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Summary. Kernel estimates of an unknown multivariate density are investigated, with mild restrictions being placed on the kernel. A window selection rule is considered, which can be interpreted in terms of cross-validation. Under the mild assumption that the unknown density and its one-dimensional marginals are bounded, the rule is shown to be asymptotically optimal. This strengthens recent results of Peter Hall.

[^0]1. Introduction. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be independent $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued random variables having common unknown density $p$ and consider the random sample $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of size $n$. In this paper we will study the asymptotic behavior as the sample size tends to infinity of a certain window selection rule for kernel estimates of the unknown density based on the random sample.

The kernel estimates are of the form

$$
p_{n h}(x)=\frac{1}{n} \Sigma_{1}^{n} K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right),
$$

where $K_{h}(x)=v_{h}^{-1} K(x / h)$. Here the "window" $h=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{d}\right)$ belongs to $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$, the collection of $d$-tuples of positive numbers; $v_{h}=h_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot h_{d}$ is the corresponding volume; $x / h=\left(x_{1} / h_{1}, \ldots, x_{d} / h_{d}\right)$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$; and $K$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ having integral one and satisfying some mild restrictions, which will be described in Section 2.

The integrated squared error loss $L_{n h}=\int\left(p_{n h}-p\right)^{2}$ of the estimate $p_{n h}$ can be written as

$$
L_{n h}=\int p_{n h}^{2}-2 \int p_{n h} p+\int p^{2}
$$

The goal of minimizing this loss is equivalent to that of minimizing

$$
L_{n h}-\int p^{2}=\int p_{n h}^{2}-2 \int p_{n h} p ;
$$

but this goal cannot be realized in practice, since $\int \mathrm{p}_{n h} \mathrm{p}$ is unknown. Observe, however, that

$$
\int p_{n h} p=\frac{1}{n} \Sigma_{1}^{n} \int K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right) p(x) d x
$$

and hence that

$$
E \int p_{n h} p=\iint p(x) p(y) K_{h}(x-y) d x d y=E K_{h}(X-Y)
$$

where $X$ and $Y$ are independent random variables each having density p. Consequently,

$$
E \int p_{n h} p=E\left[\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K_{h}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\right]
$$

where $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are understood to range over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. This leads to the unbiased estimate

$$
\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K_{h}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)
$$

of $\int p_{n h}{ }^{p}$. A slight simplification leads to the estimate

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i \neq j} K_{h}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)
$$

of $\int \mathrm{p}_{n h} \mathrm{p}$; to the corresponding estimate

$$
M_{n h}=\int p_{n h}^{2}-\frac{2}{n^{2}} \sum_{i \neq j} K_{h}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{11}^{n} \sum_{h}^{n} K_{h}^{(2)}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)-\frac{2}{n^{2}} \sum_{i \neq j} K_{h}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)
$$

of $L_{n h}-\int p^{2}$; and to the window selection rule, "choose the window $h$ to minimize the criterion $M_{n h} . "$ This and other asymptotically equivalent criteria have been proposed and studied by Rudemo (1982), Bowman (1984), and Hall (1983a, 1983b). They point out that such criteria can also be thought of in terms of cross-validation. Specifically, let $p_{n i n}$ be the kernel estimate of $p$ based on the random sample with the $i^{\text {th }}$ case removed:

$$
p_{n i h}(x)=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j \neq i} K_{h}\left(x-x_{j}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1}^{n} p_{n i h}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} K_{h}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)
$$

is the cross-validation estimate of $\int \mathrm{p}_{n h} \mathrm{p}$.
An alternative, asymptotically equivalent, cross-validation criterion (estimate of $L_{n h}-\int p^{2}$ ) considered by these authors is

