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## 1. Introduction

During the last few years investigators in many countries have made advances in the higher order asymptotic optimality theory of statistical procedures. Perhaps the central contribution has been the discovery by Pfanzagl (1978a), 1979) and Chibisov (1974) that, under various regularity conditions, for smooth parametric models in the i.i.d. case first order efficiency of tests (and estimates) implies second order efficiency (to order $n^{-1 / 2}$ ) and the discovery by Pfanzag1 (1978b)), Takeuchi and Akahira (1976), Ghosh and Subramanyam (1974) and Efron (1975) that maximum likelihood estimates are at least as good to third order ( $\mathrm{n}^{-1}$ ) as any competitors with the same bias to third order $\left(n^{-1}\right)$. The last phenomenon is called, depending on the writer, second or third order efficiency. Presentations of these results have in general involved Edgeworth expansion and/or cumulant expansions, and it has not been clear to what extent the stringent conditions on the models and on the classes of procedures studied are needed for the validity of the results. In a previous paper, Bickel, Chibisov, and van Zwet (1981), we argued that the first order efficiency implies second order efficiency result for tests is a consequence of the Neyman-Pearson Lemma and the structure of the likelihood functions of the experiments considered. In this paper we study the third order property of maximum likelihood like estimates and again deduce it as a general feature of smooth likelihood functions. Our approach was suggested ${ }^{T}$ This research was supported in part by Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-80-C-0163.
by the discussion of L. Le Cam on Berkson (1980). The program we follow is to show:

1) That for a given loss function $W$ and suitable priors $\Pi$ the Bayes estimate is to third order of the form $\hat{\theta}_{n}+\frac{b\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)}{n}$ where $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ is maximum likelihood (like).
2) It is possible to define a perturbed version $W_{n}$ of $W$ such that
a) $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ is itself third order Bayes with respect to $W_{n}$.
b) For estimates with the same bias to third order as $\hat{\theta}_{n}$, the Bayes risk under $W_{n}$ coincides with that under $W$.

From this it is easy to deduce the property. We illustrate this idea by applying it to the estimation of the mean $\theta$ of a normal distribution with variance 1 with quadratic loss and the usual notion of bias. In this context the idea corresponds to a Bayesian argument for the U.M.V.U. property of $\bar{x}$. Suppose $\theta \sim N\left(\mu, \tau^{2}\right)$.

Define a new loss function by

$$
L(\theta, a)=(\theta-a)^{2}+\frac{2 \lambda}{1-\lambda}(\theta-\mu)(\theta-a)
$$

where $\lambda=\left(n \tau^{2}+1\right)^{-1}$. The posterior density of $\theta$ is $N\left(\lambda \mu+(1-\lambda) \bar{X}, \lambda \tau^{2}\right)$ so that the Bayes estimate of $\theta$ under $L$ is just

$$
(1-\lambda)^{-1} E(\theta \mid \bar{X})-\lambda(1-\lambda)^{-1} \mu=\bar{X} .
$$

But then, for any estimate $T$,

$$
E\{L(\theta, T)\}=E(T-\theta)^{2}+\frac{2 \lambda}{1-\lambda} \int(\theta-\mu) E_{\theta}(\theta-T) \pi(\theta) d \theta
$$

where $\pi$ is the prior density and for unbiased estimates

$$
E\{L(\theta, T)\}=E(T-\theta)^{2}
$$

The optimality of $\bar{X}$ with respect to quadratic loss follows from the Bayesian
optimality. We can proceed to derive optimality at individual $\theta$ by taking $\mu=\theta$ and letting $\tau^{2} \rightarrow 0$. Note that this is essentially a Lagrange multiplier argument. Our results are very close save for the more general setting to those of Ghosh, Sinha and Joshi (1982). They also obtain an expansion and apply it to the third order efficiency of maximum likelihood estimates. However their method still leaves them with limitations on the class of competitors to maximum likelihood that are being considered as well as their self-imposed restriction to quadratic loss functions. Their expansion and necessarily their application is also restricted to the case of independent identically distributed observations. Related results can be found in Takeuchi (1982).

## 2. The main results

Here are basic assumptions and notation.
Model: We observe $x^{(n)}$, a random element taking values in $x_{n}$. The possible distributions of $X^{(n)}$ are $P_{\theta}^{(n)}, \theta \in \theta$, an open interval. Suppose $p_{\theta}^{(n)}<\mu^{(n)}, \sigma$ finite. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n}(\cdot, \theta) & \triangleq \frac{d P_{\theta}^{(n)}}{d \mu(n)}  \tag{2.1}\\
\ell_{n}(\theta) & \triangleq \log f_{n}(\cdot, \theta)
\end{align*}
$$

We will typically drop the superscript $n$ for expectations and probabilities. We postulate an expansion for $\ell_{n}\left(\theta+h n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ around $\theta$ in powers of $h^{-1 / 2}$ with coefficients which we can think of as derivatives of $\ell_{n}$ and a remainder. Our further assumptions on the model are framed in terms of stability conditions on the coefficients and bounds on the remainder. We write

$$
\begin{align*}
\ell_{n}\left(\theta+h n^{-1 / 2}\right)=\ell_{n}(\theta) & +n^{1 / 2} \bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}(\theta) h+\bar{l}_{n}^{(2)}(\theta) \frac{n^{2}}{2}  \tag{2.2}\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{j} n^{-i / 2} \bar{l}_{n}^{(i+2)}(\theta) \frac{n^{i+2}}{(i+2)!}+\Delta_{n j}(\theta, h) h^{j+3}
\end{align*}
$$

$\bar{l}_{n}^{(i)}$ can be thought of as $n^{-1} \frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial \theta^{i}} l_{n}(\theta)$.
We postulate also non random functions $\lambda_{i}: \theta \rightarrow R$ which approximate the $\bar{l}_{n}^{(i)}$ and write

$$
\bar{l}_{n}^{(i)}(\theta)=\lambda_{i}(\theta)+\tilde{\Delta}_{n i}(\theta)
$$

The $\lambda_{i}$ can be thought of as approximations to $E_{\theta} \bar{l}_{n}^{(i)}(\theta)$.
Loss Function: We are given a symmetric bowl-shaped function $W: R \rightarrow R^{+}$, which is bounded and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(|t|) \text { non decreasing, non constant. } \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the risk of an estimate $T_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow R$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{W}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right)=E_{\theta} W\left(n^{l / 2}\left(T_{n}-\theta\right)\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Prior Distributions: We will consider prior distributions $\Pi$ on $\theta$ with densities $\pi$ which are smooth.

