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## Summary

Let $f$ be a continuous and positive, but otherwise unknown, density function on a known compact interval $I$, let $F$ denote the distribution function of $f$, and let $Q=F^{-1}$ denote its quantile function. An exponential family model for $f$ is constructed having $p$ parameters and based on a B-spline model for $\log \mathrm{f}$. Maximum likelihood estimation of the parameters of the model based on a random sample of size $n$ from $f$ yields estimates $\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \hat{\mathbf{F}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ of $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{F}$, and $Q$. Under mild conditions, if $p \rightarrow \infty$ appropriately as $n \rightarrow \infty$, these estimators achieve the optimal rate of convergence. The asymptotic behavior of the corresponding confidence intervals is also investigated. In particular, it is shown that the asymptotic standard errors of $\hat{F}$ and $\hat{Q}$ coincide with those of the usual empirical distribution function and empirical quantile function.

[^0]1. Statement of Results. Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2} \cdots$ be independent and identically distributed random variables taking on values in a known compact interval, which is taken to be $I=[0,1]$. These random variables are assumed to have a continuous and positive density function $f$ on $I$. Let $F$ denote the distribution function of $f$ and let $Q=F^{-1}$ denote its quantile function. Given the positive integer $n$, consider the random sample $Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{n}$ of size $n$. We will construct an exponential family model for $f$ having $p_{n}$ parameters and based on a B-spline model for $\log f$. Maximum likelihood estimation of these parameters yields estimators and confidence intervals for $f$, $F$, and $Q$. The asymptotic theory of these procedures, a blend of parametric theory and nonparametric theory, will be investigated in this paper. The main results will be described here and proven in the following sections.

Let $m$ denote a positive integer and let $K_{n}, n \geq 1$, denote a sequence of positive integers. Let $I$ be partitioned into subintervals

$$
I_{k}=\left[(k-1) / K_{n}, k / K_{n}\right), 1 \leq k<K_{n}, \quad \text { and } \quad I_{K_{n}}=\left[\left(K_{n}-1\right) / K_{n}, 1\right]
$$

Let $\varphi_{n}$ denote the collection of functions $s$ on $I$ satisfying the following two properties: $s$ is a polynomial of order $m$ (degree $m-1$ ) or less on each of the subintervals $I_{1}, \cdots, I_{K_{n}} ;$ and if $m \geq 2$, $s$ is (m-2)-times continuously differentiable on $I$. Then $\varphi_{n}$ is a vector space of dimension $p_{n}=m+K_{n}-1$, which is referred to as the space of polynomial splines of order $m$ with simple knots at $k / K_{n}$ for $1 \leq k<K_{n}$. The functions in $\varphi_{n}$ are called piecewise constant, linear, quadratic or cubic splines according as m $=1,2,3$, or 4. Let $B_{n k}, 1 \leq k \leq p_{n}$, denote the usual B-spline basis of $\varphi_{n}$ (see de Boor, 1978). Then $0 \leq B_{n k} \leq 1$ and $\Sigma_{1}^{p} n_{n k} B_{n k}=1$ on I. There is a fixed positive integer $J$, depending on $m$ but not on $n$, such that the support of each $B_{n k}$ is contained in the convex hull of $J$ consecutive knots and if $|k-j|>J$, the supports of $B_{n j}$ and $B_{n k}$ are disjoint.

Given $\theta \in \theta_{n}$, the collection of all $p_{n}$-dimensional vectors, set

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\theta|=\left(\Sigma \theta_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \\
s_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)=\sum_{j} \theta_{j} B_{n j}, \\
C_{n}(\theta)=\log \left(\int \exp \left(s_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)\right)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)=\exp \left(s_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)-C_{n}(\theta)\right)
$$

Then

$$
\int f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)=1 \quad \text { for } \quad \theta \in \theta_{n}
$$

Observe that $f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta), \theta \in \theta_{n}$, is an exponential family having $p_{n}$ parameters. Let $F_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)$ and $Q_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)$ denote the distribution function and quantile function corresponding to $f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)$. Set

$$
A_{n}(\theta)=E \log f_{n}(Y ; \theta)=\int \log f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) f=\int s_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) f-c_{n}(\theta), \quad \theta \in \theta_{n}
$$

It is assumed from now on that $p_{n} \geq 2$ for all $n$. The vector $\theta$ of parameters is not identifiable; for if we add a constant to each element of $\theta$, we do not change $f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)$. Let $\theta_{n 0}$ denote the $\left(p_{n}-1\right)$-dimensional subspace of $\theta_{n}$ consisting of those vectors $\theta \in \theta_{n}$ the sum of whose elements is zero.

Let $H_{n}(\theta)$ denote the Hessian of $C_{n}(\cdot)$ at $\theta$; that is, the $p_{n} \times p_{n}$ matrix whose $(j, k)$ th element is

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} c_{n}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{j} \partial \theta_{k}}
$$

It is an elementary and well known property of exponential families (see Lehmann, 1983) that if $\theta, T \in \Theta_{n}$, then
(1) $\quad \quad \quad H^{\prime} H_{n}(\theta) T=\int\left(s_{n}(\cdot ; T)-a\right)^{2} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)$, where $\quad a=\int s_{n}(\cdot ; \tau) f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)$.

Thus $T^{\prime} H_{n}(\theta) T>0$ if $T$ is a nonzero element of $\theta_{n 0}$. Consequently, $C_{n}(\cdot)$ is a strictly convex function on $\theta_{n 0}$. Since $-H_{n}(\theta)$ is the Hessian matrix of $\Lambda_{n}(\theta)$ at $\theta, \Lambda_{n}(\theta)$ is strictly concave on $\theta_{n 0}$. If $\theta \in \theta_{n 0}$ and
$\theta \neq 0$, then

$$
A_{n}(t \theta)=t / s_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) f-\log \left(\int e^{t s_{n}}(\cdot ; \theta)\right)
$$

and $s_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)$ is not almost everywhere equal to a constant on $I$; so $\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t} \theta) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that for each $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$, there is a unique $\theta_{n} \in \theta_{n 0}$ that maximizes $\Lambda_{n}(\theta), \theta \in \theta_{n 0}$. Set $f_{n}=f\left(\cdot ; \theta_{n}\right), F_{n}=F_{n}\left(\cdot ; \theta_{n}\right)$ and $Q_{n}=Q_{n}\left(\cdot ; \theta_{n}\right)$.

It follows from the assumption on $f$ that $\log f$ is continuous and hence bounded on $I$. Let $\left\|\|_{2}\right.$ and $\| \|_{\infty}$ denote the usual $L_{2}$ and $L_{\infty}$ norms of functions on I. Set

$$
\delta_{\mathbf{n}}=\inf _{\mathbf{s \in \varphi}}^{\mathbf{n}},\|s-\log f\|_{\infty}
$$

If $p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\delta_{n}=0(1)$ by (2) on Page 167 of de Boor (1978). Let $m_{1}$ be a nonnegative integer, let $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and set $q=m_{1}+\alpha$. If $f$ is $m_{1}$-times differentiable and its mth derivative satisfies a Hölder condition with index $\alpha$, then $\delta_{n}=0\left(p_{n}^{-q}\right)$ (see de Boor, 1978).

