichlet distribution.

Next we consider the case where F is treated as a nonparametric nuisance parameter with a Dirichlet (e.g. Ferguson (1973)) prior distribution. In particular we consider the model of Dalal (1979) and Diaconis and Freedman (1986a,b) where

- (3.1) θ has density $\pi(\theta)$
 F has the Dirichlet distribution $D(\alpha)$ with absolutely continuous parameter measure α
 θ and F are independent
 Given (θ, F) , X_1, \dots, X_n are independent with distribution function
 $F_\theta(x) = F(x - \theta)$, all $x \in R$

Again we consider the convergence of the Bayes procedure given an estimate T_n when the sample X_1, \dots, X_n is generated by a continuous distribution H_θ not necessarily connected to the model (3.1). Let $\alpha(t) = \alpha((-\infty, t])$ and $\alpha_\theta(t) = \alpha(t - \theta) / \alpha(R)$, We find

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that $\pi(\theta)$ is continuous and bounded away from zero and infinity in a neighborhood of the true parameter value θ_0 , and suppose that the posterior $\Pi_n(\theta|T_n)$ of θ given T_n is computed assuming that X_1, \dots, X_n is generated according to (3.1). In addition, assume that T_n is translation equivariant and that

$$(3.2) \quad T_n \rightarrow \theta_0 \text{ a.s. } [H_{\theta_0}]; H_{\theta_0} \text{ continuous}$$

Then

- (a) $\Pi_n(\cdot|T_n)$ is consistent, i.e. $\Pi_n(\cdot|T_n) \rightarrow \delta_{\theta_0}$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) $E(\theta|T_n)$ is consistent, i.e. $E(\theta|T_n) \rightarrow \theta_0$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (c) If the convergence in (3.2) is in probability, so is the convergence in (a) and (b) above
- (d) if there is a distribution function G and a sequence of constants $\{a_n\}$ such that for X_1, \dots, X_n a sample from α_{θ_0} ,

$$(3.3) \quad -a_n(T_n - \theta) \Rightarrow G$$

then the posterior probability distribution $\tilde{\Pi}_n(\cdot|T_n)$ of $a_n(\theta - T_n)$ given T_n computed according to model (3.1) converges in law a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$ to G , i.e.

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{\Pi}_n(\cdot|T_n) \Rightarrow G \text{ a.s. } [H_{\theta_0}] \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

- (e) if the convergence in (3.3) is in probability rather than a.s., so is the convergence in (3.4)

Proof: Let Δ_n be the part of the underlying probability space where $X_i \neq X_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Then Δ_n has H_{θ_0} probability one. We will consider $\tilde{\Pi}_n(\cdot|T_n)$ on Δ_n . On Δ_n , we may find the conditional distribution of (θ, F) given T_n by first conditioning on Δ_n , then on T_n . By Theorem 2.5 of Korwar and Hollander (1973), given Δ_n , X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. with distribution α_{θ_0} , and by the proof of Lemma 2.1 in Diaconis and Freedman (1986b), the joint distribution of θ and X_1, \dots, X_n is the same as in model (2.1) with F_{θ} replaced by α_{θ_0} . Since T_n is a function of X_1, \dots, X_n , it follows that in Δ_n , $\tilde{\Pi}_n(\cdot|T_n)$ equals the posterior for model (2.1) with F_{θ} replaced by α_{θ_0} . The present result then follows from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

If we apply this result to the Diaconis-Freedman (1986b) example where α is Cauchy and H is the Diaconis-Freedman distribution (see Proposition 2.1 above), we find that the posterior for model (3.1) based on the whole sample diverges, while the posterior of θ given the sample median converges a.s. to θ_0 .

4. Proofs of the main results.

LEMMA 4.1. Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence of probability measures on R , let $\{t_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers, and let $t_0 \in R$. If

- (i) g is a continuous and bounded (by C) function on R .
- (ii) $\mu_n \Rightarrow \delta_0$
- (iii) $t_n \rightarrow t_0$

then $\int |g(t_n - s) - g(t_n)| \mu_n(ds) \rightarrow 0$

PROOF: Let $D_n(s, t_n) = |g(t_n - s) - g(t_n)|$. For any $\delta > 0$

$$\int D_n(s, t_n) \mu_n(ds) = \int_{A_\delta} D_n(s, t_n) \mu_n(ds) + \int_{A_\delta^c} D_n(s, t_n) \mu_n(ds)$$

where $A_\delta = \{|s| \leq \delta\}$. Note that

$$\int_{A_\delta^c} D_n(s, t_n) \mu_n(ds) \leq 2C \mu_n(|s| > \delta)$$

which tends to zero by (ii).