$$
\frac{1}{n} \Sigma_{1}^{n} \int p_{n i h}^{2}-\frac{2}{n} \Sigma_{1}^{n} p_{n i h}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Hall showed that choosing $h \in H_{n}$ to minimize this cross-validation criterion is asymptotically optimal under certain conditions on $K, H_{n}$ and p . In particular, K is assumed to be nonnegative. (If p is sufficiently smooth, then faster rates of convergence of the integrated squared error loss to zero can be obtained when the nonnegativity restriction on $K$ is dropped.) The unknown density $p$ is assumed to have a uniformly continuous square-integrable second derivative and to have finite second moment. Moreover, $H_{n}=\left\{\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{1}\right): \varepsilon \leq n^{1 /(4+d)} h_{1} \leq \lambda\right\}$, where $0<\varepsilon<\lambda<\infty$. On the other hand, two of the restrictions imposed on $K$ in Section 2 of this paper, compact support and Hölder continuity, are not required in Hall's results. (No serious attempt has been made here to eliminate or weaken these restrictions on $K$. For it is numerically more efficient to compute $M_{n h}$ when $K$ is a suitably chosen function with compact support; also minimizing $M_{n h}$ by a numerical search technique is more attractive when $K$ is at least mildly smooth. When $d=1$ these two considerations suggest using the triangular kernel $K$ defined by $K(x)=1-|x|$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $K(x)=0$ elsewhere; with this choice of $K$, after a preliminary sort of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, the determination of $M_{n h}$ for any given value of $h$ requires only $O(n)$ computations.)

The purpose of this paper is to show that choosing $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$ to minimize $M_{n h}$ is asymptotically optimal under a surprisingly mild assumption on p ; namely that p and its one-dimensional marginals are bounded. In this level of generality, there are no known theoretical results on the asymptotic behavior of the optimal window $h$ or the optimal rate of convergence to zero of the integrated squared error of estimation.

The main result is described in Section 2 and proven in Section 3. The formulation of the result and the method of proof were influenced to some extent by several recent theoretical investigations of the Final Prediction Error (FPE) and other closely related model selection criteria in the regression context: Shibata (1981), Breiman and Freedman (1983), Rice (1983) and Chen (1983). The relatively long proof of Lemma 3 in Section 3 is given in Section 4. It uses "Poissonization", which has been employed by Rosenblatt (1975) and Krieger and Pickands (1981) in related contexts; interestingly, it also uses multiple stochastic integration with respect to a Poisson process.

A result similar to Theorem 1 was obtained for histogram density estimates in Stone (1983). The method of proof was also similar, except that the Poissonization argument used to prove the analog of Lemma 3 was much simpler.

Under various restrictions, Krieger and Pickands (1981) and Sacks and Ylvisaker (1981) obtained asymptotically optimal selection rules for kernel estimates of the density at a fixed point. In the later paper the entire kernel, not just the window, was optimized.
2. Statement of the main result. As mentioned above, the kernel K is required to have integral one. In addition, it is required to be symmetric about the origin, to have compact support, and to be Hölder continuous; that is, such that for some positive constants $\beta$ and $c$,

$$
|K(y)-K(x)| \leq c|y-x|^{\beta} \text { for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

(here $|x|=\left(x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{d}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\left.x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. The function $k$ is not required to be nonnegative. Let $K^{(2)}$ denote the convolution of $K$ with itself, so that $K^{(2)}(x)=\int K(x-y) K(y) d y$. Then $K^{(2)}$ satisfies the same assumptions as $K$; in addition, $K^{(2)}(0)=\int K^{2}(y) d y>0$. The kernel $K$ is further restricted by requiring that $K^{(2)}(0)<2 K(0)$ (which necessarily holds if $K$ is nonnegative and $K(0)=\max _{x} K(x)$ ).

Let $h, v_{h}, x / h$ and $k_{h}$ be defined as in Section 1 and note that $0<v_{h} \leq|h|^{d}$. Also define $K_{h}^{(2)}$ by $K_{h}^{(2)}(x)=v_{h}^{-1} K^{(2)}(x / h)$. Then $K_{h}$ and $K_{h}^{(2)}$ each have integral one and $K_{h}^{(2)}$ is the convolution of $K_{h}$ with itself. Let $p_{n h}$ and $L_{n h}$ be defined as in Section 1, and observe that $\int \mathrm{p}_{n h}^{2}$ and $L_{n h}$ are both continuous on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$.