THEOREM 1. (i) $\left\|f{ }_{n}-f\right\|_{\infty}=O\left(\delta_{n}\right)$; (ii) $\left\|F_{n}-F\right\|_{\infty}=O\left(p_{n}^{-1} \delta_{n}\right)$; and (iii) $\left\|Q_{n}-Q\right\|_{\infty}=0\left(p_{n}^{-1} \delta_{n}\right)$.

Let $\ell_{n}$ be the log-likelihood function based on the logspline and the random sample of size $n$; so that

$$
\ell_{n}(\theta)=\sum_{i} \log f_{n}\left(Y_{i} ; \theta\right)=\sum_{i}\left(s_{n}\left(Y_{i} ; \theta\right)-C_{n}(\theta)\right)
$$

Then $\ell_{n}(\cdot)$ is a strictly concave function on $\theta_{n 0}$. Let $\hat{\theta}_{n} \in \theta_{n 0}$ denote the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of $\theta \in \theta_{\text {no }}$ based on the random sample of size $n$. Then $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ is unique if it exists. (A necessary and sufficient condition for existence is given in Barndorff-Nielsen, 1978; see also Johansen, 1979.) Set $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\cdot ; \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \hat{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\cdot ; \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \quad$ and $\hat{Q}_{n}=Q_{n}\left(\cdot ; \hat{\theta}_{n}\right)$. Then $\hat{f}_{n}$ is called a logspline density estimate of $f$ since $\log \hat{f}_{n}=s_{n}\left(\cdot ; \hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-C_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) \in \varphi_{n}$. If $\quad=1$, then $\hat{f}_{n}$ is the usual histogram density estimate.

From now on it is assumed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=o\left(n^{.5-\epsilon}\right) \text { for some } \epsilon>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 2. (i) $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{n}}$ exists except on an event whose probability tends to zero with n ;
(ii) $\left|\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{n}}-\theta_{\mathrm{n}}\right|=0_{\mathrm{pr}}\left(\mathrm{n}^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$;
(iii) $\max _{1 \leq j \leq p_{n}}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n j}{ }^{-\theta} n_{j}\right|=o_{p r}\left(\left(n^{-1} p_{n} \log \left(p_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$;
(iv) $\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{2}=0_{p r}\left(\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$;
(v) $\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=O_{p r}\left(\left(n^{-1} p_{n} \log \left(p_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$;
(vi) $\left\|\hat{F}_{n}-F_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=0_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$;
and
(vii) $\left\|\hat{Q}_{n}-Q_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=o_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$.

Theorems 1 and 2 allow us to get the usual optimal rates of convergence under various smoothness assumptions on f; see Stone (1980, 1982, 1983). Consider a smoothness assumption that leads, as above, to a conclusion of the form $\delta_{n}=\mathbf{O}\left(p_{n}^{-q}\right)$; and suppose that $q>1 / 2$. Set $\gamma=1 /(2 q+1)$ and $r=$ $q /(2 q+1)=q \gamma$. Then the positive constants $\gamma$ and $r$ are both less than 1/2. To get the optimal rate of convergence of $\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f\right\|_{2}$ to zero, we choose $p_{n} \sim n^{y}$ and obtain

$$
\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f\right\|_{2}=o_{p r}\left(n^{-r}\right)
$$

(Here $a_{n} \sim b_{n}$ means that $a_{n} / b_{n}$ is bounded away from zero and infinity.) To get the optimal rate of convergence of $\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f\right\|_{\infty}$ to zero, we choose $p_{n} \sim(n / \log (n))^{r}$ and obtain

$$
\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f\right\|_{\infty}=0_{p r}\left(\left(n^{-1} \log (n)\right)^{r}\right)
$$

To get the optimal rate of convergence of $\left\|\hat{F}_{n}-F\right\|_{\infty}$ or $\left\|\hat{Q}_{n}-Q\right\|_{\infty}$ to zero, we choose $p_{n}$ so that

$$
M n^{1 /(2 q+2)} \leq p_{n}=0\left(n^{.5-\epsilon}\right) \quad \text { for some } M, \epsilon>0
$$

and obtain

$$
\left\|\hat{P}_{n}-F\right\|_{\infty}=0_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\hat{Q}_{n}-Q\right\|_{\infty}=0_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) .
$$

Let $f_{n}(\theta)=n H_{n}(\theta)$ denote the information function based on the random sample of size $n$ and let $s_{n}^{-1}(\theta)$ denote the inverse to $s_{n}(\theta)$ viewed as a linear transformation of $\theta_{n 0}$. Set $s_{n}^{-1}=s_{n}^{-1}\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ and $\hat{\xi}_{n}^{-1}=s_{n}^{-1}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)$. Let $G_{n}(y), \hat{G}_{n}(y) \in \Theta_{n 0}$ denote the $p_{n}$-dimensional vectors having elements

$$
G_{n j}(y)=B_{n j}(y)-\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \theta_{j}}\left(\theta_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\hat{G}_{n j}(y)=B_{n j}(y)-\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \theta_{j}}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)
$$

respectively. Set

$$
\operatorname{SE}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(y)\right)=f_{n}(y)\left(G_{n}(y)^{\prime} f_{n}^{-1} G_{n}(y)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
\hat{S} E\left(\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{n}(y)\right)=\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{n}(y)\left(\hat{G}_{n}(y)^{\prime} \hat{\xi}_{n}^{-1} \hat{G}_{n}(y)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

THEOREM 3. Suppose that $p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then uniformly in $y \in I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{SE}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(y)\right) \sim\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}, \\
& \frac{\hat{S E}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(y)\right)}{\operatorname{SE}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(y)\right)}=1+o_{p r}(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{L}\left[\frac{\hat{f}_{n}(y)-f_{n}(y)}{S E\left(\hat{f}_{n}(y)\right)}\right] \rightarrow N(0,1)
$$

It follows from Theorem 3 that $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{n}(y) \pm z_{1-.5 \alpha} \hat{\operatorname{SE}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{n}(y)\right)$ is an asymptotic $(1-\alpha)$-level confidence interval for $f_{n}(y)$; if $\delta_{n}=o\left(\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$, it is also an asymptotic $(1-\alpha)$-level confidence interval for $f(y)$. Here $\Phi\left(z_{q}\right)=q, \Phi$ being the standard normal distribution function. Set

$$
\operatorname{SE}\left(\hat{F}_{n}(y)\right)=(F(y)(1-F(y)) / n)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{SE}\left(\hat{Q}_{n}(t)\right)=\left\{\frac{t(1-t)}{n f^{2}(Q(t))}\right\}^{1 / 2} .
$$

Note that $\operatorname{Int}(I)=(0,1)$, since $I=[0,1]$.