It remains to consider the integral of D_n on A_δ . Let K be a closed and bounded interval containing t_0 in its interior. For n large enough, say $n \geq n_0$, $t_n \in K$. Let $I = \{t + s : t \in K, s \in \{|s| \leq \delta\}\}$ and note that I is a compact interval. Hence g is uniformly continuous on I . That is, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|s| \leq \delta$ implies $\sup_{t \in I} |g(t - s) - g(t)| < \epsilon$. Therefore

$$\sup_{n \geq n_0} |g(t_n - s) - g(t_n)| < \epsilon \text{ for } |s| \leq \delta.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{A_\delta} D_n(s, t_n) \mu_n(ds) \leq \epsilon \mu_n(|s| \leq \delta) \leq \epsilon$$

and the proof is complete.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1: Let \tilde{Q}_n denote the probability distribution of T_n for X_1, \dots, X_n a sample from F . Since T_n is equivariant in distribution, then,

$$P(T_n \in B | \theta) = \tilde{Q}_n(B - \theta)$$

for each Borel set B . It follows that

$$\Pi_n(\theta \in B | T_n) = \frac{\int_B \pi(\theta) Q_n(T_n - d\theta)}{\int \pi(\theta) Q_n(T_n - d\theta)}$$

where $Q_n(t) = \tilde{Q}_n((-\infty, t))$ is the distribution function of T_n when $\theta = 0$. By the change of variable $s = T_n - \theta$, we have

$$\Pi_n(\theta \in B | T_n) = \frac{\int_{T_n-B} \pi(T_n-s) Q_n(ds)}{\int \pi(T_n-s) Q_n(ds)}.$$

Similarly, the characteristic function corresponding to the posterior distribution $\Pi_n(\cdot | T_n)$ is

$$(4.1) \quad \phi_n(u | T_n) = \frac{\int e^{iu(T_n-s)} \pi(T_n-s) Q_n(ds)}{\int \pi(T_n-s) Q_n(ds)}.$$

Let $N_n(u)$ denote the numerator of this expression. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |N_n(u) - e^{iuT_n} \pi(T_n) \int e^{-ius} Q_n(ds)| &\leq \int |e^{-ius} \pi(T_n-s) - e^{-ius} \pi(T_n)| Q_n(ds) \\ &= \int |\pi(T_n-s) - \pi(T_n)| Q_n(ds). \end{aligned}$$

The last expression tends to zero a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$ by the Lemma 4.1. Moreover, since $Q_n \Rightarrow \delta_0$, then $\int e^{-ius} Q_n(ds) \rightarrow 1$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{iuT_n} \pi(T_n) = e^{iu\theta_0} \pi(\theta_0) \text{ a.s. } [H_{\theta_0}].$$

By a similar argument, the limit of the denominator in (4.1) is $\pi(\theta_0) > 0$, thus

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(u | T_n) = e^{iu\theta_0} \text{ a.s. } [H_{\theta_0}]$$

and $\Pi_n(\theta | T_n) \Rightarrow \delta_{\theta_0}$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$. This completes the proof of (a).

To establish (b), note that

$$E(\theta | T_n) = \frac{\int (T_n-s) \pi(T_n-s) Q_n(ds)}{\int \pi(T_n-s) Q_n(ds)}.$$

As before, $\int \pi(T_n-s) Q_n(ds) \rightarrow \pi(\theta_0)$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$. Next note that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int (T_n-s) \pi(T_n-s) Q_n(ds) - T_n \pi(T_n) \int Q_n(ds)| \\ &\leq \int |(T_n-s) \pi(T_n-s) - T_n \pi(T_n)| Q_n(ds) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } [H_{\theta_0}] \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.1. Thus since $T_n \pi(T_n) \rightarrow \theta_0 \pi(\theta_0)$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$, then $E(\theta | T_n) \rightarrow \theta_0$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$.

To establish (c), we use a Skorokhod representation and replace T_n with a sequence $Y_n(\theta_0)$ with the same conditional distribution given θ_0 as T_n , but with $Y_n(\theta_0) \rightarrow \theta_0$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$.

By construction, given θ_0 , $Y_n(\theta_0)$ has the same probability distribution as T_n . Thus the proof of (a) and (b) above leads to the conclusion that the characteristic

function $\phi_n(u | Y_n(\theta_0))$ on the right hand side of (4.1) with T_n replaced by $Y_n(\theta_0)$ converges a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$ to the appropriate limits. Since, $\phi_n(u | Y_n(\theta_0))$ has the same distributions as $\phi_n(u | T_n)$ then (c) follows for the posterior distribution. The proof for $E(\theta | T_n)$ is similar.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2. Note that the posterior characteristic function of $a_n(\theta - T_n)$ given T_n is

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}(u | T_n) &= \frac{\int e^{ia_n(T_n - s - T_n)u} \pi(T_n - s) Q_n(ds)}{\int \pi(T_n - s) Q_n(ds)} \\ &= \frac{\int e^{-iua_n s} \pi(T_n - s) Q_n(ds)}{\int \pi(T_n - s) Q_n(ds)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{N}_n(u)$ denote the numerator of (4.3), then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\tilde{N}_n(u) - \pi(T_n) \int e^{-iua_n s} Q_n(ds)| \\ &\leq \int |\pi(T_n - s) - \pi(T_n)| Q_n(ds) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } [H_{\theta_0}] \text{ by Lemma 4.1.} \end{aligned}$$

Next note that by (2.4),

$$\int e^{-iua_n s} Q_n(ds) \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(u)$$

where $\tilde{\phi}(u)$ denotes the characteristic function of the conditional limiting distribution G . Thus $\tilde{N}_n(u) \rightarrow \pi(\theta_0) \tilde{\phi}(u)$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$. Similarly, the denominator of (4.3) converges a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$ to $\pi(\theta_0) > 0$, thus $\tilde{\phi}_n(u | T_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(u)$ a.s. $[H_{\theta_0}]$ and the proof of (i) is completed. The (ii) part follows from a Skorokhod construction as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Acknowledgment. The authors of this paper benefited greatly from discussions with L. Le Cam.