Convention: Given random variables $R_{n}(\theta), \theta \in \theta$

$$
R_{n} \triangleq o\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \Leftrightarrow p_{\theta_{0}}\left[\left|R_{n}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right| \geq a_{n} \epsilon\right]=o\left(b_{n}\right)
$$

$\nabla \in>0$, uniformly for $\theta_{0} \in K$ compact. Note that $R_{n}=o\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \Leftrightarrow$ $\exists \epsilon_{n}+0 \ni P_{\theta_{0}}\left[\left|R_{n}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right| \geq \epsilon_{n} a_{n}\right]=0\left(b_{n}\right)$ uniformly for $\theta_{0} \in K$.

$$
R_{n} \triangleq O\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \Leftrightarrow P_{\theta_{0}}\left[\left|R_{n}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right| \geq a_{n} c_{n}\right]=o\left(b_{n}\right)
$$

for all $c_{n}{ }^{+\infty}$ uniformly for $\theta_{0} \in K$ compact.

Bias: We define bias side conditions as in Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer (1978) through a function $d: R \rightarrow R$ which is assumed bounded, increasing and non constant. Define the $d$ bias of $T_{n}$

$$
B\left(T_{n}, \theta\right)=E_{\theta} d\left(n^{1 / 2}\left(T_{n}-\theta\right)\right) .
$$

We say $T_{n}, S_{n}$ have the same $d$ bias to third order if

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(T_{n}, \theta\right)=B\left(S_{n}, \theta\right)+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular if $d$ is the identity (which we exclude!) (2.6) says that the biases of $T_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ agree up to $o\left(n^{-1}\right)$.

Estimate: We will distinguish an estimate $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ which can be thought of as the maximum likelihood estimate but will be specified by its asymptotic properties.

## Assumptions:

$C_{j}$ : For some $0 \leq \delta<\frac{1}{6(j+1)}, \quad c_{n} \uparrow \infty, \quad \epsilon>0$,
(1) $\operatorname{Sup}\left\{\left|\Delta_{n j}\left(\theta_{0}+n n^{-1 / 2}, h\right)\right|:|n| \leq c_{n} n^{\delta},|h| \leq c_{n} n^{\delta}\right\}$, considered as a process in $\theta_{0},=o\left(n^{-j / 2}, n^{-j / 2}\right)$.
(2) $\operatorname{Sup}\left\{\left|\tilde{\Delta}_{n i}\left(\theta_{0}+n n^{-1 / 2}\right)\right|:|n| \leq c_{n} n^{\delta}\right\}$, considered as a process in $\theta_{0}$, $=o\left(n^{-\epsilon}, n^{-j / 2}\right), \quad 2 \leq i \leq j+2$,
(3) (a) $-\lambda_{2}(\theta)=I(\theta)>0, \quad \forall \theta$
(b) $\lambda_{i}(\cdot), 2 \leq i \leq j+2$ are continuous.

Clearly $C_{2} \Rightarrow C_{1} \Rightarrow C_{0}$.

Note that we can replace " $c \uparrow \infty$ " by "every fixed $c$ " and also that without loss of generality we can take $c_{n}=o\left(n^{\gamma}\right), \quad \forall \gamma>0$.

For $\delta$ as in assumption $C_{j}$,
$E_{j}$ :
(1) $n^{1 / 2} \bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)=o\left(n^{-j / 2-\delta}, n^{-j / 2}\right)$.
(2)
(a) $n^{1 / 2}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{0}\right|=0(1,1)$
(b) $=0\left(n^{\delta}, n^{-j / 2}\right)$.
(considered as a process in $\theta_{0}$ ).
Condition (1) says that $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ behaves like a root of the likelihood equation to order $j$. Condition (2a) corresponds to $\sqrt{n}$ consistency and (2b) to the usual bound for probabilities of intermediate deviations.

Our main result is

Theorem 1. (a) If $C_{1}$ and $E_{1}$ hold, then
$\lim _{n} \sup \left|\theta-\theta_{0}\right| \leq \epsilon n^{1 / 2}\left\{R_{W}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right)-R_{W}\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right\} \geq 0$
$\forall \theta_{0} \in \theta, \quad \epsilon>0$.
(b) Suppose $C_{2}$ and $E_{2}$ hold and also that $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ are continuously differentiable. Then if $T_{n}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ have the same $d$ bias (in the sense of (2.6))

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{n} \sup _{\left|\theta-\theta_{0}\right| \leq \epsilon} n\left\{R_{W}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right)-R_{W}\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right\} \geq 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall \theta_{0} \in \theta, \quad \epsilon>0$.

The corresponding statement for $j=0$ is a version of the Hájek-Le Cam minimax theorem.

Corollary 1. Suppose for both $S_{n}=T_{n}$ and $S_{n}=\hat{\theta}_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{W}\left(\theta, S_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{j} r_{i}(\theta) n^{-i / 2}+o\left(n^{-j / 2}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on compacts where $r_{i}$ are continuous functions which depend on $\left\{S_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ but not on $n$. Then under the hypotheses of Theorem 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n} n^{j / 2}\left\{R_{W}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right)-R_{W}\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right\} \geq 0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathrm{j}=1,2$ as appropriate.

This corollary for $j=1$ corresponds to the usual assertion of second order efficiency for the M.L.E. while $j=2$ corresponds to the usual assertion of third order efficiency after equating biases.