THEOREM 4. Suppose that $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\mathscr{L}\left[\frac{\hat{F}_{n}(y)-F_{n}(y)}{\operatorname{SE}\left(\hat{F}_{n}(y)\right)}\right] \rightarrow N(0,1) \quad$ uniformly on compact subsets of int (I)
and
$\mathscr{L}\left[\frac{\hat{Q}_{n}(t)-Q_{n}(t)}{\operatorname{SE}\left(\hat{Q}_{n}(t)\right)}\right] \rightarrow N(0,1) \quad$ uniformly on compact subsets of $(0,1)$.
Theorem 4 leads in an obvious manner to asymptotic (1- $\alpha$ )-level confidence intervals for $F_{n}(y)$ and $Q_{n}(t)$. It follows from Theorem 1 that under the mild condition $\delta_{n} / p_{n}=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$, these are also asymptotic (1- $\alpha$ )level confidence intervals for $F(y)$ and $Q(t)$. It is interesting to note that the associated standard errors coincide with those for the usual nonparametric estimators of these quantities. $\hat{F}_{n}$ and $\hat{Q}_{n}$ are much smoother than the corresponding nonparametric estimators.)

The results in this paper can be extended in two directions with essentially no change in proof: the restriction that the functions in $\varphi_{n}$ be (m-2)-times continuously differentiable on $I$ can be weakened in an arbitrary manner; and the knot locations $1 / K_{n}, \cdots,\left(K_{n}-1\right) / K_{n}$ can be replaced by a sequence which is o-quasi-uniform in the sense of Page 216 of Schumaker (1981) (i. e., such that the ratios of the differences between consecutive knots are bounded away from zero and infinity).

Modifications to handle $I=(0, \infty)$ or $I=(-\infty, \infty)$ involving datadependent knot selection, linear restrictions on the tails of the functions in $\varphi_{n}$, and transformations were described in Stone and Koo (1986) and illustrated on simulated data with $n=200$ and $p_{n}=5$ (4 degrees of freedom). The various estimates and confidence intervals look very reasonable and, especially those for extreme quantiles, appear to have considerable practical utility. But a thorough Monte Carlo study or a rigorous theoretical analysis that takes these modifications into account has yet to be carried out.

For recent bibliographies of nonparametric density estimation, see Wertz and Schneider (1979) and Titterington (1985). Leonard (1978) and Silverman (1982) considered various nonparametric estimators of $\log f$, an attractive feature of such an approach being that the corresponding estimate of $f$ itself is automatically positive. Logspline density estimation corresponds to estimating log $f$ by a normalized member of a particular flexible finitedimensional vector space, $\varphi_{n}$, of functions and hence to estimating $f$ by a member of a particular flexible exponential family. Previously, Neyman (1937) and Crain (1974, 1976a, 1976b, 1977) considered other such exponential families.
2. Proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. $\quad \int s\left(f_{n}-f\right)=0$ for $s \in \varphi_{n}$.
PROOF. Choose $s \in \mathscr{\varphi}_{n}$ and define $g$ on $\mathbb{R}$ by $\int f_{n} e^{t s-g(t)}=1$. Then $g^{\prime}(0)=\int s f_{n}$. Also $\int\left(\log f_{n}+t s-g(t)\right) f \quad$ is maximized at $t=0$ and hence $g^{\prime}(0)=\int s f$. Thus the conclusion of the lemma is valid.

By Lemma $1, f_{n}-s$ is the orthogonal projection of $f-s$ onto $\varphi_{n}$ for all $s \in \varphi_{n}$. Thus by Theorem 1 of de Boor (1976), there is an $M>0$ such that $\left\|f_{n}-s\right\|_{\infty} \leq M\|f-s\|_{\infty}$ for $n \geq 1$ and $s \in \varphi_{n}$. If $s \in \varphi_{n}$ and $\|f-s\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \delta_{n}$, then $\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2(M+1) \delta_{n}$. This yields (i). We will now verify (ii), from which (iii) follows easily. It can be assumed that $p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It will be shown below that there is a positive constant $M$ satisfying the following condition: for $n \geq 1$ and $x \in\left[2 \mathrm{Mp}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1}, 1-\mathrm{Mp}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1}\right]$ there is an $s \in \varphi_{n}$ such that $-1 \leq s \leq 1$ on $I, s=1$ on $\left[M p_{n}^{-1}, x-M p_{n}^{-1}\right]$, and $s=0$ on $\left[x+M p_{n}^{-1}, 1\right]$. According to Lemma 1 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\int_{0}^{1} s\left(f_{n}-f\right)= & \int_{0}^{x}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+\int_{0}^{M p_{n}^{-1}}(s-1)\left(f_{n}-f\right) \\
& +\int_{x-M p_{n}^{-1}}^{x}(s-1)\left(f_{n}-f\right)+\int_{x}^{x+M p_{n}^{-1}} s\left(f_{n}-f\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired result now follows from Theorem 1(i).
In constructing the desired function $s$ it can be assumed that $m>1$ (since the result is obvious when $m=1$ ). Let $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ be elements of the usual B-spline basis with $m$ replaced by $m-1$ such that $B_{1}$ vanishes outside $\left[0, M p_{n}^{-1}\right]$ and $B_{2}$ vanishes outside $\left[x-M p_{n}^{-1}, x+M p_{n}^{-1}\right]$. Then $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are nonnegative functions. Let $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ be positive constants such that $\int c_{1} B_{1}=1$ and $\int c_{2} B_{2}=1$. Then $s$, defined by

$$
s(y)=\int_{0}^{y}\left(c_{1} B_{1}(z)-c_{2} B_{2}(z)\right) d z
$$

has the desired properties. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
3. Proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 2. For any positive number $M$ there is an $\delta>0$ such that if f and g are probability density functions on $\mathrm{I},\|\mathrm{g}\|_{\infty} \leq \mathrm{M}$ and $\|\log \mathrm{f}-\log g\|_{\infty} \leq M$, then

$$
\min \int(\log f-\log g-a)^{2} \geq \delta\left((\log f-\log g)^{2} .\right.
$$

a
PROOF. The minimum value of $a$ is $a_{0}=\rho \log f-\rho \log g$. Set $h=\log f-\log g-a_{0} . \quad$ Then $|h| \leq 2 M$. Since $f$ and $g$ integrate to 1 , $a_{0}=-\log \left(1+\int g\left(e^{h}-1\right)\right)$. Thus there is a positive constant $M_{1}$ depending on $M$ such that $a_{0}^{2} \leq M_{1} / h^{2}$. Consequently

$$
f(\log f-\log g)^{2}=a_{0}^{2}+/ h^{2} \leq\left(M_{1}+1\right) / h^{2},
$$

which yields the desired result.
LEMMA 3. There is a positive number $M$ such that

$$
\left|C_{n}(\theta)\right| \leq M\left\|\log f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)\right\|_{\infty} \quad \text { for all } \theta \in \theta_{n 0}
$$