REFERENCES

- Bernstein, S. (1946). Theory of Probability. In Russian. First edition 1917.
- Blackwell, D. (1985). Approximate normality of large products. Technical Report No. 54, Dept. of Statistics, U.C. Berkeley.
- Cox, D.R. (1972). Regression models and life tables. *J Roy. Statist. Soc. B.* **34** 187-202.
- Cox, D.R. (1975). Partial likelihood. *Biometrika* **62** 269-276.
- Dalal, S.R. (1979). Nonparametric and robust Bayes estimation of location. *Optimizing Methods in Statistics* (J. Rustagi, ed.) 141-166. Academic, New York.
- Dawid, A.P. (1970). On the limiting normality of posterior distributions. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **67**, 625-633.
- De Groot, M.H. (1970). *Optimal Statistical Decisions*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Diaconis, P. and Freedman, D. (1986a). On the consistency of Bayes estimates. *Ann. Statist.* **14** 1-25.
- Diaconis, P. and Freedman, D. (1986b). On inconsistent Bayes estimates of location. *Ann. Statist.* **14**, 68-87.
- Doss, H. (1984). Bayesian estimation in the symmetric location problem. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **68** 127-147.
- Fabius, J. (1964). Asymptotic behavior of Bayes estimates. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **35** 846-856.
- Ferguson, T. (1973). A Bayesian analysis of some nonparametric problems. *Ann. Statist.* **1** 209-230.
- Freedman, D. (1963). On the asymptotic behavior of Bayes estimates in the discrete case I. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **34** 1386-1403.
- Freedman, D. (1965). On the asymptotic behavior of Bayes estimates in the discrete

case II. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 454-456.

Hodges, J.L. Jr, and Lehmann, E.L. (1963). Estimates of location based on rank tests. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **34** 598-611.

Huber, P.J. (1964). Robust estimation of a location parameter. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 73-101.

Kalbfleisch, J.D. and Prentice, R.L. (1973). Marginal likelihoods based on Cox's regression model. *Biometrika* **60** 267-278.

Kalbfleisch, J.D. and Prentice, R.S. (1980). *The Statistical Analysis of Failure Time Data*. Wiley, New York.

Korwar, R.M. and Hollander, M. (1973). Contributions to the theory of Dirichlet processes. *Ann. Probab.* **1** 705-711.

Laplace, P.S. (1820). *Théorie Analytic des Probabilités*, pages 338-353.

Le Cam, L. (1953). On some asymptotic properties of maximum likelihood estimates and related Bayes' estimates. *University of California Publications in Statistics*, University of California Press, Berkeley.

Lo, A.Y. (1984). Consistency in the location model: The undominated case. *Ann. Statist.* **12** 1584-1587.

Pettitt, A.N. (1983). Likelihood based inference using signed ranks for matched pairs. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. B* **45** 287-296.

Pratt, J.W. (1965). Bayesian interpretation of standard inference statements. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc., Ser. B* **27** 169-203.

Rubin, D.B. (1984). Bayesianly justifiable and relevant frequency calculations for the applied statistician. *Ann. Statist.* **12**, 1151-1172.

Savage, I.R. (1969). Nonparametric statistics: A personal review. *Sankhyā* **31** 107-144.

Stein, C. (1956). Efficient nonparametric testing and estimation. *Proc. Third Berkeley*

Symp. **1** 187-196.

Stone, C.J. (1975). Adaptive maximum likelihood estimators of a location parameter. *Ann. Statist.* **3** 267-284.

von Mises, R. (1931). *Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung* Springer.

Walker, A.M. (1969). On the asymptotic behavior of posterior distributions. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. B* **31**, 80-88.