Conditions $C_{j}, E_{j}$ and (2.9) follow from the assumptions of Pfanzagl (1976), Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer (1978), Ghosh and Subramanyam (1974), Ghosh, Sinha and Wieand (1980) so that the conclusions of these authors can be subsumed under Theorem 1.

The theorem follows from a study of the structure of Bayes solutions. The priors $\pi$ that we consider have densities $\pi$. Let $\gamma=\log \pi$, and suppose the following assumption holds.
$P_{j}: \Pi$ has compact support. For $\delta$ and $\epsilon$ as in assumption $C_{j}$ and $0 \leq \beta<\frac{1}{2(j+1)}-\delta, \quad \beta<\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left[\theta: \sup \left\{\left|\gamma^{(i)}\left(\theta+\operatorname{tn}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right|:|t| \leq c_{n}^{2} n^{\delta}\right\} \geq n^{\beta}\right]=o\left(n^{-j / 2}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1 \leq i \leq j+1, \quad c_{n} \uparrow \infty$ as given in assumption $C_{j}$. Here $\gamma^{(i)}(\theta)$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ derivative of $\gamma$ for $\theta$ in the interior of the support of $\Pi$ and $\gamma^{(i)}(\theta)=\infty$ otherwise.

A class of examples of $\Pi$ satisfying $P_{j}$ are those with ( $j+1$ ) times continuously differentiable densities and $\pi^{(j+1)}(t) \sim c(t-b)^{m}, m>\frac{j(j+1)^{2}}{1-2 \delta(j+1)}$ - ( $j+2$ ) for $b$ a boundary point of support.

Theorem la) follows immediately from

Theorem 2. If II satisfies $P_{1}$ and $C_{1}, E_{1}$ hold, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int R_{W}\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}_{n}\right) \Pi(d \theta)=\operatorname{Inf}_{T_{n}} \int R_{W}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right) \pi(d \theta)+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\pi_{n}(\cdot \mid x)$ be the posterior density of $n^{1 / 2}\left(\theta-\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)$ given $x^{(n)}=x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}(\Delta, x)=\int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n}(t \mid x) d t, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the posterior risk incurred by action $\hat{\theta}_{n}+\Delta n^{-1 / 2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}(x)=\operatorname{Inf}_{\Delta} r_{n}(\Delta, x) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Bayes posterior risk. So, (2.12) is equivalent to

$$
E_{\Pi}\left(r_{n}\left(0, x^{(n)}\right)-r_{n}\left(x^{(n)}\right)\right)=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

where $E_{\Pi}, P_{\Pi}$ correspond to computation under $\int_{\theta} p_{\theta}^{(n)} \Pi(d \theta)$. Now, we can write, for $\pi\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \pi_{n}(t \mid x)= & \ell_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}+t n^{-1 / 2}\right)-\ell_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)+\gamma\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}+t n^{-1 / 2}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& -\gamma\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-\log N_{n}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{n}(x) \triangleq\left[f_{n}\left(x, \hat{\theta}_{n}\right) \pi\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right]^{-1} \int f_{n}\left(x, \hat{\theta}_{n}+t n^{-1 / 2}\right) \pi\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}+t n^{-1 / 2}\right) d t \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need an Edgeworth expansion on the posterior. Define a set $B_{n} \subset x^{(n)}$. by: $x \in B_{n}$ if and only if,
(i) $\operatorname{Sup}\left\{\left|\Delta_{n j}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}, t\right)\right|:|t| \leq c_{n} n^{\delta}\right\} \leq \varepsilon_{n} n^{-j / 2}$
(ii) $\bar{l}_{n}^{(2)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) \geq-a, \quad a>0$,
(iii) $n^{1 / 2}\left|\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right|<\varepsilon_{n} n^{-j / 2-\delta}$
(iv) $\left|\bar{\ell}_{n}^{(i+2)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)-\lambda_{i+2}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j$

$$
\text { (v) } \sup \left\{\left|\gamma^{(i)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}+\operatorname{tn}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right|:|t| \leq c_{n}{ }^{\delta}\right\} \leq n^{\beta}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j+1 \text {, }
$$

where $\varepsilon_{n} \downarrow 0$. Define for $x \in B_{n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{n 0}(t \mid x)=N_{n}^{-1}(x) \exp \left\{\bar{e}_{n}^{(2)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}\right\}  \tag{2.17}\\
& \pi_{n 1}(t \mid x)=\pi_{n 0}(t \mid x)\left\{1+n^{-1 / 2}\left(\bar{e}_{n}^{(3)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) \frac{t^{3}}{6}+\gamma^{(1)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) t\right)\right\} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

More generally let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n j}(t \mid x)=\pi_{n 0}(t \mid x)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{j} n^{-1 / 2} A_{i}(t, x)\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}$ are defined as the coefficient of $n^{-i / 2}$ in the formal expansion.

$$
\begin{align*}
\exp \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} & \left(\frac{\bar{l}_{n}^{(i+2)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)}{(i+2)!} t^{i+2}+\frac{r^{(i)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)}{i!} t^{i}\right) n^{-i / 2}  \tag{2.20}\\
& =1+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}(t, x) n^{-i / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Define $\pi_{n j}=0$ otherwise.
Lemma 1. If $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}$ hold, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\Pi}\left[\int\left|\pi\left(t \mid x^{(n)}\right)-\pi_{n j}\left(t \mid x^{(n)}\right)\right| d t\right]=o\left(n^{-j / 2}\right) . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $x \in B_{n},|t| \leq c_{n} n^{\delta}$ write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{n}(t \mid x)= & \pi_{n 0}(t \mid x) \exp \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{j} Q_{i}(t, x) n^{-i / 2}\right. \\
& +\frac{\left(n^{-1 / 2} t\right)^{j+1}}{j!} \int_{0}^{1} \gamma^{(j+1)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}+\lambda t n^{-1 / 2}\right)(1-\lambda)^{j} d \lambda+n^{1 / 2_{\bar{l}}^{n}}(1)\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) t+\Delta_{n j}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}(t, x)=\frac{\bar{e}_{n}^{(i+2)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)}{(i+2)!} t^{i+2}+\frac{r^{(i)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)}{i!} t^{i} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction of $B_{n}$ the last three terms in the exponent are $o\left(n^{-j / 2}\right)$ and for $n$ sufficiently large,