PROOF. Since

$$
\log f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)=\sum_{j}\left(\theta_{n j}-C_{n}(\theta)\right) B_{n j} \quad \text { for } \quad \theta \in \theta_{n}
$$

the desired result follows from (viii) on Page 155 of de Boor (1978).
LEMMA 4. Let $M$ be a positive constant. Then there are positive constants $M_{1}$ and $\delta$ such that if $n \geq 1, \theta \in \theta_{n}$ and

$$
\left\|\log f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)-\log f_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq M p_{n}^{-1 / 2}
$$

then

$$
\left\|\log f_{n}\left(\cdot ; \theta_{n}+t\left(\theta-\theta_{n}\right)\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{1} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

and

$$
\Lambda_{n}(\theta)-\Lambda_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \leq-\delta\left\|l \log f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)-\log f_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that $\theta \in \theta_{n 0}$. It follows from Theorem $1(i)$ and from Lemma 7 of Stone (1986) that there is a positive constant $M_{2}$ depending on $M$ such that if $n$ and $\theta$ are as in the statement of the present lemma, then $\left\|\log f_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{2}$ and
$\left\|\log f_{n}(\cdot ; 0)\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{2}$. Thus by Lemma 3 there is a positive constant $M_{3}$ depending on $M$ such that

$$
\left\|s_{n}\left(\cdot ; \theta_{n}+t\left(\theta-\theta_{n}\right)\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{3} \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

which yields the first conclusion of the lemma. Observe that

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{n}\left(\theta_{n}+t\left(\theta-\theta_{n}\right)\right)\right|_{t=0}=0
$$

Thus it follows from (1) and Taylor's theorem with remainder that

$$
A_{n}(\theta)-\Lambda_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} f\left(s_{n}\left(\cdot ; \theta-\theta_{n}\right)-a\right)^{2} f_{n}\left(\cdot ; \theta_{n}+t\left(\theta-\theta_{n}\right)\right)
$$

for some $t \in(0,1)$ and constant $a$. The second conclusion of the lemma now follows from the first conclusion and Lemma 2.

LEMMA 5. Given $M>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left|\frac{l_{n}(\theta)-\ell_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{n}-\left(\Lambda_{n}(\theta)-\Lambda_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)\right| \geq \operatorname{cllog} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)-\log f_{n} \|_{2}\right] \leq 2 e^{-\delta n c^{2}}
$$

for $n \geq 1,0 \in \Theta_{n}, \quad$ and $0<c \leq M p_{n}^{-1 / 2}$.
PROOF. Write

$$
\ell_{n}(\theta)-\ell_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)-n\left(\Lambda_{n}(\theta)-\Lambda_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)=\varepsilon_{1}^{n} z_{i},
$$

where

$$
Z_{i}=\log f_{n}\left(Y_{i} ; \theta\right)-\log f_{n}\left(Y_{i}\right)-E\left(\log f_{n}\left(Y_{i} ; \theta\right)-\log f_{n}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Set $a=\left\|\log f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)-\log f_{n}\right\|_{2}$. By Lemma 7 of Stone (1986) there is a positive number $M_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\|\log f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)-\log f_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{1} a p_{n}^{1 / 2}
$$

Thus there is a positive constant $M_{2}$ such that $\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq M_{2} a p_{n}^{1 / 2}$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(Z_{i}\right) \leq M_{2} a^{2}$. The desired result now follows from Bernstein's inequality (see Theorem 3 of Hoeffding, 1963).

The next result is an immediate consequence of the definitions of the various terms.

LEMMA 6. If $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \theta_{n}$, then

$$
\left|\frac{\ell_{n}\left(\theta_{2}\right)-\ell_{n}\left(\theta_{1}\right)}{n}-\left(\Lambda_{n}\left(\theta_{2}\right)-\Lambda_{n}\left(\theta_{1}\right)\right)\right| \leq 2\left\|\log f_{n}\left(\cdot ; \theta_{2}\right)-\log f_{n}\left(\cdot ; \theta_{1}\right)\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Set $\mathcal{F}_{n}=\left\{f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta): \theta \in \theta_{n}\right\}$. It is convenient to define the "diameter" of a subset $F$ of $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ as

$$
\sup \left\{\left\|\log f_{2}-\log f_{1}\right\|_{\infty}: f_{1}, f_{2} \in F\right\}
$$

The next result, essentially Lemma 12 of Stone (1986), is a consequence of Lemma 7 of that paper and (viii) on Page 155 of de Boor (1978).

LEMMA 7. Given $\epsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$, there is an $M>0$ such that the following is valid:

$$
\left\{\theta \in \theta_{n}:\left\|\log f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)-\log f_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq n^{\epsilon}\left(p_{n} / n\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

can be covered by $0\left(\exp \left(M_{n} \log (n)\right)\right)$ subsets each having diameter at most $\delta n^{2 \epsilon} p_{n} / n$.

LEMMA 8. $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathrm{n}}$ exists and is unique except on an event whose probability tends to zero with n. Moreover.

$$
\left\|\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}\right\|_{2}=0_{p r}\left(n^{\epsilon}\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { for all } \epsilon>0
$$

PROOF. Set $c_{n}=n^{\epsilon}\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and

$$
\theta_{n 1}=\left\{\theta \in \theta_{n 0}:\left\|\log f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)-\log f_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq c_{n}\right\}
$$

Then $\theta_{n 1}$ is a compact set whose boundary, relative to $\theta_{n 0}$, is contained in

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{n} 2}=\left\{\theta \in \theta_{\mathrm{n} 0}:\left\|\log f_{\mathrm{n}}(\cdot ; \theta)-\log f_{\mathrm{n}}\right\|_{2}=c_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}
$$

In light of (2), it can be assumed that there is a positive constant $M$ such that $c_{n} \leq M p_{n}^{-1 / 2}$ for $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 4 there is a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\Lambda_{n}(\theta)-\Lambda_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \leq-\delta c_{n}^{2} \quad \text { for all } \theta \in \theta_{n 2}
$$

Thus by Lemmas 5-7, except on an event whose probability tends to zero with n,

$$
\ell_{n}(\theta)<\ell_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \quad \text { for all } \theta \in \theta_{n 2}
$$

and hence $\ell_{n}(\cdot)$ has a local maximum in the relative interior of $\theta_{n 1}$. The desired conclusions now follow from the strict concavity of $\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathrm{n}}(\theta)$ on $\theta_{\text {no }}{ }^{\circ}$

Let $S_{n}(\theta) \in \theta_{n 0}$ denote the score function; that is, the $p_{n}$-dimensional vector of elements

$$
\frac{\partial \ell_{n}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{j}}=\sum_{i}\left[B_{n j}\left(Y_{i}\right)-\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \theta_{j}}(\theta)\right]
$$

Set $S_{n}=S_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)$. Then $E S_{n}=0$ and

$$
\left.E\left|S_{n}\right|^{2}=\underset{j}{n \Sigma \operatorname{Var}\left(B_{n j}\right.}(Y)\right) \leq \underset{j}{n E E B_{n j}^{2}}(Y)=\underset{j E E B_{n j}^{2}}{(Y)}=0(n)
$$