A window selection rule $h_{n}$ is a $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$-valued function of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. Clearly

$$
\frac{L_{n h_{n}}}{\min _{h} L_{n h}} \geq 1
$$

The indicated minimum is actually taken on at some $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$. For it is easily seen that

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}}\left(L_{n h}-\int p^{2}\right) \geq 0 ;
$$

also if the coordinates of $h$ are all large, then

$$
\int p_{n h}^{2} \sim v_{h}^{-1} k^{(2)}(0) \quad \text { and } \int p_{n h} p \sim v_{h}^{-1} k(0),
$$

so

$$
L_{n h}-\int p^{2} \sim v_{n}^{-1}\left(K^{(2)}(0)-2 K(0)\right)<0 .
$$

(Here we have used the restriction that $\mathrm{K}^{(2)}(0)<2 \mathrm{~K}(0)$.) The window selection rule $h_{n}$ is said to be asymptotically optimal provided that

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{L_{n h}}{\min _{n} L_{n h}}=1 \text { with probability one. }
$$

Consider the window selection rule $\hat{h}_{n}$ defined to be a value of $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$ that minimizes the criterion $M_{n h}$ introduced in Section 1 . (It follows as in the previous paragraph that the minimum of $M_{n h}$ is taken on at some $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$.) The one-dimensional marginals of $p$ are defined to be the densities of the coordinates of $X$, where $X$ has density p . The main result of this paper can now be stated simply as follows.

THEOREM 1. If p and its one-dimensional marginals are bounded, then $\hat{h}_{n}$ is asymptotically optimal.

Suppose p satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1. Then, in the notation of Section 3, $\left\|p_{h}-\mathrm{p}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Thus it follows from Theorem 1 together with Lemma 1 and Lemma 4 of Section 3 that $\hat{h}_{n}$ and $L_{n \hat{h}_{n}}$ both converge to zero with probability one as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For contrasting results when the Fourier transform of $p$ vanishes outside a compact set C and the Fourier transform of K is the indicator function of C, see Ibragimov and Khasminskii (1982).

Burman (1984) has concurrently used the arguments of Shibata
( 1980,1981 ) to obtain a more general asymptotic optimality result for density estimation (with "in probability" instead of "with probability one" in the definition of asymptotic optimality). When specialized to kernel density estimation, the window $h$ is selected from a finite set $H_{n}=\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{N_{n}}\right\}$ subject to certain restrictions on $N_{n}$ and the deterministic sequence $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots ; p$ is assumed to be bounded; and $k$ is required to have finite $8^{\text {th }}$ moment, but $K$ is not required to be symmetric or continuous or to have compact support.

For related work in which integrated squared error loss is replaced by other measures of loss see Chow, Geman and Wu (1983); Devroye and Györfi (1983); Stone (1983); Birgé (1983); Marron (1984); and Bowman, Hall and Titterington (1984). For a recent review of a wide variety of smoothing techniques in statistics see Titterington (1984).
3. Proof of Theorem 1. Throughout this section and the next one, it is assumed that $p$ is bounded. Let $p_{h}$ denote the convolution of $K_{h}$ and $p$, so that

$$
p_{h}(x)=\int K_{h}(x-y) p(y) d y=E p_{n h}(x)
$$

Set $\left\|p_{h}-p\right\|=\left(\int\left(p_{h}-p\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and let $s \lambda t$ denote the minimum of $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

LEMMA 1. There are positive constants $b$ and $c$ such that $\left\|p_{h}-p\right\|^{2} \geq c\left(|h|^{b d} \wedge 1\right) \geq c\left(v_{h}^{b} \wedge 1\right)$ for $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$.