$$
n^{-1 / 2}\left|Q_{i}(t, x)\right| \leq 1
$$

uniformly for ( $x, t$ ) as above. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}(t \mid x)=\pi_{n 0}(t \mid x) \exp \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{j} Q_{i}(t, x) n^{-i / 2}\right\}\left(1+o\left(n^{-j / 2}\right)\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, by standard arguments,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{n 0}(t \mid x)\left[\exp \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{j} Q_{i}(t, x) n^{-i / 2}\right\}-\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{j} A_{i}(t, x) n^{-i / 2}\right)\right]  \tag{2.24}\\
& \quad \leq \pi_{n 0}(t \mid x) 0\left(n^{-\frac{(j+1)}{2}} \max _{i}\left|Q_{i}(t, x)\right|^{\frac{j+1}{i}}\right) \\
& \quad=0\left(\pi_{n 0}(t \mid x) n^{\left.\left.-j / 2_{\max _{i}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}+(i+2)\left(\frac{j+1}{i}\right) \delta}+n^{-\frac{1}{2}+(\beta+i \delta)\left(\frac{j+1}{i}\right)}\right\}\right)}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly as above.
Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}(t \mid x)=\pi_{n j}(t \mid x)+\pi_{n 0}(t \mid x) 0\left(n^{-j / 2}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly as above.
By the same expansion $(j=0)$, for $x \in B_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{n}(x) \geq \int \exp \left\{-a \frac{s^{2}}{2}+o(1)\right\} d s \geq \varepsilon>0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \pi_{n 0}(t \mid x) d t \leq M \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

independent of $x, n$. By (2.25), (2.26) and (2.27) the lemma follows if

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\pi}\left[n^{1 / 2}\left|\theta-\hat{\theta}_{n}\right|>c_{n} n^{\delta}\right]+P_{\pi}\left[X^{(n)} \notin B_{n}\right]=o\left(n^{-j / 2}\right), \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\Pi} \int_{\left\{|t|>c_{n} n^{\delta}\right\}} \pi_{n j}\left(t \mid x^{(n)}\right) d t=o\left(n^{-j / 2}\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{\Pi}\left[n^{1 / 2}\left|\theta-\hat{\theta}_{n}\right|>c_{n} n^{\delta}\right] \leq \sup _{K} P_{\theta}\left[n^{1 / 2}\left|\theta-\hat{\theta}_{n}\right|>c_{n} n^{\delta}\right] \\
P_{\Pi}\left[X^{(n)} \notin B_{n}\right] \leq \sup _{K} P_{\theta}\left[X^{(n)} \notin B_{n}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore if we take (say)

$$
a=-\frac{1}{2} \inf _{K} \lambda_{2}(\theta)
$$

it is easy to see that $C_{j}, P_{j}$ imply the existence of $\varepsilon_{n}$ such that (2.28) holds. A direct calculation yields (2.29) and the lemma.

Lemma 2. For $W$ as specified, let $\phi$ be the standard normal density,

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(\sigma^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma^{5}} \int W(t)\left(t^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right) \phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right) d t . \\
& A\left(\sigma^{2}\right)>0 \text { and continuous. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. $W$ is symmetric. $W(|t|)$ and $\left(t^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right)$ are both nondecreasing in $|t|$. By Chebyshev's theorem,

$$
\sigma^{4} A\left(\sigma^{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \int W(t) \phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right) d t \int\left(t^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right) \phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right) d t=0
$$

with strict inequality unless $W$ is constant. The lemma follows.

## Proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 1

(2.30) $\quad E_{\Pi}\left(r_{n}\left(0, x^{(n)}\right)-r_{n}\left(x^{(n)}\right)\right)$

$$
=E_{\Pi}\left(\int W(t) \pi_{n 1}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t-i n f_{\Delta}\left(\int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 1}\left(t \mid x^{(n)}\right) d t\right)\right)+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 1}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t \\
& \left.\quad=\int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid x^{(n)}\right) d t+\int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid x^{(n)}\right) n^{-1 / 2_{\left\{\bar{\ell}_{n}^{(3)}\right.}^{(3)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) t^{3}}+\gamma^{(1)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) t\right\} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

The integrand of the last integral is odd for $\Delta=0$ and therefore this integral is $0\left(n^{-1 / 2+\beta}|\Delta|\right)$ as well as $0\left(n^{-1 / 2+\beta}\right)$ on $B_{n}$. Since $\int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid x^{(n)}\right) d t$ is increasing in $|\Delta|$ by Anderson's lemma, we see that $\int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 1}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t$ can't assume its minimum as a function of $\Delta$ outside any fixed neighborhood of zero for sufficiently large $n$. If $\Delta=0(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, however, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t \\
& =\int W(t) \pi_{n 0}\left(t+\Delta \mid x^{(n)}\right) d t \\
& =\int W(t) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t+\frac{\Delta^{2}}{2} \int W(t)\left\{\bar{l}_{n}^{(2)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)+t^{2}\left(\bar{\rho}_{n}^{(2)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}_{n 0}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t \\
& +0\left(|\Delta|^{3}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient of $\Delta^{2}$ in the second term is positive and bounded away from zero by Lemma 2, say $\geq \alpha>0$. Hence, for $\Delta=0(1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 1}\left(t \mid x^{(n)}\right) d t & =\int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t+0\left(n^{-1 / 2+\beta}|\Delta|\right) \\
& \geq \int W(t) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid x^{(n)}\right) d t+\alpha \Delta^{2}+0\left(n^{-1 / 2+\beta}|\Delta|+|\Delta|^{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and for sufficiently large $C>0$, no minima of $\int_{j} W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 1}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t$ can occur for $|\Delta| \geq \mathrm{Cn}^{-1 / 2+\beta}$. But for $|\Delta|<\mathrm{Cn}^{-1 / 2^{j}+\beta}$ we have

$$
\int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 1}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t=\int W(t) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

as $\quad 1-2 \beta>\frac{1}{2}$. The theorem follows by (2.30).