Consequently, the following result is valid.
LEMMA 9. $\left|S_{n}\right|^{2}=0_{p r}(n)$.
The maximum likelihood equation for $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ is $S_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)=0$, which can be rewritten as

$$
D_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)=S_{n},
$$

where $D_{n}$ is the $p_{n} \times p_{n}$ matrix defined by

$$
D_{n}=n \int_{0}^{1} H_{n}\left(\theta_{n}+t\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right) d t
$$

LEMMA 10. There is a $\delta>0$ such that, except on an event whose probability tends to zero with $n$,

$$
\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} D_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right) \geq \delta n\left\|\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 4, Lemma 8 and (2) that

$$
\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \| \log f_{n}\left(\cdot ; \theta_{n}+t\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right) \|_{\infty}=0_{p r}(1)\right.
$$

The desired result now follows from (1). Theorem 1(i) and Lemma 2.
LEMMA 11. $\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}{ }^{-\theta_{n}}\right)^{\prime} S_{n}=0_{p r}\left(\left(n p_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\left\|\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}\right\|_{2}$.
PROOF. Let $u$ denote the $p_{n}$-dimensional vector each of whose coordinates is 1 . Then $u^{\prime} S_{n}=0$ since $S_{n} \in \theta_{n 0}$. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}=\sum_{j}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n j}-\theta_{n j}-C_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)+C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right) B_{n j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so it follows from Lemma 9 together with (12) of Stone (1986) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} S_{n}\right|^{2}=\left|\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}-\left(C_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right) u\right)^{\prime} S_{n}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \mid\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)-\left(C_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-\left.C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) u\right|^{2}\left|S_{n}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \quad=0_{p r}\left(n p_{n}\right)\left\|\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

LEMMA 12. (i) $\left\|\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}\right\|_{2}=o_{p r}\left(\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$;
(ii) $\left\|\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=o_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{p}_{n}\right)=o_{p r}(1)$;
(iii) $\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{2}=0_{p r}\left(\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$;
(iv) $\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right|=o_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2} p_{n}\right)$.

PROOF. According to the maximum likelihood equation for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{n}}$,

$$
\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} D_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)=\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} S_{n} .
$$

Thus the first result follows from Lemmas 10 and 11 . The second result now follows from (2) and Lemma 7 of Stone (1986). The third result follows from the first two results and Theorem $1(i)$. Since $\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{- \theta}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}=0$, it follows from (5), the first result, and (12) of Stone (1986) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right|^{2}+p_{n}\left(c_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-c_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)^{2}=\mid \hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}+\left(C_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-\left.C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) u\right|^{2}\right. \\
&=0\left(p_{n}\left\|\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}\right\| \|_{2}^{2}\right)=o_{p r}\left(n^{-1} p_{n}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence that the last result is valid.
The next result follows from (2), Lemma 4 and Lemma $12(i)$.
LEMMA 13. There are positive constants $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ such that, except on an event whose probability tends to zero with $n$,

$$
M_{1} \leq f_{n}\left(\cdot: \theta_{n}+t\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right) \leq M_{2} \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 .
$$

Let $\nabla C_{n}(\theta)$ denote the gradient of $C_{n}(\theta)$; that is, the $p_{n}$-dimensional vector of elements

$$
\frac{\partial C_{n}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{j}}
$$

LEMMA 14. $C_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)=\nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \cdot\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)+o_{p r}\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right)$.
PROOF. Observe that

$$
C_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)=\nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \cdot\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)+\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} R_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n} \theta_{n}\right),
$$

where $R_{n}$ is the $p_{n} \times p_{n}$ matrix defined by

$$
R_{n}=\int_{0}^{1}(1-t) H_{n}\left(\theta_{n}+t\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right) d t .
$$

The desired result now follows from (1), Lemma $12(i v)$, Lemma 13 and (12) of Stone (1986).

LEMMA 15. There is a positive constant $M$ such that, except on an event whose probability tends to zero with n ,
$\sum_{j}\left[\sum_{k}^{\sum} \sum_{m} \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\frac{\partial^{3} C_{n}}{\partial \theta_{j} \partial \theta_{k} \partial \theta_{m}}\left(\theta_{n}+t\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right)\right|\left|r_{k}\right|\right]^{2} \leq M p_{n}^{-2}|r|^{2} \quad$ for $\quad r \in \theta_{n}$.
PROOF. It is easily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{3} C_{n}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{j} \partial \theta_{k} \partial \theta_{m}}= & \int B_{n j} B_{n k} B_{n m} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \\
& -\int B_{n j} B_{n k} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \int B_{n m} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \\
& -\int B_{n j} B_{n m} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \int B_{n k} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \\
& -\int B_{n k} B_{n m} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \int B_{n j} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \\
& +\int B_{n j} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \int B_{n k} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \int B_{n m} f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired result now follows from Lemma 13 and the basic properties of $B$ splines.

It follows easily from Theorem $1(i)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq j \leq p_{n}}\left|\frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \theta_{j}}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right|=0\left(p_{n}^{-1}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{n}(y)\right| \sim 1 \text { uniformly for } y \in I . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next result follows from (5) and Lemma 14.
LEMMA 16. $\left\|\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}-G_{n}(\cdot)^{\prime}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right\|_{\infty}=o_{p r}\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right)$.
For $\theta \in \theta_{n}$ let $\min f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)$ and $\max f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)$ respectively denote the minimum and maximum values of $f_{n}(y ; \theta)$ as $y$ ranges over $I$.

LEMMA 17. For each $\theta \in \theta_{n}, H_{n}(\theta)$ is a positive definite symmetric linear transformation on $\Theta_{\mathrm{n} 0}$. There are positive constants $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ such that

$$
M_{1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1}|\tau|^{2} \min \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\cdot ; \theta) \leq r^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\theta) \tau \leq \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-1}|\tau|^{2} \max \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\cdot ; \theta)
$$

for $\mathrm{n} \geq 1, \theta \in \theta_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $r \in \theta_{\mathrm{n} 0}$.