PROOF. Let $\phi$ and $\rho$ denote the Fourier transforms of $K$ and $p$ respectively. Then $\phi$ is bounded and continuous; it is real-valued since $K$ is symmetric; it vanishes at infinity by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma; it equals one at the origin and is not identically one on any neighborhood of the origin. The Fourier transform $\phi_{h}$ of $K_{h}$ is given by $\phi_{h}(t)=\phi(h t)$, where $h t=\left(h_{1} t_{1}, \ldots, h_{d} t_{d}\right)$; and the Fourier transform of $p_{h}$ is $\phi_{h} \rho$. According to Parseval's identity and the boundness of the density $p, \int|\rho|^{2}=(2 \pi)^{d} \int p^{2}<\infty$ and

$$
(2 \pi)^{d}\left\|p_{h}-p\right\|^{2}=\int\left|\phi_{h} \rho-\rho\right|^{2}=\int\left(1-\phi_{h}\right)^{2}|\rho|^{2}
$$

Now $\rho$ is continuous and $\rho(0)=1$, so there is a nonempty bounded open ball $C$ centered at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $|\rho|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on $C$. Also $\left\|p_{h}-p\right\|^{2}$ is bounded away from zero for $h$ outside any neighborhood of the origin. Suppose the desired conclusion is false. It then follows easily from the power series for the cosine function and a compactness argument that there is a unit vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that

$$
\int_{C} d t\left(\int(u t \cdot x)^{k} K(x) d x\right)^{2}=0
$$

for every positive even integer $k$. By continuity, for each such $k$,

$$
\int(u t \cdot x)^{k} K(x) d x=0 \quad \text { for all } t \in C
$$

Choose $j \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $u_{j} \neq 0$. By proper choice of $t$ it follows that

$$
\int x_{j}^{k} K(x) d x=0
$$

for every even integer $k$. By the symmetry of $K$, this equality holds for every positive integer $k$. But this is clearly impossible since $K$ has integral one and compact support. (Suppose, say, that $j=1$ and define $K_{1}$ by

$$
K_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\int \cdots \int K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) d x_{2} \cdots d x_{d} .
$$

Then $K_{1}$ has integral one and compact support and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_{1}^{k_{1}} K_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{1}=0$ for every positive integer $k$. Consequently the Fourier transform of $K_{1}$ is identically equal to one, which contradicts the conclusion of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.)

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{n h} & =\left\|p_{h}-p\right\|^{2}+1 / n v_{h} \\
J_{n h r} & =v_{h}^{r} \wedge 1+1 / n v_{h} \quad \text { for } \quad r>0, \\
G_{n h} & =n^{-1} \sum_{1}^{n} p_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)-E p_{h}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
G_{n}=n^{-1} \varepsilon_{1}^{n} p\left(x_{i}\right)-E p(x) .
$$

A modified form of Theorem 1 will first be proven, in which $h$ ranges over a finite subset $H_{n}$ of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$, the number of whose elements increases at most algebraically fast in $n$; the original form of the theorem then follows (see the end of this section).

CONDITION 1. $\#\left(H_{n}\right) \leq A n^{a}$ for $n \geq 1$, where $A$ and a are positive constants.

LEMMA 2. If Condition 1 holds, then

$$
\lim _{n} \max _{h \in H_{n}} J_{n h}^{-1}\left|G_{n h}-G_{n}\right|=0 \text { with probability one }
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n} \max _{h \in H_{n}} J_{n h}^{-1}\left|\int\left(p_{n h}-p_{h}\right)\left(p_{h}-p\right)\right|=0 \text { with probability one. }
$$

PROOF. Set

$$
z_{i h}=p_{h}\left(x_{i}\right)-p\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(E p_{h}(x)-E p(x)\right)
$$

Then $Z_{i n}, i \geq 1$, are independent and identically distributed random variables each having mean zero. Since $p$ is bounded, there is a positive constant $c$ independent of $h$ such that $\left|Z_{i h}\right| \leq c$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(Z_{i n}\right) \leq c u_{h}^{2}$, where $u_{h}=\left\|p_{h}-p\right\|$. Observe that $G_{n h}-G_{n}=\bar{Z}_{n h}=\left(Z_{1 h}+\ldots+Z_{n h}\right) / n$. By Bernstein's inequality (see Hoeffding, 1963)

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|\bar{Z}_{n h}\right| \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp [-\tau \lambda / 2(1+\lambda / 3)],
$$

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq t / u_{h}^{2}$ and $\tau=n t / c$. Choose $\varepsilon>0$. Suppose that $u_{h} \geq n^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}}$. Set $t=n^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} u_{h}$ and $\lambda=n^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} / u_{h} \leq 1$. Then $\lambda \tau=n^{2 \varepsilon} / c$.