TECHNICAL REPORTS
Statistics Department
University of California, Berkeley

1. BREIMAN, L. and FREEDMAN, D. (Nov. 1981, revised Feb. 1982). How many variables should be entered in a regression equation? Jour. Amer. Statist. Assoc., March 1983, 78, No. 381, 131-136.
2. BRILLINGER, D. R. (Jan. 1982). Some contrasting examples of the time and frequency domain approaches to time series analysis. Time Series Methods in Hydrosociences, (A. H. El-Shaarawi and S. R. Esterby, eds.) Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1982, pp. 1-15.
3. DOKSUM, K. A. (Jan. 1982). On the performance of estimates in proportional hazard and log-linear models. Survival Analysis, (John Crowley and Richard A. Johnson, eds.) IMS Lecture Notes - Monograph Series, (Shanti S. Gupta, series ed.) 1982, 74-84.
4. BICKEL, P. J. and BREIMAN, L. (Feb. 1982). Sums of functions of nearest neighbor distances, moment bounds, limit theorems and a goodness of fit test. Ann. Prob., Feb. 1982, 11, No. 1, 185-214.
5. BRILLINGER, D. R. and TUKEY, J. W. (March 1982). Spectrum estimation and system identification relying on a Fourier transform. The Collected Works of J. W. Tukey, vol. 2, Wadsworth, 1985, 1001-1141.
6. BERAN, R. (May 1982). Jackknife approximation to bootstrap estimates. Ann. Statist., March 1984, 12 No. 1, 101-118.
7. BICKEL, P. J. and FREEDMAN, D. A. (June 1982). Bootstrapping regression models with many parameters. Lehmann Festschrift, (P. J. Bickel, K. Doksum and J. L. Hodges, Jr., eds.) Wadsworth Press, Belmont, 1983, 28-48.
8. BICKEL, P. J. and COLLINS, J. (March 1982). Minimizing Fisher information over mixtures of distributions. Sankhyā, 1983, 45, Series A, Pt. 1, 1-19.
9. BREIMAN, L. and FRIEDMAN, J. (July 1982). Estimating optimal transformations for multiple regression and correlation.
10. FREEDMAN, D. A. and PETERS, S. (July 1982, revised Aug. 1983). Bootstrapping a regression equation: some empirical results. JASA, 1984, 79, 97-106.
11. EATON, M. L. and FREEDMAN, D. A. (Sept. 1982). A remark on adjusting for covariates in multiple regression.
12. BICKEL, P. J. (April 1982). Minimax estimation of the mean of a mean of a normal distribution subject to doing well at a point. Recent Advances in Statistics, Academic Press, 1983.
14. FREEDMAN, D. A., ROTHENBERG, T. and SUTCH, R. (Oct. 1982). A review of a residential energy end use model.
15. BRILLINGER, D. and PREISLER, H. (Nov. 1982). Maximum likelihood estimation in a latent variable problem. Studies in Econometrics, Time Series, and Multivariate Statistics, (eds. S. Karlin, T. Amemiya, L. A. Goodman). Academic Press, New York, 1983, pp. 31-65.
16. BICKEL, P. J. (Nov. 1982). Robust regression based on infinitesimal neighborhoods. Ann. Statist., Dec. 1984, 12, 1349-1368.
17. DRAPER, D. C. (Feb. 1983). Rank-based robust analysis of linear models. I. Exposition and review. Statistical Science, 1988, Vol.3 No. 2 239-271.
18. DRAPER, D. C. (Feb 1983). Rank-based robust inference in regression models with several observations per cell.
19. FREEDMAN, D. A. and FIENBERG, S. (Feb. 1983, revised April 1983). Statistics and the scientific method, Comments on and reactions to Freedman, A rejoinder to Fienberg's comments. Springer New York 1985 Cohort Analysis in Social Research, (W. M. Mason and S. E. Fienberg, eds.).
20. FREEDMAN, D. A. and PETERS, S. C. (March 1983, revised Jan. 1984). Using the bootstrap to evaluate forecasting equations. J. of Forecasting, 1985, Vol. 4, 251-262.
21. FREEDMAN, D. A. and PETERS, S. C. (March 1983, revised Aug. 1983). Bootstrapping an econometric model: some empirical results. JBES, 1985, 2, 150-158.
22. FREEDMAN, D. A. (March 1983). Structural-equation models: a case study.
23. DAGGETT, R. S. and FREEDMAN, D. (April 1983, revised Sept. 1983). Econometrics and the law: a case study in the proof of antitrust damages. Proc. of the Berkeley Conference, in honor of Jerzy Neyman and Jack Kiefer. Vol I pp. 123-172. (L. Le Cam, R. Olshen eds.) Wadsworth, 1985.