PROOF. The symmetry of $H_{n}(\theta)$ follows directly from its definition. By (12) of Stone (1986) there are positive constants $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ such that

$$
M_{1} p_{n}^{-1}|r|^{2} \leq\left\|s_{n}(\cdot ; r)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq M_{2} p_{n}^{-1}|r|^{2} \quad \text { for } r \in \theta_{n}
$$

It follows from (1) that

$$
r H_{n}(\theta) r \leq\left\|s_{n}(\cdot ; \tau)\right\|_{2}^{2} \max f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \leq M_{2} p_{n}^{-1}|r|^{2} \max f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)
$$

for $r \in \Theta_{n}$. It also follows from (1) that if $r \in \theta_{n 0}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{\prime} H_{n}(\theta) r & \geq\left\|s_{n}(\cdot ; r-a u)\right\|_{2}^{2} \min f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \\
& \geq M_{1} p_{n}^{-1}|r-a u|^{2} \min f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta) \\
& \geq M_{1} p_{n}^{-1}|r|^{2} \min f_{n}(\cdot ; \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the conclusion of the lemma is valid.
LEMMA 18. There are positive constants $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ such that $M_{1} n p_{n}^{-1}|r|^{2} \leq r^{\prime} \operatorname{Cov}\left(S_{n}\right) \tau \leq M_{2} n p_{n}^{-1}|r|^{2} \quad$ for $n \geq 1$ and $r \in \theta_{n 0}$.
PROOF. Since

$$
r^{\prime} \operatorname{Cov}\left(S_{n}\right) r=n /\left(s_{n}(\cdot ; r)-a\right)^{2} f
$$

where $a=f s_{n}(\cdot ; T) f$, the result follows from the argument used to prove Lemma 17.

Consider the approximation $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{n} 0}$ to $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{n}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{n}}$ defined by $\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{\mathrm{n}}=S_{\mathrm{n}}$. Then $\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_{n}=s_{n}^{-1} S_{n}$ and hence $G_{n}(y)^{\prime} \hat{p}_{n}=G_{n}(y)^{\prime} s_{n}^{-1} S_{n}$. It follows easily from
(7) and Lemma 17 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r \cdot s_{n}^{-1} G_{n}(y)\right|=0\left(n^{-1} p_{n}|r|\right) \quad \text { uniformly in } \quad r \in \theta_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad y \in I \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

LEMMA 19. $\max _{1 \leq j \leq p_{n}}\left|\hat{\varphi}_{n j}\right|=0_{p r}\left(\left(n^{-1} p_{n} \log \left(p_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$.
PROOF. Since $f_{n}^{-1} \operatorname{Cov}\left(S_{n}\right) s_{n}^{-1}$ is the covariance matrix of $\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_{n}$, it follows from Lemmas 17 and 18 that $\max _{j} \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{n j}\right)=O\left(p_{n} / n\right)$. Observe that

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_{n j}=\Sigma_{1}^{n}\left(s_{n}^{-1} G_{n}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)_{j}
$$

According to (8),

$$
\sup _{y \in I} \max _{1 \leq j \leq p_{n}}\left|\left(s_{n}^{-1} G_{n}(y)\right)_{j}\right|=O\left(p_{n} / n\right)
$$

The desired result now follows from Bernstein's inequality.

LEMMA 20. $\left|\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{n}}-\theta_{\mathrm{n}}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|^{2}=0_{\mathrm{pr}}\left(\mathrm{n}^{-2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{3} \log \left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)$.
PROOF. It follows from the maximum likelihood equation that

$$
\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}=\hat{\varphi}_{n}-s_{n}^{-1}\left(D_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right) .
$$

According to Lemma 17,

$$
\left|s_{n}^{-1}\left(D_{n}-s_{n}\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right|^{2}=o_{p r}(1)\left(p_{n} / n\right)^{2}\left|\left(D_{n}-s_{n}\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

The $(j, k)$ th element of $D_{n}{ }^{-s} n$ can be written as

$$
\underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{n} A_{\mathrm{njkm}}}\left(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{nm}}{ }^{-\theta}{ }_{\mathrm{nm}}\right)
$$

where

$$
A_{n j k m}=\int_{0}^{1}(1-t) \frac{\partial^{3} C_{n}}{\partial \theta_{j} \partial \theta_{k} \partial \theta_{m}}\left(\theta_{n}+t\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right) d t
$$

Thus the $j$ th element of $\left(D_{n}{ }^{-\phi}{ }_{n}\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)$ equals

$$
\underset{k m}{ } \sum_{n j k m}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n k} \theta_{n k}\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{n m}-\theta_{n m}\right)
$$

Hence by Lemmas 12 and 15

$$
\left|\left(D_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right|^{2}=o_{p r}\left(n \max _{1 \leq j \leq p_{n}}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n j}-\theta_{n j}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}-\hat{\varphi}_{n}\right|^{2}=o_{p r}\left(n^{-1} p_{n}^{2} \max _{1 \leq j \leq p_{n}}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n j}-\theta_{n j}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

Consequently, by Lemma 19,

$$
\max _{1 \leq j \leq p_{n}}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n j}-\theta_{n j}\right)^{2}=0_{p r}\left(n^{-1} p_{n} \log \left(p_{n}\right)+n^{-1} p_{n}^{2} \max _{1 \leq j \leq p_{n}}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n j}^{-\theta} n j^{2}\right)\right.
$$

Thus by (2)

$$
\max _{1 \leq j \leq p_{n}}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n j}-\theta_{n j}\right)^{2}=0_{p r}\left(n^{-1} p_{n} \log \left(p_{n}\right)\right)
$$

which yields the desired result.
The first conclusion to Theorem 2 is contained in Lemma 8; the second and fourth conclusions are contained in Lemma 12; and the third conclusion follows from (2) and Lemmas 19 and 20. We will now verify the fifth conclusion. It follows from (5) and Lemma 14 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}-G_{n}(\cdot)^{\prime}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right\|_{\infty}=o_{p r}\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
G_{n}(y)^{\prime} \hat{\varphi}_{n}=\sum_{j} B_{n j}(y) \hat{\varphi}_{n j}-\sum_{j} \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \theta_{j}}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \hat{\varphi}_{n j}
$$

it follows from (6) and Lemma 19 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G_{n}(\cdot) \cdot \hat{\varphi}_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=0_{p r}\left(\left(n^{-1} p_{n} \log \left(p_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fifth conclusion follows from (2), (7), (9), (10), Theorem 1(i), Lemma $12(\mathrm{ii})$, and Lemma 20.

We will now verify the sixth conclusion. It follows from (6) and Lemma 20 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}-\hat{\varphi}_{n}\right)=0_{p r}\left(n^{-1} p_{n} \log ^{1 / 2}\left(p_{n}\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 20 (and, of course, the basic properties of B-splines) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n j}-\theta_{n j}-\hat{\varphi}_{n j}\right| / B_{n j}=0_{p r}\left(n^{-1} p_{n} \log ^{1 / 2}\left(p_{n}\right)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (11) and (12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|G_{n}(\cdot)^{\prime}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}{ }^{-\theta_{n}}-\hat{\varphi}_{n}\right)\right|=0_{p r}\left(n^{-1} p_{n} \log ^{1 / 2}\left(p_{n}\right)\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sixth conclusion is a consequence of (2), (7), (10), (13), Theorem 1(i), Lemma 20 , and the following result; while the seventh conclusion follows from the sixth conclusion and Theorem 1.

$$
\text { LEMMA 21. } \max _{0 \leq x \leq 1}\left|\int_{0}^{x} f_{n}(y) G_{n}(y) \cdot \hat{\varphi}_{n} d y\right|=o_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