Suppose instead that $u_{h}<n^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}}$. Set $t=n^{2 \varepsilon-1}$ and $\lambda=1$. Again, $\lambda \tau=n^{2 \varepsilon} / c$. Thus in either case it follows from Bernstein's inequality that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|\bar{Z}_{n h}\right| \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-n^{2 \varepsilon} / 3 c\right)
$$

Hence by Condition 1.

$$
\lim _{n} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|\bar{Z}_{n h}\right| \geq n^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} u_{h}+n^{2 \varepsilon-1} \text { for some } h \in H_{n}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus to verify the first conclusion of Lemma 2 it is enough to show that for some $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\lim _{n} \max _{u>0} \frac{n^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} u+n^{2 \varepsilon-1}}{u^{2}+1 / n u^{2 / b}}=0
$$

where the positive number $b$ is defined as in Lemma 1. For $0<\varepsilon<1 / 2(1+b)$, this result is easily shown by considering separately: $0<u \leq n^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}}, n^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}}<u<n^{-b / 2(1+b)}$, and $u>n^{-b / 2(1+b)}$. The second conclusion of the Lemma follows from the same argument applied to

$$
z_{i h}=\int\left(k_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right)-p_{h}(x)\right)\left(p_{h}(x)-p(x)\right) d x
$$

Let $P_{n}$ denote the empirical distribution of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ defined by

$$
P_{n}(B)=n^{-1} \#\left\{i: 1 \leq i \leq n \text { and } X_{i} \in B\right\} \text { for } B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d} \text {. }
$$

The proof of the next result is postponed to Section 4.

LEMMA 3. If Condition 1 holds, then for all $r>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n} \max _{h \in H_{n}} J_{n h r}^{-1}\left|\iint_{x \neq y} K_{h}(x-y)\left(P_{n}(d x)-P(d x)\right)\left(P_{n}(d y)-P(d y)\right)\right|=0 \\
& \quad \text { with probability one. }
\end{aligned}
$$

LEMMA 4. If Condition 1 holds, then for all $r>0$
$\lim _{n} \max _{h \in H_{n}} J_{n h r}^{-1}\left|\int\left(p_{n h}-p_{h}\right)^{2}-K^{(2)}(0) / n v_{h}\right|=0$ with probability one.

PROOF. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\left(p_{n h}-p_{h}\right)^{2}= & \int\left(\int K_{h}(z-x)\left(P_{n}(d x)-P(d x)\right)\right)^{2} d z \\
= & \iint K_{h}^{(2)}(x-y)\left(P_{n}(d x)-P(d x)\right)\left(P_{n}(d y)-P(d y)\right) \\
= & \iint_{x \neq y} K_{h}^{(2)}(x-y)\left(P_{n}(d x)-P(d x)\right)\left(P_{n}(d y)-P(d y)\right) \\
& +K^{(2)}(0) / n v_{h},
\end{aligned}
$$

so the desired result follows from Lemma 3 (applied to $K_{h}^{(2)}$ instead of $K_{h}$ ).

Suppose now that $h$ is constrained to lie in $H_{n}$, that $\hat{h}_{n}$ minimizes $M_{n h}$ over $H_{n}$, and that Condition 1 holds. To verify that $\hat{h}_{n}$ is asymptotically optimal, it suffices to show that with probability one

$$
\lim _{n} \max _{h, h^{\prime} \in H_{n}} \frac{\left|L_{n h^{\prime}}-L_{n h^{-}}-\left(M_{n h^{\prime}}-M_{n h}\right)\right|}{L_{n h^{+}} L_{n h^{\prime}}}=0
$$

For this it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n} \min _{h \in H_{n}} \frac{L_{n h}}{J_{n h}}>0 \text { with probability one } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$ and

(4) $\lim _{n} \max _{h, h^{\prime} \in H_{n}} \frac{\left|L_{n h^{\prime}}-L_{n h^{-}}-\left(M_{n h^{\prime}}-M_{n h}\right)\right|}{J_{n h^{+J}} J_{n h^{\prime}}}=0$ with probability one.