To deal with third order efficiency we extend Theorem 2 as follows.
Suppose d defines bias as in (2.6).

Let $c: \theta \rightarrow R$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}(\theta, a)=W\left(n^{1 / 2}(\theta-a)\right)+h\left(n^{-1 / 2} c(\theta)\right) d\left(n^{1 / 2}(\theta-a)\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(t)=t,|t| \leq 1$ and 0 otherwise. $W_{n}$ is an asymmetric perturbation of W. Assume

Q: (1) $c$ is differentiable on $\theta$ and
(2) $\pi\left[\theta: \sup \left\{\left|c^{(i)}\left(\theta+t n^{-1 / 2}\right)\right|:|t| \leq c_{n}^{2} n^{\delta}\right\} \leq n^{\alpha}\right]=1-o\left(n^{-1}\right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq 2$
for a prior $\pi, \delta$ as in $C_{2}, \quad C_{n} \uparrow \infty$ given in $C_{2}, \alpha<\frac{1}{2}-2 \beta, \quad B$ as in $P_{2}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{W}(\theta)=v(\theta) A^{-1}\left(I^{-1}(\theta)\right) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(\theta)= & \left\{\left(\gamma^{(1)}(\theta) I(\theta)-\frac{\lambda_{3}(\theta)}{2}\right) \int s^{2} W(s) \phi\left(s, I^{-1}(\theta)\right) d s\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\lambda_{3}(\theta) I(\theta)}{6} \int s^{4} W(s) \phi\left(s, I^{-1}(\theta)\right) d s-\gamma^{(1)}(\theta)\right\} W(s) \phi\left(s, I^{-1}(\theta)\right) d s\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\phi\left(\cdot, \sigma^{2}\right)$ is the $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ density, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{W_{n}}(\theta)=b_{W}(\theta)+c(\theta) D(\theta) I(\theta) A^{-1}\left(I^{-1}(\theta)\right) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\theta)=\int d(v) v \phi\left(v, I^{-1}(\theta)\right) d v \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $D(\theta)>0$ by Chebyshev's theorem since $d$ is nondecreasing, non constant.

Theorem 3. If $\Pi$ satisfies $P_{2}$ and $C_{2}, E_{2}$ hold,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int R_{W}\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}_{n}+b_{W}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) n^{-1}\right) \pi(d \theta)=\operatorname{Inf}_{T_{n}} \int R_{W}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right) \Pi(d \theta)+o\left(n^{-1}\right) . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c$ satisfies $Q$ then (2.35) holds with $W$ replaced by $W_{n}$.
In words, $\hat{\theta}_{n}+b_{W}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) n^{-1}$ is Bayes to third order under $W$. The formula for the correction $b_{W} n^{-1}$ is unimportant. The main point is that it is a function of $\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{n}}$ only and that the corresponding additional correction for $W_{n}$ is linear in $c$.

Proof of Theorem 3. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 2}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t \\
& =\int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t \\
& \quad+n^{-1 / 2} \Delta \int W(t) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right)\left[\bar{l}_{n}^{(2)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)+\left\{\bar{l}_{n}^{(3)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) \frac{t^{3}}{6}+\gamma^{(1)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) t\right\}\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\bar{l}_{n}^{(3)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\gamma^{(1)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right] d t
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \quad+\psi_{n}\left(X^{(n)}\right)+0\left(n^{-1 / 2}|\Delta|^{3}+n^{\left.-1+2 \beta_{\Delta} \Delta^{2}\right),}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi$ is a function independent of $\Delta$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2, we find that we can restrict attention to $|\Delta| \leq \mathrm{Cn}^{-1 / 2+\beta}$. By assumption $C_{2}(2)$ we may replace $\bar{l}_{n}^{(i)}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)$ by $\lambda_{i}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)$ for $i=2,3$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int W(t-\Delta) \pi_{n 2}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t \\
& \quad=\int W(t) \pi_{n 0}\left(t \mid X^{(n)}\right) d t+\frac{\Delta^{2}}{2} A\left(I^{-1}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right)-n^{-1 / 2} \Delta v\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)+\psi_{n}\left(X^{(n)}\right)+o\left(n^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Claim (2.35) follows. Its extension to $W_{n}$ follows similarly.

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1, part (b). Choose a prior $\pi$ satisfying $P_{2}, \int\left|\pi^{(1)}(\theta)\right| d \theta<\infty$. Define the function $c(\theta)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
c(\theta) & =-b_{W}(\theta) A\left(I^{-1}(\theta)\right) I^{-1}(\theta) D^{-1}(\theta)  \tag{2.38}\\
& =-v(\theta) I^{-1}(\theta) D^{-1}(\theta) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that by assumption $I^{-1}(\theta) D^{-1}(\theta)$ is continuously differentiable while

$$
\pi\left[\sup \left\{\left|v^{(j)}\left(\theta_{0}+t n^{-1 / 2}\right)\right|:|t| \leq c_{n} n^{\delta}\right\} \leq n^{\beta}\right]=1-o\left(n^{-1}\right)
$$

for $0 \leq j \leq 2$ by $P_{2}$. So the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied for the choice of $\pi$ and $c$. Moreover, by construction $b_{W_{n}}(\theta)=0$. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int R_{W_{n}}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right) \pi(d \theta) \geq \int R_{W_{n}}\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}_{n}\right) \pi(d \theta)+o\left(n^{-1}\right) . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