24. DOKSUM, K. and YANDELL, B. (April 1983). Tests for exponentiality. Handbook of Statistics, (P. R. Krishnaiah and P. K. Sen, eds.) 4, 1984, 579-611.
25. FREEDMAN, D. A. (May 1983). Comments on a paper by Markus.
26. FREEDMAN, D. (Oct. 1983, revised March 1984). On bootstrapping two-stage least-squares estimates in stationary linear models. Ann. Statist., 1984, 12, 827-842.
27. DOKSUM, K. A. (Dec. 1983). An extension of partial likelihood methods for proportional hazard models to general transformation models. Ann. Statist., 1987, 15, 325-345.
28. BICKEL, P. J., GOETZE, F. and VAN ZWET, W. R. (Jan. 1984). A simple analysis of third order efficiency of estimate Proc. of the Neyman-Kiefer Conference, (L. Le Cam, ed.) Wadsworth, 1985.
29. BICKEL, P. J. and FREEDMAN, D. A. Asymptotic normality and the bootstrap in stratified sampling. Ann. Statist. 12 470-482.
30. FREEDMAN, D. A. (Jan. 1984). The mean vs. the median: a case study in 4-R Act litigation. JBES. 1985 Vol 3 pp. 1-13.
31. STONE, C. J. (Feb. 1984). An asymptotically optimal window selection rule for kernel density estimates. Ann. Statist., Dec. 1984, 12, 1285-1297.
32. BREIMAN, L. (May 1984). Nail finders, edifices, and Oz.
33. STONE, C. J. (Oct. 1984). Additive regression and other nonparametric models. Ann. Statist., 1985, 13, 689-705.
34. STONE, C. J. (June 1984). An asymptotically optimal histogram selection rule. Proc. of the Berkeley Conf. in Honor of Jerzy Neyman and Jack Kiefer (L. Le Cam and R. A. Olshen, eds.), II, 513-520.
35. FREEDMAN, D. A. and NAVIDI, W. C. (Sept. 1984, revised Jan. 1985). Regression models for adjusting the 1980 Census. Statistical Science. Feb 1986, Vol. 1, No. 1, 3-39.
36. FREEDMAN, D. A. (Sept. 1984, revised Nov. 1984). De Finetti's theorem in continuous time.
37. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D. (Oct. 1984). An elementary proof of Stirling's formula. Amer. Math Monthly. Feb 1986, Vol. 93, No. 2, 123-125.
38. LE CAM, L. (Nov. 1984). Sur l'approximation de familles de mesures par des familles Gaussiennes. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, 1985, 21, 225-287.
39. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D. A. (Nov. 1984). A note on weak star uniformities.
40. BREIMAN, L. and IHAKA, R. (Dec. 1984). Nonlinear discriminant analysis via SCALING and ACE.
41. STONE, C. J. (Jan. 1985). The dimensionality reduction principle for generalized additive models.
42. LE CAM, L. (Jan. 1985). On the normal approximation for sums of independent variables.
43. BICKEL, P. J. and YAHAV, J. A. (1985). On estimating the number of unseen species: how many executions were there?
44. BRILLINGER, D. R. (1985). The natural variability of vital rates and associated statistics. Biometrics, to appear.
45. BRILLINGER, D. R. (1985). Fourier inference: some methods for the analysis of array and nonGaussian series data. Water Resources Bulletin, 1985, 21, 743-756.
46. BREIMAN, L. and STONE, C. J. (1985). Broad spectrum estimates and confidence intervals for tail quantiles.
47. DABROWSKA, D. M. and DOKSUM, K. A. (1985, revised March 1987). Partial likelihood in transformation models with censored data. Scandinavian J. Statist., 1988, 15, 1-23.
48. HAYCOCK, K. A. and BRILLINGER, D. R. (November 1985). LIBDRB: A subroutine library for elementary time series analysis.
49. BRILLINGER, D. R. (October 1985). Fitting cosines: some procedures and some physical examples. Joshi Festschrift, 1986. D. Reidel.
50. BRILLINGER, D. R. (November 1985). What do seismology and neurophysiology have in common? - Statistics! Comptes Rendus Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada. January, 1986.
51. COX, D. D. and O'SULLIVAN, F. (October 1985). Analysis of penalized likelihood-type estimators with application to generalized smoothing in Sobolev Spaces.

52. O'SULLIVAN, F. (November 1985). A practical perspective on ill-posed inverse problems: A review with some new developments. To appear in Journal of Statistical Science.
53. LE CAM, L. and YANG, G. L. (November 1985, revised March 1987). On the preservation of local asymptotic normality under information loss.
54. BLACKWELL, D. (November 1985). Approximate normality of large products.
55. FREEDMAN, D. A. (June 1987). As others see us: A case study in path analysis. Journal of Educational Statistics, 12, 101-128.
56. LE CAM, L. and YANG, G. L. (January 1986). Replaced by No. 68.
57. LE CAM, L. (February 1986). On the Bernstein - von Mises theorem.
58. O'SULLIVAN, F. (January 1986). Estimation of Densities and Hazards by the Method of Penalized likelihood.
59. ALDOUS, D. and DIACONIS, P. (February 1986). Strong Uniform Times and Finite Random Walks.
60. ALDOUS, D. (March 1986). On the Markov Chain simulation Method for Uniform Combinatorial Distributions and Simulated Annealing.
61. CHENG, C-S. (April 1986). An Optimization Problem with Applications to Optimal Design Theory.
62. CHENG, C-S., MAJUMDAR, D., STUFKEN, J. & TURE, T. E. (May 1986, revised Jan 1987). Optimal step type design for comparing test treatments with a control.
63. CHENG, C-S. (May 1986, revised Jan. 1987). An Application of the Kiefer-Wolfowitz Equivalence Theorem.
64. O'SULLIVAN, F. (May 1986). Nonparametric Estimation in the Cox Proportional Hazards Model.
65. ALDOUS, D. (JUNE 1986). Finite-Time Implications of Relaxation Times for Stochastically Monotone Processes.
66. PITMAN, J. (JULY 1986, revised November 1986). Stationary Excursions.
67. DABROWSKA, D. and DOKSUM, K. (July 1986, revised November 1986). Estimates and confidence intervals for median and mean life in the proportional hazard model with censored data. Biometrika, 1987, 74, 799-808.
68. LE CAM, L. and YANG, G.L. (July 1986). Distinguished Statistics, Loss of information and a theorem of Robert B. Davies (Fourth edition).
69. STONE, C.J. (July 1986). Asymptotic properties of logspline density estimation.
71. BICKEL, P.J. and YAHAV, J.A. (July 1986). Richardson Extrapolation and the Bootstrap.
72. LEHMANN, E.L. (July 1986). Statistics - an overview.
73. STONE, C.J. (August 1986). A nonparametric framework for statistical modelling.
74. BIANE, PH. and YOR, M. (August 1986). A relation between Lévy's stochastic area formula, Legendre polynomial, and some continued fractions of Gauss.
75. LEHMANN, E.L. (August 1986, revised July 1987). Comparing Location Experiments.
76. O'SULLIVAN, F. (September 1986). Relative risk estimation.
77. O'SULLIVAN, F. (September 1986). Deconvolution of episodic hormone data.
78. PITMAN, J. & YOR, M. (September 1987). Further asymptotic laws of planar Brownian motion.
79. FREEDMAN, D.A. & ZEISEL, H. (November 1986). From mouse to man: The quantitative assessment of cancer risks. To appear in Statistical Science.
80. BRILLINGER, D.R. (October 1986). Maximum likelihood analysis of spike trains of interacting nerve cells.
81. DABROWSKA, D.M. (November 1986). Nonparametric regression with censored survival time data.
82. DOKSUM, K.J. and LO, A.Y. (Nov 1986, revised Aug 1988). Consistent and robust Bayes Procedures for Location based on Partial Information.
83. DABROWSKA, D.M., DOKSUM, K.A. and MIURA, R. (November 1986). Rank estimates in a class of semiparametric two-sample models.