Since

$$
L_{n h}=\int\left(p_{n h}-p\right)^{2}=\int\left(p_{n h}-p_{h}\right)^{2}+\left\|p_{h}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \int\left(p_{n h}-p_{h}\right)\left(p_{h}-p\right),
$$

(3) follows from Lemmas 1, 2 and 4. Observe next (see Section 1) that
$L_{n h}-M_{n h}-2 G_{n}-\int p^{2}=2\left(G_{n h}-G_{n}\right)+2 \iint_{x \neq y} K_{h}(x-y)\left(P_{n}(d x)-P(d x)\right)\left(P_{n}(d y)-P(d y)\right)$.
Thus (4) follows from Lemmas 1, 2 and 3.
Since $K$ is Hölder continuous, the original form of Theorem 1 is easily reduced to the modified form based on Condition 1 , the details being left to the reader. (Recall the assumption that the one-dimensional marginals of $p$ are bounded. Accordingly, for given $n$, if one of the coordinates of $h$ is very small, then $k_{h}^{(2)}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)=k_{h}\left(X_{i}-x_{j}\right)=0$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ except on an event having very small probability.)
4. Proof of Lemma 3. The proof is based on "Poissonization." Given a positive number $\lambda$, let $N(d x)$ be a Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $E N(B)=\lambda P(B)$. By definition, $N(B)$ has a Poisson distribution; and if $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ are disjoint, then $N\left(B_{1}\right), \ldots, N\left(B_{k}\right)$ are independent. Set $M(d x)=N(d x)-\lambda P(d x)$. Also, given a positive integer $\ell$, let $p^{\ell}$ denote the probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{\ell d}$ defined by $P^{\ell}\left(d x_{1} \cdots d x_{\ell}\right)=P\left(d x_{1}\right) \cdots P\left(d x_{\ell}\right)$.

Let $k$ and $\ell$ denote positive integers with $\ell \leq k$. Let $\Gamma_{k \ell}^{0}$ denote the collection of all $k$-tuples $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$ of integers in $\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that:
(a) each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ appears one or more times among $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$;
(b) if $\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}^{\prime} \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ and $\mathfrak{i}<\mathfrak{i}^{\prime}$, then $\mathfrak{i}$ appears before $i^{\prime}$ among $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$.
Given $x \in\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $\gamma=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right) \in U_{1}^{k} \Gamma_{k \ell}^{0}$, set $x_{\gamma}=\left(x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}\right)$. Let $\Gamma_{k \ell}$ denote the subcollection of all $\gamma=\left(\mathfrak{i}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{i}_{k}\right) \in \Gamma_{k \ell}^{0}$ such that each $\mathfrak{i} \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ appears two or more times among $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$. Observe that $\Gamma_{k \ell}$ is empty for $\ell>[k / 2]$, where [c] is the greatest integer no greater than c. By definition, $\Gamma_{k 1}=\{(1, \ldots, 1)\}$ for $k \geq 2$; while $\Gamma_{42}$ consists of the three 4-tuples $(1,1,2,2),(1,2,1,2)$ and $(1,2,2,1)$.

LEMMA 5. Let $g$ be a (Borel) function on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ such that

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{k \ell}^{0}}\left|g\left(x_{\gamma}\right)\right| p^{\ell}(d x)<\infty .
$$

Then

$$
E \int \cdots \int g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) M\left(d x_{1}\right) \cdots M\left(d x_{k}\right)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{[k / 2]} \lambda^{\ell} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{k \ell}} \int g\left(x_{\gamma}\right) p^{\ell}(d x) .
$$