But for any $T_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int R_{W_{n}}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right) \pi(d \theta) \\
& \quad=\int_{R_{W}}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right) \pi(d \theta)+\int B\left(n^{1 / 2}\left(T_{n}-\theta\right)\right) h\left(n^{-1 / 2} c(\theta)\right) \pi(d \theta)+o\left(n^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore if $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ and $T_{n}$ have the same bias to third order,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left[R_{W_{n}}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right)-R_{W_{n}}\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right] \Pi(d \theta)  \tag{2.40}\\
&= \int\left[R_{W}\left(\theta, T_{n}\right)-R_{W}\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}_{n}\right)\right] \Pi(d \theta) \\
&+n^{-1 / 2} \int\left[B\left(n^{1 / 2}\left(T_{n}-\theta\right)-B\left(n^{1 / 2}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right)\right)\right] c(\theta) \pi(d \theta)\right. \\
&+0\left(n^{-1 / 2} \int_{\left\{|c(\theta)|>n^{1 / 2}\right\}}|c(\theta)| \pi(d \theta)\right)+o\left(n^{-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

But $\int|c(\theta)| \pi(d \theta)<\infty$ since $\int\left|\pi^{(1)}(\theta)\right| d \theta<\infty$. Part (b) of Theorem 1 follows from (2.39) and (2.40).

## Extensions:

(1) If $c(\cdot)$ is bounded or more generally satisfies $Q$, on compacts then the assertions of Theorem 1 hold with $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ replaced by $\hat{\theta}_{n}+\frac{c\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)}{n}$. Of course, the competitors admitted under the bias equivalence condition depend on $c$.
(2) Theorems 1-3 can be straightforwardly extended to the multiparameter case. With $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)$ let $e_{n}^{(j)}(\theta)$ be the $j^{\text {th }}$ differential with respect to $\theta$ thought of as a j-linear function on $R^{k}$ or equivalently as a point in $R^{k}$.

$$
e_{n}^{(j)}(\theta)\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{j}\right)=\left[\left\{\frac{\partial^{j_{\ell}}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial \theta_{i_{j}}} t_{1 i_{1}} \cdots t_{j i_{j}}: i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j} \in\{1, \ldots, k\}\right\}\right.
$$

where $t_{q}=\left(t_{q l}, \ldots, t_{q k}\right), 1 \leq q \leq j$ and write $e_{n}^{(j)}(\theta) t^{j}$ for $e_{n}^{(j)}(\theta)(t, t, \ldots, t)$. With this convention reinterpret (2.2) for $\theta$ a vector. The loss function $W: R^{k} \rightarrow R^{+}$is assumed to be bounded and
(a) $W(t)=W(-t)$ for all $t$
(b) $\{t: W(t) \leq w\}$ is convex for all $w$.
(c) For every $\lambda \in R^{k}, W(\lambda t)$ is non constant in $t \in R$.

If $\phi(\cdot, \Sigma)$ is the $k$-variate normal density with positive definite covariance matrix $\Sigma$, define the matrix $A(\Sigma)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\Sigma)=\Sigma^{-1} \int W(t)\left(t^{\top} t-\Sigma\right) \phi(t, \Sigma) d t \Sigma^{-1} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditions (a)-(b) on $W$ guarantee that $A(\Sigma)$ is nonnegative definite, cf. Lemma 5.8, Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer (1978). A more careful argument shows that (c) implies that $A$ is positive definite.

Risk is defined by (2.5). The scalar bias function $d$ is replaced by the vector $d: R^{k} \rightarrow R^{k}$ and the scalar $D(\theta)$ by the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\theta)=\int d^{\top}(s) s \phi\left(s, I^{-1}(\theta)\right) d s \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We require that $D$ be nonsingular for each $\theta$. Conditions $C_{j}$ and $E_{j}$ need to be changed only to the extent that absolute values become vector norms and $I(\theta)>0$ becomes $I(\theta)$ positive definite. If we interpret $\gamma^{(i)}$ as the $i^{\text {th }}$ differential of the log of prior density $\pi$ defined on an open ball in $R^{k} \subset \theta$ then $P_{j}$ also need only be modified by substituting vector norms for absolute values. If we add the assumption that $D(\theta)$ is nonsingular to the reinterpreted $C_{j}, E_{j}, P_{j}$, Theorems $1-3$ carry over to the multiparameter case without change in proof provided that we interpret $A$ as a matrix and define the vector $v(\theta)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{j}(\theta)= & \sum_{a, b}\left(\gamma_{a}^{(1)}(\theta) I_{b j}(\theta)-\lambda_{\frac{a b j}{2}}^{(\theta)}\right) \int s_{a} s_{b} W(s) \phi\left(s, I^{-1}(\theta)\right) d s \\
& +\sum_{a, b, c, d \frac{a b c}{6}}(\theta) I_{d j}(\theta) \int s_{a} s_{b} s_{c} s d^{W}(s) \phi\left(s, I^{-1}(\theta)\right) d s \\
& -\gamma_{j}^{(1)}(\theta) \int W(s) \phi\left(s, I^{-1}(\theta)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{a b c}(\theta)$ are the components of $\lambda^{(3)}(\theta)$ and subscripts denote elements of vectors and matrices.

The results also carry over directly to the estimation of a subvector $\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{p}\right), p<k$ with an appropriately redefined loss function.
(3) Given any estimate $T_{n}$, define recursively

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}^{(0)} & =T_{n}  \tag{2.43}\\
T_{n}^{(i+1)} & =T_{n}^{(i)}-\frac{\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}\left(T_{n}^{(i)}\right)}{l_{n}^{-(2)}\left(T_{n}^{(i)}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

That is, $T_{n}^{(j)}$ is defined by taking $j$ Newton-Rapson steps in the solution of $\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}(\theta)=0$ starting from $T_{n}$.