PROOF. Observe that
$\operatorname{Var}\left(\nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} \hat{\rho}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}{ }_{n}^{-1} S_{n}\right)=\left(s_{n}^{-1} \nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)^{\prime} \operatorname{Cov}\left(S_{n}\right) s_{n}^{-1} \nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)$.
Thus it follows from (6), Lemma 17 and Lemma 18 that

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \cdot \ddot{\varphi}_{n}\right)=0\left(n p_{n}^{-1}\left|s_{n}^{-1} \nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right)=0\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\left|\nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right)=0\left(n^{-1}\right)
$$

and hence that

$$
\nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} \hat{\varphi}_{n}=0_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

Consequently, to prove the desired result it suffices to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leq x \leq 1}\left|\Sigma \hat{\varphi}_{n j}\right|_{0}^{x} f_{n}(y) B_{n j}(y) d y \mid=0_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any particular value of $x$, all but $a$ bounded number of terms $\int_{0}^{x} f_{n}(y) B_{n j}(y) d y$ are equal to $\int_{0}^{1} f_{n}(y) B_{n j}(y) d y$ or to zero. By (2) and Lemma 19, the total contribution of the bounded number of exceptional terms is

$$
o\left(p_{n}^{-1} \max _{1 \leq j \leq p_{n}}\left|\hat{\varphi}_{n j}\right|\right)=o_{p r}\left(p_{n}^{-1}\left(n^{-1} p_{n} \log \left(p_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right)=o_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

Thus, by the form of the support of the B-splines $B_{n j}, 1 \leq j \leq p_{n}$ (see de Boor (1978)), to verify (14) it suffices to verify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq k \leq p_{n}}\left|\Sigma_{1}^{k} \hat{\varphi}_{n j} / f_{n} B_{n j}\right|=o_{p r}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y$ be a subset of consecutive integers in $\left\{1, \cdots, p_{n}\right\}$ and let $J$ denote the number of integers in $g$. Let $T$ denote the $p_{n}$-dimensional vector having elements $\int f_{n} B_{n j}$ for $j \in \mathcal{g}$ and zero otherwise. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|r|^{2}=0\left(\mathrm{Jp}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-2}\right) \quad \text { uniformly in } g \text { and } n . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{j \in f} \hat{\varphi}_{n j} / f_{n} B_{n j}\right)=\left(s_{n}^{-1} r\right) \cdot \operatorname{Cov}\left(S_{n}\right)\left(s_{n}^{-1} r\right) .
$$

it follows from (16) and Lemmas 17 and 18 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{f}} \hat{\varphi}_{n j} / f_{n} B_{n j}\right)=O\left(J n^{-1} p_{n}^{-1}\right) \quad \text { uniformly in } g \text { and } n . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe next that

Here $X_{n \notin i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are independent random variables having mean zero; and, by (7), Lemma 17, and the basic properties of $B$-splines,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{n \notin i}\right| \leq b_{n} \quad \text { with } \quad b_{n}=0\left(n^{-1} p_{n}^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (17)-(19) and Bernstein's inequality that there is a $\beta>0$,
which does not depend on $n$ or $g$, such that
(20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|\sum_{j \in f} \hat{\varphi}_{n j} J f_{n} B_{n j}\right|\right.\left.\geq A n^{-1 / 2}\left(J / p_{n}\right)^{c}\right) \\
& \leq 2\left[\exp \left(-\beta A n^{1 / 2} p_{n}^{-1 / 2}\left(J / p_{n}\right)^{c}\right)+\exp \left(-\beta A^{2}\left(p_{n} / J\right)^{1-2 c}\right)\right] \\
& \text { for } A>0 \text { and } 0<c<.5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $R_{n}=\min \left[r: 2^{r} \geq p_{n}\right]$. For $0 \leq r \leq R_{n}$, let $M_{n r}$ denote the collection of all sets of integers of the form

$$
\left\{(m-1) 2^{r}+1, \cdots, m 2^{r}\right\}, \quad \text { where } \quad 1 \leq m \leq p_{n} / 2^{r}
$$

It follows from (2) and (20) that, for any $\epsilon>0$, $A$ can be chosen sufficiently large so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|\sum_{j \in \mathcal{F}} \hat{\varphi}_{n j} \int f_{n} B_{n j}\right| \geq A n^{-1 / 2}\left(J / p_{n}\right)\right. & \text { for some } \left.\delta \in U_{0}^{R} n_{n r} M_{n}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& \leq \in \quad \text { for all } n \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

For $1 \leq k \leq p_{n},\{1, \cdots, k\}$ can be written as a disjoint union of sets $\& \in M_{n r}$ such that for $0 \leq r \leq R_{n}$, there is at most one such $\mathcal{E} \in M_{n r}$. Thus it follows from (21) that (15) holds, as desired.
4. Proof of Theorem 3. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var} G_{n}(y) \cdot \hat{P}_{n}=G_{n}(y) \cdot s_{n}^{-1} \operatorname{Cov}\left(S_{n}\right) s_{n}^{-1} G_{n}(y) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

so it follows from (7). Theorem 1(i), and Lemmas 17 and 18 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var} G_{n}(y) \cdot \hat{p}_{n} \sim n^{-1} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

LEMMA 22. Uniformly in $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{I}$,

$$
\mathscr{L}\left[\frac{G_{n}(y) \cdot \hat{\varphi}_{n}}{S D\left(G_{n}(y)^{\prime} \hat{\varphi}_{n}\right)}\right] \rightarrow N(0,1) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

PROOF. Observe that $G_{n}(y) \cdot \hat{p}_{n}=\Sigma_{1}^{n} Z_{n i}$, where $Z_{n i}=G_{n}(y) \cdot s_{n}^{-1} G_{n}\left(Y_{i}\right)$. For each $n$, the random variables $Z_{n 1}, \cdots, Z_{n n}$ have mean zero and are independent and identically distributed. Moreover,

$$
\left|G_{n}(y) \cdot f_{n}^{-1} G_{n}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \leq\left|G_{n}(y) \cdot s_{n}^{-1} G_{n}(y)\right|\left|G_{n}\left(Y_{i}\right) \cdot s_{n}^{-1} G_{n}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right|=O\left(n^{-2} p_{n}^{2}\right) .
$$

The desired result now follows from (2), (23) and the central limit theorem (see the corollary on page 201 of Chung, 1974).

LEMMA 23. There is a positive constant $M$ such that

$$
\left|\tau^{\prime} \operatorname{Cov}\left(S_{n}\right) \tau-\tau^{\prime} \xi_{n} \tau\right| \leq M n p_{n}^{-1} \delta_{n}|\tau|^{2} \quad \text { for } n \geq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad r \in \theta_{n}
$$

PROOF. Set $a_{n}=\int s_{n}(\cdot ; r) f$ and $a_{n}^{*}=\int s_{n}(\cdot ; r) f_{n}$. Then

$$
r^{\prime} \operatorname{Cov}\left(S_{n}\right) r=n f\left(s_{n}(\cdot ; r)-a_{n}\right)^{2} f
$$

and

$$
\left.\tau^{\prime} \xi_{n} \tau=n /\left(s_{n}(\cdot ; \tau)-a_{n}^{*}\right)\right)^{2} f_{n} .
$$

The desired result now follows easily from Theorem 1(i) and (12) of Stone (1986).