PROOF. It suffices to prove the result for functions $g$ of the product form $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\Pi_{1}^{k_{\Psi_{j}}}\left(x_{j}\right)$, where $\Psi_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq k$, are bounded; the general result follows by the usual $L^{1}$ approximation argument. For functions of the indicated product form the desired result follows in a straightforward manner from the formula

$$
E e^{\sum_{1}^{k} t_{i} \int \Psi_{i} d M}=e^{\phi}
$$

where

$$
\phi=\lambda \int\left(e^{\Sigma t_{i} \psi_{i}}-1-\Sigma t_{i} \psi_{i}\right) d P
$$

(Observe that

$$
E \prod_{1}^{k} \int \Psi_{i} d M=\left.\frac{\partial^{k} e^{\phi}}{\partial t_{1} \cdots \partial t_{k}}\right|_{0} ;
$$

here $\left.\right|_{0}$ means that $t_{1}=\ldots=t_{k}=0$. Note that $\left.\phi\right|_{0}=0$ and $\partial \phi /\left.\partial t_{j}\right|_{0}=0$. Thus it follows, for example, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E \int \Psi_{1} d M \int \Psi_{2} d M & =\left.\frac{\partial^{2} e^{\phi}}{\partial t_{1} \partial t_{2}}\right|_{0} \\
& =\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t_{1} \partial t_{2}}+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_{2}}\right) e^{\phi}\right|_{0} \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t_{1} \partial t_{2}}\right|_{0} \\
& =\lambda \int \Psi_{1} \Psi_{2} d P \\
& =\lambda \int g\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right) d P
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left.g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right).\right)$
For results related to Lemma 5 see Ogura (1972) and Krausz (1975).

LEMMA 6. For each positive integer $k$ there is a positive constant $c_{k}$ such that

$$
E\left[\left(\iint_{x \neq y} K_{h}(x-y) M(d x) M(d y)\right)^{2 k}\right] \leq c_{k} v_{h}^{-2 k} \sum_{\ell=2}^{2 k} \lambda^{\ell} v_{h}^{[(\ell+1) / 2]}
$$

for $\lambda>0$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$.

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 5 that the indicated expectation is a finite linear combination of terms of the form

$$
\lambda^{\ell} \int \cdots \iint_{m} K_{h}^{V_{m}}\left(x_{i_{m}}-x_{j_{m}}\right) P\left(d x_{1}\right) \cdots P\left(d x_{\ell}\right),
$$

where $1 \leq i_{m}<j_{m} \leq \ell$ and $\nu_{m}>0$ for all $m, 2 \leq \ell \leq 2 k, \Sigma_{m} \nu_{m}=2 k$, and each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ appears. at least once in the sequence $i_{1}, j_{1}, i_{2}, j_{2}, \ldots$. It follows easily from the boundedness of $p$ and the definition of $K_{h}$ that terms of this form are bounded in absolute value by a constant multiple of $\left.\lambda^{\ell} v_{h}^{-2 k_{v}} v_{h}(\ell+1) / 2\right]$. The desired result now follows immediately.

Set $N=N\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
LEMMA 7. For each positive integer $k$ there is a positive constant $c_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\left(\iint_{x \neq y} K_{h}(x-y)(N(d x)-N P(d x))(N(d y)-N P(d y))^{2 k}\right]\right. \\
& \quad \leq c_{k}\left(\lambda+\lambda^{2 k}+v_{h}^{-2 k} \sum_{\ell=2}^{2 k} \lambda^{\ell} v_{h}^{[(\ell+1) / 2]}\right) \text { for } \lambda>0 \text { and } h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

PROOF. Observe first that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{x \neq y} K_{h}(x-y)(N(d x)-N P(d x))(N(d y)-N P(d y)) \\
& =\iint_{x \neq y} K_{h}(x-y) M(d x) M(d y)-2(N-\lambda) \int p_{h} d M+(N-\lambda)^{2} \int p_{h} p .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\left|\int p_{h} p\right|$ is bounded in $h$ and $E(N-\lambda)^{4 k}$ is bounded above by a constant multiple of $\lambda+\lambda^{2 k}$. Also $p_{h}(x)$ is bounded in $h$ and $x$ and

$$
E e^{t \int p_{h} d M}=\exp \left(\lambda \int\left(e^{t p_{h}}-1-t p_{h}\right) p\right)
$$

so each cumulant of $\int p_{h} d M$ is a multiple of $\lambda$ that is bounded in $h$. Since this random variable has mean zero, its $4 k^{\text {th }}$ moment is bounded above by a constant multiple of $\lambda+\lambda^{2 k}$. The desired result now follows from Lemma 6.