Theorem 4: Suppose $C_{j}$ holds and in addition $\ell_{n}$ is $j+1$ times continuously differentiable with $\ell_{n}^{(j)}$ being its derivatives, and (as a process in $\theta_{0}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}, n^{-\frac{j}{2}}\right) . \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if $T_{n}$ satisfies $E_{j}(2), T_{n}^{(j+1)}$ satisfies $E_{j}(1)$ and $E_{j}(2)$.
Proof. We shall argue by induction for $i=0, \ldots, j$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}\left(T_{n}^{(i+1)}\right)=o\left(n^{-\frac{i}{2}-\delta}, n^{-\frac{j}{2}}\right),  \tag{2.45}\\
& \left|T_{n}^{(i+1)}-\theta\right|=o\left(n^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}}, n^{-\frac{j}{2}}\right) . \tag{2.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Note first that, by (2.43),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}\left(T_{n}^{(i+1)}\right)=\frac{\bar{l}_{n}^{(3)}\left(T_{n}^{\star}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}}{\bar{l}_{n}^{(2)}}\left(T_{n}^{(i)}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|T_{n}^{*}-T_{n}^{(i)}\right| \leq\left|T_{n}^{(i+1)}-T_{n}^{(i)}\right| ;$ also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}}{\bar{l}_{n}^{(2)}}\left(T_{n}\right)=\frac{\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}}{\bar{l}_{n}^{(2)}}(\theta)+\left\{1-\frac{\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}\left(\theta^{\star}\right) \bar{l}_{n}^{(3)}\left(\theta^{\star}\right)}{\left[\bar{l}_{n}^{(2)}\left(\theta^{\star}\right)\right]^{2}}\right\}\left(T_{n}-\theta\right) \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left|\theta^{*}-\theta\right| \leq\left|T_{n}-\theta\right|$. From $C_{j}$ and (2.44),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}-T_{n}^{(1)}=\frac{\bar{l}_{n}^{-(1)}\left(T_{n}\right)}{\bar{l}_{n}^{(2)}\left(T_{n}\right)}=o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}, n^{-\frac{j}{2}}\right) \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by (2.47),

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{l}_{n}(1)\left(T_{n}^{(1)}\right)=o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}+2 \delta}, n^{-\frac{j}{2}}\right)=o\left(n^{-\delta}, n^{-\frac{j}{2}}\right) \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\delta<\frac{1}{6}$. Case $i=0$ now follows. If the claim holds for $i$, then by (2.47) and induction,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}\left(T_{n}^{(i+2)}\right) & =0\left(n^{-i-2 \delta}, n^{-j / 2}\right) \\
& =0\left(n^{-\frac{(i+1)}{2}-\delta}, n^{-\frac{j}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
T_{n}^{(i+2)}-T_{n}^{(i+1)}=-\frac{\bar{l}_{n}^{(1)}}{\bar{l}_{n}^{(2)}}\left(T_{n}^{(i+1)}\right)=o\left(n^{-\frac{i}{2}-\delta}, n^{-\frac{j}{2}}\right),
$$

the induction and result follow.
3. Examples of situations in which the regularity conditions hold

The IID Case: Consider the following conditions.
$I_{j}(1): \ell_{1}$ is differentiable to order $(j+3)$ and

$$
E_{\theta} \sup \left\{\left|\ell_{1}^{(j+3)}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right|^{j^{\prime}}: \theta^{\prime} \in K\right\} \leq M\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)<\infty
$$

for $\theta \in K^{\prime} \supset K$ arbitrary compacts, and $j^{\prime}=j \sim 2$. $I_{j}(1)$ may be replaced by the condition
$\sup E_{\theta}\left\{\left|\ell_{1}^{(j+4)}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right|^{j^{\prime}}: \theta^{\prime} \in K\right\} \leq M\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)<\infty$
$I_{j}(2): \quad E_{\theta}\left|e_{j}^{(i)}(\theta)\right|^{j+\delta}$
bounded for $\theta \in K$ compact, $2 \leq i \leq j+2$.

Define
$I_{j}(3): \quad \lambda_{i}(\theta)=E_{\theta} \ell_{j}^{(i)}(\theta)$.
Under $I_{j}(1), \quad \theta \rightarrow \lambda_{i}(\theta)$ are continuous, $1 \leq i<j+2 . \quad \theta \rightarrow E_{\theta}\left[l_{1}^{(1)}\right]^{2}(\theta)$ is positive.

It is easy to see that $I_{j}(1) \Rightarrow C_{j}(1), I_{j}(2) \Rightarrow C_{j}(2)$ and $I_{j}(1)-I_{j}(3)$ $\Rightarrow C_{j}(3)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}(\theta) & =0 \\
I(\theta) & =E_{\theta}\left[\ell_{1}(1)\right]^{2}(\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is also easy to see that the minimum distance estimate $T_{n}$ constructed by Le Cam (1969), pp. 103-107 satisfies $E_{j}(2)$ provided that $I_{j}(1)-I_{j}(3)$ hold and the parameter is identifiable. We need only remark that if $F_{n}$ is the empirical distribution function and $F_{\theta}$ the true,

$$
P_{\theta}\left[n^{1 / 2}\left|T_{n}-\theta\right| \geq c_{n} n^{\delta}\right] \sim P_{\theta}\left[\sup _{x} n^{1 / 2}\left\|\hat{F}_{n}-F_{\theta}\right\| \geq \Omega\left(c_{n} n^{\delta}\right)\right]=o\left(n^{-\alpha}\right) \quad \forall \alpha>0
$$

by the well-known Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz inequality. If we now require that, in addition to $I_{j}(1)-I_{j}(3)$,
$I_{j}(4):$
$E_{\theta}\left|\ell_{1}^{(1)}(\theta)\right|^{j+2}$
is bounded, uniformly on compacts, then (2.44) holds by Bhattacharya and Ranga Rao (1976) p. 178. Thus Theorem 4 yields $\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{n}}$ which are suitable. There are many alternative possibilities for $\hat{\theta}_{n}$ including the construction of Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer (1978), Bayes estimates and of course MLE's obeying $E_{j}(2)$. In any case, $I_{j}(1)-I_{j}(4)$ guarantee our theorems. All of these conditions save
for $I_{j}(4)$ are implied by the conditions of Ghosh and Subramanyan (and Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer). But $I_{j}(4)$ is only used in verifying $E_{j}$, a condition which is easily seen to be satisfied for $\hat{\theta}$, the M.L.E., under the Ghosh-Subramanyan conditions.

