## The Lévy Laplacian and the Brownian Particles in Hilbert Spaces

By

Zhang Yinnan

Technical Report No. 331 November 1991

.

Department of Statistics University of California Berkeley, California 94720

## THE LEVY LAPLACIAN AND THE BROWNIAN PARTICLES IN HILBERT SPACES

Zhang Yinnan

1. Introduction

Since L.Gross studied the abstract Wiener space valued Brownian motion,a lot of infinite dimensional Markove processes have appeared and become a useful tool for analysis on infinite dimensional spaces (see [1],[2],[3]).It is well known that their generators provide many elliptic differential operators on infinite dimensional spaces as is made quite clear in [1].

But this procedure can not be applied to some important cases. For example if we hope to have an analogy of Laplacian on Hilbert space H,we could not use the abstract Wiener space (E,H, $\mu$ ) and the Gross Laplacian given by the E-valued Brownian motion because many regular functionals on H do not belong to its domain. So we seem to need a renormalization procedure for the Gross Laplacian to help us construct the Laplacian on H.

In [4], the authors discovered a wonderful relation between the Levy Laplacian and the Gross Laplacian. The basic ideas of this paper are a combination of their result together with infinite dimensional stochastic processes. First we give a renormalization procedure for

1

E-valued Brownian motion and propose the concept of Brownian particle in Hilbert spaces, then we have the Levy Laplacian through the stochastic calculus of Brownian particles and a double limit method (see Section 3 below). This probability approach can be applied to many infinite stochastic processes, that means we will have a variety of Levy Laplacian !

The purpose in the present work is to study the Levy Laplacian and related problems based on this idea. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will present the concept of Brownian particle in Hilbert spaces and discuss its main properties. In Section 3 the Lévy Laplacian will be constructed by Brownian particles. The Section 4 is devoted to the harmonic functionals on Hilbert spaces. Finally we would like to give some remarks about the generalized Lévy Laplacians.

### 2. The Brownian particles

in Hilbert spaces

Throughout this Section (E,H, $\mu$ ) will be an abstract Wiener space in the sense of L.Gross.That is,E is a separable Banach space and (H,II) is a separable Hilbert space,H is a dense subspace of E and the inclusion map is continuous, and  $\mu$  is the probability on E with the property that

2

$$\int_{E} \exp[i\langle\lambda,x\rangle] d\mu(x) = \exp[-\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|^{2}], \qquad \lambda \in E^{*},$$

where we have used the fact that the dual space  $E^*$  of E becomes a dense subspace of H when we make the natural indentification between  $H^*$  and H itself.Let ( $e_n$ ) be a complete orthonormal system (CONS) of H.Now we start constructing the E-valued Brownian motion W(t) by ( $e_n$ ).

Lemma 2.1. Let W(t) be the E-valued Brownian motion (see [2]), then we have the identity in law:

$$W(t) = \sum_{n.k} e_n a_k (t) G_{n,k}$$
,

where  $(a_k)$  is a CONS of the Sobolev space  $H^1([0,1])$  and  $G_{n,k}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. Gaussian random variables with mean 0 and variance 1. This series converges in C([0,1]) almost everywhere.

Proof. For  $h \in E^*$  and  $t \in [0,1]$ , we have  $F \in C([0,1],E)^*$  such that  $F(u) = \langle h, u(t) \rangle$  for  $u \in C([0,1],E)$ . The functionals of the form generate a dense subset in  $C([0,1],E)^*$ . Let

$$S_{N} = \sum_{n, k \leq N} e_{n} a_{k}(t) G_{n, k}$$

for  $N = 1, 2, \ldots$  . It is easy to show that

lim E( exp[ i < F, S<sub>N</sub> > ] = 
$$\int exp[i < F, x > ]dW$$
,

where dW is the distribution of (W(t)) on C([0,1],E) and  $E(\bullet)$  is the expectation on some probability space where  $(G_{n,k})$  are defined and F is the linear functional of the form mentioned above. Because  $(e_n)$  is a CONS of H,from [2] we see

$$\sup_{\mathbf{0} \in \{\mathbf{x}\}} \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}} e_{\mathbf{n}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{(t)} G_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}} \right\|_{\mathbf{F}} \leq \mathbf{M}, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Q.E.D.

where M is a finite constant, for all N.