84. BRILLINGER, D. (December 1986). Some statistical methods for random process data from seismology and neurophysiology.
85. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D. (December 1986). A dozen de Finetti-style results in search of a theory. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, 1987, 23, 397-423.
86. DABROWSKA, D.M. (January 1987). Uniform consistency of nearest neighbour and kernel conditional Kaplan - Meier estimates.
87. FREEDMAN, D.A., NAVIDI, W. and PETERS, S.C. (February 1987). On the impact of variable selection in fitting regression equations.
88. ALDOUS, D. (February 1987, revised April 1987). Hashing with linear probing, under non-uniform probabilities.
89. DABROWSKA, D.M. and DOKSUM, K.A. (March 1987, revised January 1988). Estimating and testing in a two sample generalized odds rate model. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 1988, 83, 744-749.
90. DABROWSKA, D.M. (March 1987). Rank tests for matched pair experiments with censored data.
91. DIACONIS, P and FREEDMAN, D.A. (April 1988). Conditional limit theorems for exponential families and finite versions of de Finetti's theorem. To appear in the Journal of Applied Probability.
92. DABROWSKA, D.M. (April 1987, revised September 1987). Kaplan-Meier estimate on the plane.
- 92a. ALDOUS, D. (April 1987). The Harmonic mean formula for probabilities of Unions: Applications to sparse random graphs.
93. DABROWSKA, D.M. (June 1987, revised Feb 1988). Nonparametric quantile regression with censored data.
94. DONOHO, D.L. & STARK, P.B. (June 1987). Uncertainty principles and signal recovery.
95. CANCELLED
96. BRILLINGER, D.R. (June 1987). Some examples of the statistical analysis of seismological data. To appear in Proceedings, Centennial Anniversary Symposium, Seismographic Stations, University of California, Berkeley.
97. FREEDMAN, D.A. and NAVIDI, W. (June 1987). On the multi-stage model for carcinogenesis. To appear in Environmental Health Perspectives.
98. O'SULLIVAN, F. and WONG, T. (June 1987). Determining a function diffusion coefficient in the heat equation.
99. O'SULLIVAN, F. (June 1987). Constrained non-linear regularization with application to some system identification problems.
100. LE CAM, L. (July 1987, revised Nov 1987). On the standard asymptotic confidence ellipsoids of Wald.
101. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (July 1987). Pathologies of some minimum distance estimators. Annals of Statistics, June, 1988.
102. BRILLINGER, D.R., DOWNING, K.H. and GLAESER, R.M. (July 1987). Some statistical aspects of low-dose electron imaging of crystals.
103. LE CAM, L. (August 1987). Harald Cramér and sums of independent random variables.
104. DONOHO, A.W., DONOHO, D.L. and GASKO, M. (August 1987). Macspin: Dynamic graphics on a desktop computer. IEEE Computer Graphics and applications, June, 1988.
105. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (August 1987). On minimax estimation of linear functionals.
106. DABROWSKA, D.M. (August 1987). Kaplan-Meier estimate on the plane: weak convergence, LIL and the bootstrap.
107. CHENG, C-S. (Aug 1987, revised Oct 1988). Some orthogonal main-effect plans for asymmetrical factorials.
108. CHENG, C-S. and JACROUX, M. (August 1987). On the construction of trend-free run orders of two-level factorial designs.
109. KLASS, M.J. (August 1987). Maximizing $E \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k^+ / ES_n^+$: A prophet inequality for sums of I.I.D. mean zero variates.
110. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (August 1987). The "automatic" robustness of minimum distance functionals. Annals of Statistics, June, 1988.
111. BICKEL, P.J. and GHOSH, J.K. (August 1987, revised June 1988). A decomposition for the likelihood ratio statistic and the Bartlett correction — a Bayesian argument.