It follows from (7), Theorem 1(i), Lemma 17 and Lemma 23 that there is a positive constant $M$ such that, for $n \geq 1$ and $y \in I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{n}(y) \cdot s_{n}^{-1} \operatorname{Cov}\left(S_{n}\right) s_{n}^{-1} G_{n}(y)-G_{n}(y) \cdot s_{n}^{-1} G_{n}(y)\right| \leq M n^{-1} p_{n} \delta_{n} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2), (7), (9) and Lemma 20 that

$$
\left\|\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}-G_{n}(\cdot) \cdot \hat{\varphi}_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=o_{p r}\left(\left(n^{-1} p_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Thus by (23) and Lemma 22,
$\mathscr{L}\left(\left(\log \hat{f}_{n}-\log f_{n}\right) / \operatorname{SD}\left(G_{n}(y)^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow N(0,1) \quad$ uniformly in $y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
It follows easily from this together with (2), (22)-(24) and Theorem 1(i) that the first and third conclusions of Theorem 3 are valid.

LEMMA 24. Uniformly in $T \in \Theta_{n}$,

$$
\left|\left(\xi_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-s\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right) r\right|^{2}=0_{p r}\left(n p_{n}^{-1} \log \left(p_{n}\right)\right)|r|^{2}
$$

PROOF. Observe that

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} C_{n}}{\partial \theta_{j} \partial \theta_{k}}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-\frac{\partial^{2} C_{n}}{\partial \theta_{j} \partial \theta_{k}}\left(\theta_{n}\right)=\Sigma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial^{3} C_{n}}{\partial \theta_{j} \partial \theta_{k} \partial \theta_{m}}\left(\theta_{n}+t\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{n m}-\theta_{n m}\right) d t
$$

Thus the desired result follows from Lemmas 15,19 and 20.
Since $\hat{\xi}_{n}^{-1}-s_{n}^{-1}=s_{n}^{-1}\left(s_{n}^{-\hat{s}_{n}}\right) \hat{s}_{n}^{-1}$, the next result follows from Lemmas 13, 17 and 24.

LEMMA 25. Uniformly in $\quad \tau \in \theta_{n 0}$,

$$
\left|\left(\hat{s}_{n}^{-1}-s_{n}^{-1}\right) r\right|^{2}=0_{p r}\left(n^{-3} p_{n}^{3} \log \left(p_{n}\right)\right)|r|^{2}
$$

LEMMA 26. $\left|\hat{G}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{y})-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{y})\right|^{2}=0_{\mathrm{pr}}(1 / \mathrm{n})$ uniformly in y .
PROOF. Observe that

$$
\hat{G}_{n}(y)-G_{n}(y)=-\left(\nabla C_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-\nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\nabla C_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-\nabla C_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)=\left(\int_{0}^{1} H_{n}\left(\theta_{n}+t\left(\theta_{n}-\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right) d t\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{n}\right)
$$

the desired result follows from Theorem $2(i)$, Lemma 13 and Lemma 17.
The next result follows from (7), (24), Theorem 1(i), and Lemmas 17, 25, and 26.

LEMMA 27. Uniformly in y ,
$\hat{G}_{n}(y) \cdot \hat{f}_{n}^{-1} \hat{G}_{n}(y)-G_{n}(y)^{\prime} f_{n}^{-1} \operatorname{Cov}\left(S_{n}\right) f_{n}^{-1} G_{n}(y)=0_{p r}\left(\left(n^{-3} p_{n}^{3} \log \left(p_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}+n^{-1} p_{n} \delta_{n}\right)$.
The second conclusion of Theorem 3 follows from (2), (22), (23), Theorem 1(i), Theorem 2(v), and Lemma 27.
5. Proof of Theoren 4. For a given value of $x \in[0,1]$, set

$$
g_{n}(\theta)=\int_{0}^{x} f_{n}(y ; \theta) d y
$$

It is easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{x} G_{n}(y) f_{n}(y) d y \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next result follows from (2), (9), (10), (13), (25) and Theorem $1(\mathrm{i})$.
LEMMA 28. $\quad \hat{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\nabla \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_{\mathrm{n}}+o_{\mathrm{pr}}\left(\mathrm{n}^{-1 / 2}\right)$ uniformly in x .
Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} \hat{\varphi}_{n}\right)=\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} s_{n}^{-1} \operatorname{Cov}\left(s_{n}\right) s_{n}^{-1} \nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6) and (25),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right|^{2}=0\left(p_{n}^{-1}\right) \quad \text { uniformly in } x \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (26), (27), Theorem 1(i), Lemma 17, and Lemma 23 that
(28) $\quad \operatorname{Var}\left(\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{n}\right)=\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime s}{ }_{n}^{-1} \nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)+0\left(n^{-1} \delta_{n}\right) \quad$ uniformly in $\quad x$.

According to the Cramer-Rao inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \cdot s_{n}^{-1} \nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \leq n^{-1} \operatorname{Var}_{\theta_{n}}\left(I I_{[0, x]}(Y)\right)=n^{-1} F_{n}(x)\left(1-F_{n}(x)\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

LEMMA 29. Suppose that $p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then
$\lim _{n} \frac{\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime s}{ }_{n}^{-1} \nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{n^{-1} F(x)(1-F(x))}=1 \quad$ uniformly for $x$ in compact subsets of int(I).
PROOF. Choose $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \Theta_{\mathrm{nO}}$. By Schwarz's inequality
(30)

$$
\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} \xi_{n}^{-1} \nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \geq \frac{\left(\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} \varphi_{n}\right)^{2}}{\varphi_{n}^{\prime} \xi_{n} \varphi_{n}}
$$

By (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}^{\prime} s_{n} \varphi_{n}=n \operatorname{Var}_{\theta_{n}}\left(s_{n}\left(Y ; \varphi_{n}\right)\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (25) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} \varphi_{n}=E_{\theta_{n}}\left(I_{[0, x]}(Y)\left(s_{n}\left(Y ; \varphi_{n}\right)-E_{\theta_{n}} s_{n}\left(Y ; \varphi_{n}\right)\right)\right. \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The desired result follows from (29)-(32), Theorem $1(i i)$, and the construction of $s \in \varphi_{n}$ used in the proof of that result.

The proof of the next result is similar to that of Lemma 22.

LEMMA 30. Uniformly for $x$ in compact subsets of int(I)

$$
\mathscr{L}\left[\frac{\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} \hat{\varphi}_{n}}{\operatorname{SD}\left(\nabla g_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)^{\prime} \hat{\varphi}_{n}\right)}\right] \rightarrow N(0,1) \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

The first conclusion of Theorem 4 follows from (28) and Lemmas 28-30. The second conclusion follows from the first conclusion and Theorem 1.
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