Independent Observations: Let $f_{k n}$ denote the density of $X_{k}, \ell_{k n}$ its $\log$ likelihood, etc. Assume $\ell_{k n}$ is ( $j+3$ ) times differentiable and let $\ell_{n}^{(i)}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \ell_{k n}^{(i)}$ be the $i^{\text {th }}$ derivative of $\ell_{n}$. Conditions $I_{j}(1), I_{j}(2)$ generalize straightforwardly.
$I_{j}^{\prime}(1): \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{\theta}\left\{\sup \left|\ell_{k n}^{(j+3)}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right|^{j^{\prime}}: \theta^{\prime} \in K\right\} \leq M\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)<\infty$
for $\theta \in K^{\prime} \supset K$ both compact independent of $n$.
$I_{j}^{\prime}(2): \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{\theta}\left|\ell_{k n}^{(i)}(\theta)\right|^{j^{\prime+}+\delta}$
bounded for $\theta \in K$ independent of $n, 2 \leq i \leq j+2$.
$I_{j}(3)$ becomes
$I_{j}^{\prime}(3): \quad \theta \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{\theta} \ell_{k n}^{(i)}(\theta) \quad$ continuous,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{\theta} \ell_{k n}^{(i)}(\theta) \rightarrow \lambda_{i}(\theta) \text { uniformly on compacts, } \\
& \theta \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{\theta}\left[\ell_{k n}^{(1)}(\theta)\right]^{2} \text { continuous. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The existence of (Bayes) estimates satisfying $E_{j}(2)$ follows from Theorem III2.2 of Ibragimov-Hasminskii (1980) if in addition to $I_{j}^{\prime}(1), I_{j}^{\prime}(3)$, we require as a replacement for identifiability,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int\left(f_{k n}^{1 / 2}\left(x, \theta+s n^{-1 / 2}\right)-f_{k n}^{1 / 2}(x, \theta)\right)^{2} \mu(d x) \geq c \min \left(|s|^{\beta},|s|^{2}\right)
$$

for some $\beta>0, c<\infty$ independent of $s, \theta$. Theorem 4 then yields estimates satisfying $E_{j}(1), E_{j}(2)$ provided that we have
$I_{j}^{\prime}(4): \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{\theta}\left|e_{k n}^{(1)}(\theta)\right|^{j+2}$
bounded, independent of $n$, on compacts.
Markov Processes: For simplicity we consider Markov chains with starting density $f\left(x_{1}, \theta\right)$ and transition densities $f\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \theta\right)$ with respect to a $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$ on $X$. Following Billingsley (1961) assume the existence of a unique stationary distribution $S_{\theta}(d x)$ such that for each $x \in X$

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{\theta}(\cdot \mid x) \ll S_{\theta}  \tag{3.1}\\
P_{\theta}(A \mid x)=\int_{A} f(x, y, \theta) \mu(d y) .
\end{gather*}
$$

where

Also assume that the Markov chain is aperiodic. Condition 3.1 holds for a discrete state space provided that for each $\theta$ the chain is irreducible and positive recurrent. Assume $\ell(x, \theta)=\log f(x, \theta), \ell(x, y, \theta)=\log f(x, y, \theta)$ are ( $j+3$ ) times continuously differentiable and

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{j}(1): E_{\theta}\left\{\sup _{K}\left[\left|e^{(j+3)}\left(x_{1}, \theta^{\prime}\right)\right|^{j^{\prime+}+\delta}+\left|e^{(j+3)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \theta^{\prime}\right)\right|^{j^{\prime+\delta}}\right]\right\} \\
\text { uniformly bounded for } \theta \in K^{\prime} \supset K \\
M_{j}(2): E_{\theta}\left[\left|e^{(i)}\left(x_{1}, \theta\right)\right|^{j^{\prime}+\delta}+\left|e^{(i)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \theta\right)\right|^{j^{\prime}+\delta}\right] \\
\text { uniformly bounded for } \theta \in K
\end{gathered}
$$

$M_{j}(1), M_{j}(2)$ and boundedness on compacts of $\lambda_{i}$ below imply $c_{j}(1), C_{j}(2)$. To see this, suppose without loss of generality that the initial distribution is stationary and write, for example,
(3.2) $\bar{l}_{n}^{(i)}\left(x^{(n)}, \theta\right)=n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left[\ell^{(i)}\left(x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \theta\right)-\lambda_{i}(\theta)\right]$

$$
+\frac{n-1}{n} \lambda_{i}(\theta)+n^{-1} \ell^{(i)}\left(x_{1}, \theta\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{i}(\theta)=E_{\theta} e^{(i)}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \theta\right)$.

Since $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right), \ldots$ is a stationary mixing sequence with exponential rate (see Doob (1953) p. 221, (7.1)), we can apply the moment bounds for sums of mean 0 functions of such variables, see e.g. Ibragimov and Linnik (1971) Lemma 18.5.2.

To get $C_{j}(3)$, having defined $\lambda_{i}$ above, we require

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{j}(3): & \lambda_{i} \text { continuous } \\
& \theta \rightarrow E_{\theta} l^{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \theta\right) \quad \text { continuous and positive }
\end{array}
$$

The existence of estimates satisfying $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}(2)$ follows as in the i.i.d. case, using a Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz inequality for the empirical distribution function of $\phi$-mixing random variables (Sen (1974) Theorem 3.2). Theorem 4 is applicable if also
$M_{j}(4): \quad \quad E_{\theta}\left|l^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \theta\right)\right|^{j+3}$ is bounded on compacts.
These results require the application of Theorem 2.11, Götze-Hipp (1982) which guarantee that the moderate deviation estimates of Bhattacharya and Ranga Rao continue to hold in this situation. The conditions of Theorem 2.11 are guaranteed by (3.1) since the chain is then strongly mixing with exponential rate. These conditions and situations are given as samples only. More general classes of dependent situations to which these conclusions apply may be obtained, for instance by modifying the conditions in Basawa and Rao (1980) Section 10.3.
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