112. BURDZY, K., PITMAN, J.W. and YOR, M. (September 1987). Some asymptotic laws for crossings and excursions.
113. ADHIKARI, A. and PITMAN, J. (September 1987). The shortest planar arc of width 1.
114. RITOV, Y. (September 1987). Estimation in a linear regression model with censored data.
115. BICKEL, P.J. and RITOV, Y. (Sept. 1987, revised Aug 1988). Large sample theory of estimation in biased sampling regression models I.
116. RITOV, Y. and BICKEL, P.J. (Sept. 1987, revised Aug. 1988). Achieving information bounds in non and semiparametric models.
117. RITOV, Y. (October 1987). On the convergence of a maximal correlation algorithm with alternating projections.
118. ALDOUS, D.J. (October 1987). Meeting times for independent Markov chains.
119. HESSE, C.H. (October 1987). An asymptotic expansion for the mean of the passage-time distribution of integrated Brownian Motion.
120. DONOHO, D. and LIU, R. (Oct. 1987, revised Mar. 1988, Oct. 1988). Geometrizing rates of convergence, II.
121. BRILLINGER, D.R. (October 1987). Estimating the chances of large earthquakes by radiocarbon dating and statistical modelling. Statistics a Guide to the Unknown, pp. 249-260 (Eds. J.M. Tanur et al.) Wadsworth, Pacific Grove.
122. ALDOUS, D., FLANNERY, B. and PALACIOS, J.L. (November 1987). Two applications of urn processes: The fringe analysis of search trees and the simulation of quasi-stationary distributions of Markov chains.
123. DONOHO, D.L., MACGIBBON, B. and LIU, R.C. (Nov. 1987, revised July 1988). Minimax risk for hyperrectangles.
124. ALDOUS, D. (November 1987). Stopping times and tightness II.
125. HESSE, C.H. (November 1987). The present state of a stochastic model for sedimentation.
126. DALANG, R.C. (December 1987, revised June 1988). Optimal stopping of two-parameter processes on nonstandard probability spaces.
127. Same as No. 133.
128. DONOHO, D. and GASKO, M. (December 1987). Multivariate generalizations of the median and trimmed mean II.
129. SMITH, D.L. (December 1987). Exponential bounds in Vapnik-Červonenkis classes of index 1.
130. STONE, C.J. (Nov. 1987, revised Sept. 1988). Uniform error bounds involving logspline models.
131. Same as No. 140
132. HESSE, C.H. (December 1987). A Bahadur - Type representation for empirical quantiles of a large class of stationary, possibly infinite - variance, linear processes
133. DONOHO, D.L. and GASKO, M. (December 1987). Multivariate generalizations of the median and trimmed mean, I.
134. DUBINS, L.E. and SCHWARZ, G. (December 1987). A sharp inequality for martingales and stopping-times.
135. FREEDMAN, D.A. and NAVIDI, W. (December 1987). On the risk of lung cancer for ex-smokers.
136. LE CAM, L. (January 1988). On some stochastic models of the effects of radiation on cell survival.
137. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D.A. (April 1988). On the uniform consistency of Bayes estimates for multinomial probabilities.
- 137a. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (1987). Geometrizing rates of convergence, I.
138. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (January 1988). Geometrizing rates of convergence, III.
139. BERAN, R. (January 1988). Refining simultaneous confidence sets.
140. HESSE, C.H. (December 1987). Numerical and statistical aspects of neural networks.
141. BRILLINGER, D.R. (Jan. 1988). Two reports on trend analysis: a) An elementary trend analysis of Rio negro levels at Manaus, 1903-1985. b) Consistent detection of a monotonic trend superposed on a stationary time series.
142. DONOHO, D.L. (Jan. 1985, revised Jan. 1988). One-sided inference about functionals of a density.

143. DALANG, R.C. (Feb. 1988, revised Nov. 1988). Randomization in the two-armed bandit problem.
144. DABROWSKA, D.M., DOKSUM, K.A. and SONG, J.K. (February 1988). Graphical comparisons of cumulative hazards for two populations.
145. ALDOUS, D.J. (February 1988). Lower bounds for covering times for reversible Markov Chains and random walks on graphs.
146. BICKEL, P.J. and RITOV, Y. (Feb.1988, revised August 1988). Estimating integrated squared density derivatives.
147. STARK, P.B. (March 1988). Strict bounds and applications.
148. DONOHO, D.L. and STARK, P.B. (March 1988). Rearrangements and smoothing.
149. NOLAN, D. (March 1988). Asymptotics for a multivariate location estimator.
150. SEILLIER, F. (March 1988). Sequential probability forecasts and the probability integral transform.
151. NOLAN, D. (March 1988). Limit theorems for a random convex set.
152. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D.A. (April 1988). On a theorem of Kuchler and Lauritzen.
153. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D.A. (April 1988). On the problem of types.
154. DOKSUM, K.A. (May 1988). On the correspondence between models in binary regression analysis and survival analysis.
155. LEHMANN, E.L. (May 1988). Jerzy Neyman, 1894-1981.
156. ALDOUS, D.J. (May 1988). Stein's method in a two-dimensional coverage problem.
157. FAN, J. (June 1988). On the optimal rates of convergence for nonparametric deconvolution problem.
158. DABROWSKA, D. (June 1988). Signed-rank tests for censored matched pairs.
159. BERAN, R.J. and MILLAR, P.W. (June 1988). Multivariate symmetry models.
160. BERAN, R.J. and MILLAR, P.W. (June 1988). Tests of fit for logistic models.
161. BREIMAN, L. and PETERS, S. (June 1988). Comparing automatic bivariate smoothers (A public service enterprise).
162. FAN, J. (June 1988). Optimal global rates of convergence for nonparametric deconvolution problem.
163. DIACONIS, P. and FREEDMAN, D.A. (June 1988). A singular measure which is locally uniform. (Revised by Tech Report No. 180).
164. BICKEL, P.J. and KRIEGER, A.M. (July 1988). Confidence bands for a distribution function using the bootstrap.
165. HESSE, C.H. (July 1988). New methods in the analysis of economic time series I.
166. FAN, JIANQING (July 1988). Nonparametric estimation of quadratic functionals in Gaussian white noise.
167. BREIMAN, L., STONE, C.J. and KOOPERBERG, C. (August 1988). Confidence bounds for extreme quantiles.
168. LE CAM, L. (August 1988). Maximum likelihood an introduction.
169. BREIMAN, L. (Aug.1988, revised Feb. 1989). Submodel selection and evaluation in regression I. The X-fixed case and little bootstrap.
170. LE CAM, L. (September 1988). On the Prokhorov distance between the empirical process and the associated Gaussian bridge.
171. STONE, C.J. (September 1988). Large-sample inference for logspline models.
172. ADLER, R.J. and EPSTEIN, R. (September 1988). Intersection local times for infinite systems of planar brownian motions and for the brownian density process.
173. MILLAR, P.W. (October 1988). Optimal estimation in the non-parametric multiplicative intensity model.
174. YOR, M. (October 1988). Interwinings of Bessel processes.
175. ROJO, J. (October 1988). On the concept of tail-heaviness.
176. ABRAHAMS, D.M. and RIZZARDI, F. (September 1988). BLSS - The Berkeley interactive statistical system: An overview.