LEMMA 8. For each positive integer $k$ there is a positive constant $c_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\left(\iint_{x \neq y} K_{h}(x-y)\left(P_{n}(d x)-P(d x)\right)\left(P_{n}(d y)-P(d y)\right)\right)^{2 k}\right] \\
& \quad \leq c_{k} n^{-4 k}\left(n^{2 k}+v_{h}^{-2 k} \sum_{\ell=2}^{2 k} n^{\ell} v_{h}^{[(\ell+1) / 2]}\right) \text { for } n \geq 1 \text { and } h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { PROOF. Set } & N_{n}(d x)=n P_{n}(d x) \text { and } \\
Z & =\iint_{x \neq y} K_{h}(x-y)\left(N_{n}(d x)-n P(d x)\right)\left(N_{n}(d y)-n P(d y)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mu_{n}$ denote the $2 k^{\text {th }}$ moment of $Z$ and set $\mu_{0}=0$. Let $R(\lambda)$ denote the $2 k^{\text {th }}$ moment of the random variable obtained through replacing $n$ in the definition of $Z$ by a Poisson random number $N$ having mean $\lambda, N$ being independent of $x_{i}, i \geq 1$. Then

$$
R(\lambda)=\sum_{n} \operatorname{Pr}(N=n) \mu_{n}=\sum_{n} \frac{\lambda^{n}}{n!} e^{-\lambda_{n}} \mu_{n}
$$

determines a polynomial of degree $2 k$ in $\lambda$ with $R(0)=0$, and by

Lemma 7 there is a positive constant $c_{k}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2 k} \frac{R^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \lambda^{j}=R(\lambda) \leq c_{k}^{\prime}\left(\lambda+\lambda^{2 k}+v_{h}^{-2 k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2 k} \lambda^{\ell} v_{h}^{[(\ell+1) / 2]}\right)
$$

for $\lambda>0$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$. Thus there is a positive constant $c_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{2 k} \frac{\left|R^{(j)}(0)\right|}{j!} \lambda^{j} \leq c_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(\lambda+\lambda^{2 k}+v_{h}^{-2 k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2 k} \lambda^{\ell} v_{h}^{[(\ell+1) / 2]}\right)
$$

for $\lambda>0$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$. (For suppose otherwise and note that for each fixed $c>0$, if

$$
\frac{\left|R^{(j)}(0)\right|}{j!} \lambda^{j} \gg c_{k}^{\prime}\left(\lambda+\lambda^{2 k}+v_{h}^{-2 k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2 k} \lambda^{\ell} v_{h}^{[(\ell+1) / 2]}\right)
$$

(where $a \gg b>0$ means that $a / b$ is "very large"), then

$$
\frac{R^{(j)}(0)}{j!}(c \lambda)^{j} \gg \sum_{j=1}^{2 k} \frac{R^{(j)}(0)}{j!}(c \lambda)^{j} \geq 0 ;
$$

by a compactness argument, there would then be a nonzero polynomial in c of degree $2 k$ which equals zero at more than $2 k$ distinct points.) Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{n}= & \sum_{j=1}^{2 k} \frac{n!R^{(j)}(0)}{(n-j)!j!} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2 k} \frac{\left\lfloor R^{(j)}(0) \mid\right.}{j!} n^{j} \\
& \leq c_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(n+n^{2 k}+v_{h}^{-2 k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2 k} n^{\ell} v_{h}^{[(\ell+1) / 2]}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields the desired result.
Lemma 3 follows from Lemma 8 and a Chebychev type inequality involving the $2 k^{\text {th }}$ moment by considering four cases separately: $v_{h} \geq 1$, $n^{-1 /(r+1)} \leq v_{h}<1, n^{-2} \leq v_{h}<n^{-1 /(r+1)}$, and $0<v_{h}<n^{-2}$.
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