177. MILLAR, P.W. (October 1988). Gamma-funnels in the domain of a probability, with statistical implications.
178. DONOHO, D.L. and LIU, R.C. (October 1988). Hardest one-dimensional subfamilies.
179. DONOHO, D.L. and STARK, P.B. (October 1988). Recovery of sparse signals from data missing low frequencies.
180. FREEDMAN, D.A. and PITMAN, J.A. (Nov. 1988). A measure which is singular and uniformly locally uniform. (Revision of Tech Report No. 163).
181. DOKSUM, K.A. and HOYLAND, ARNLJOT (Nov. 1988, revised Jan. 1989). A model for step-stress accelerated life testing experiments based on Wiener processes and the inverse Gaussian distribution.
182. DALANG, R.C., MORTON, A. and WILLINGER, W. (November 1988). Equivalent martingale measures and no-arbitrage in stochastic securities market models.
183. BERAN, R. (November 1988). Calibrating prediction regions.
184. BARLOW, M.T., PITMAN, J. and YOR, M. (Feb. 1989). On Walsh's Brownian Motions.
185. DALANG, R.C. and WALSH, J.B. (Dec. 1988). Almost-equivalence of the germ-field Markov property and the sharp Markov property of the Brownian sheet.
186. HESSE, C.H. (Dec. 1988). Level-Crossing of integrated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
187. NEVEU, J. and PITMAN, J.W. (Feb. 1989). Renewal property of the extrema and tree property of the excursion of a one-dimensional brownian motion.
188. NEVEU, J. and PITMAN, J.W. (Feb. 1989). The branching process in a brownian excursion.
189. PITMAN, J.W. and YOR, M. (Mar. 1989). Some extensions of the arcsine law.
190. STARK, P.B. (Dec. 1988). Duality and discretization in linear inverse problems.
191. LEHMANN, E.L. and SCHOLZ, F.W. (Jan. 1989). Ancillarity.
192. PEMANTLE, R. (Feb. 1989). A time-dependent version of Pólya's urn.
193. PEMANTLE, R. (Feb. 1989). Nonconvergence to unstable points in urn models and stochastic approximations.
194. PEMANTLE, R. (Feb. 1989). When are touchpoints limits for generalized Pólya urns.
195. PEMANTLE, R. (Feb. 1989). Random walk in a random environment and first-passage percolation on trees.
196. BARLOW, M., PITMAN, J. and YOR, M. (Feb. 1989). Une extension multidimensionnelle de la loi de l'arc sinus.
197. BREIMAN, L. and SPECTOR, P. (Mar. 1989). Submodel selection and evaluation in regression — the X-random case.
198. BREIMAN, L., TSUR, Y. and ZEMEL, A. (Mar. 1989). A simple estimation procedure for censored regression models with known error distribution.
199. BRILLINGER, D.R. (Mar. 1989). Two papers on bilinear systems: a) A study of second- and third-order spectral procedures and maximum likelihood identification of a bilinear system. b) Some statistical aspects of NMR spectroscopy, *Actas del 2º congreso latinoamericano de probabilidad y estadística matemática*, Caracas, 1985.
200. BRILLINGER, D.R. (Mar. 1989). Two papers on higher-order spectra: a) Parameter estimation for nonGaussian processes via second and third order spectra with an application to some endocrine data. b) Some history of the study of higher-order moments and spectra.
201. DE LA PENA, V. and KLASS, M.J. (April 1989). L bounds for quadratic forms of independent random variables.

Copies of these Reports plus the most recent additions to the Technical Report series are available from the Statistics Department technical typist in room 379 Evans Hall or may be requested by mail from:

Department of Statistics
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Cost: \$1 per copy.