By using Ito-Nisio theorem for the independent symmetric random sequence in C([0,1],E), we deduce this lemma.

Let  $G_n(t) = \sum_{\kappa} a_k(t) G_{n,k}$ , we know that ( $G_n(t)$ ) is a sequence of independent Brownian motions and the identity in law

$$W(t) = \sum_{n} e_n G_n(t) .$$

Corollary 2.2. Let A is in B(H), i.e., A is a bounded linear operator on H, then the series  $\sum_{n} Ae_n G_n(t)$  converges in C([0,1],E). almost everywhere.

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 2.1. and the Gross theorem which says that  $\|h\|_{H} = \|Ah\|_{H}$ ,  $h \in H$ , is a measurable norm.

Denote the set of whole Hilbert-Schmidt operators on H by H.S. (H) and write trace  $K^*K = \sum_n \|Ke_n\|^2$ ,  $K \in H.S.(H)$ .

Definition. A family of Hilbert Schmidt operators (  $K_{\epsilon}$  ,  $\epsilon$  > 0 ) is called a renormalization procedure (RP) on H if they satisfy the following

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \| K_{\epsilon} x - x \| = 0, \text{ for all } x \in H.$$

For a RP of H, we define the renormalization factors

$$Z(\epsilon) = (\text{ trace } K_{\epsilon}^{*} K_{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

and the renormalized E-valued Brownian motions such that

$$W(\epsilon, t) = Z(\epsilon)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_{\epsilon} e_{n} G_{n}(t),$$

which is called a Brownian particle in H.

Throughout this paper we will omit  $\epsilon$  in these notations when we do not need to show that K and Z and W are depending on  $\epsilon$ , and set  $I_{\epsilon} = K_{\epsilon} / Z(\epsilon)$ .

Note that  $Z(\epsilon) \longrightarrow ($  dimension of H  $)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ , so when H is a finite dimensional space, the Brownian particles converges to  $N^{-\frac{1}{2}}W(t), N =$  dimension of H.Obviously it is nonsense to investigate the limit when H is infinite dimensional space, but the Brownian particles behave very well.

Lemma 2.3. The Brownian particle W(t) has the following properties. a. W(t) is a H-valued continuous martingale. b.  $E(||W(t)||_{H}^{2}) = t.$ 

c. For  $h \in H$ , the process ( h, W(t))<sub>H</sub> is a Brownian motion which has the decomposition

$$(h, W(t)) = \sum_{n} (h, Ke_{n}) G_{n}(t).$$

The proof is straightforward. Now we turn to the Ito formula with respect to Brownian particles.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that  $F \in C_b^2(H)$  ( in the sense of Frechet such that its second derivative is continuous and bounded on H ) and W(t) is a Brownian particle with respect to a RP of H, ( $K_{\epsilon}$ ), then we have the Ito formula

$$F(x+W(t)) = F(x) + \sum_{n} \int_{0}^{t} F'(x+W(s))(Ie_{n})dG_{n}(s) +$$

+ 
$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} (F''(x+W(s))Ie_n, Ie_n) ds.$$

Proof. Let

$$W_{N}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} Ie_{n}G_{n}(t), \quad Q(x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., x_{N}) = F(x + \sum_{n=1}^{N} Ie_{n}x_{n}),$$

where (  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$ )  $\in \mathbb{R}^N$ . For Q use the Ito formula, we have

$$F(x+W(t)) = F(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} (x+W_{N}(s))(Ie_{n})dG_{n}(s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} (F''(x+W_{N}(s))Ie_{n}, Ie_{n})ds$$

By the facts that I  $\epsilon$  H.S.(H) and F  $\epsilon$  C<sup>2</sup><sub>b</sub>(H),we can complete the proof with an approximation argument.

Q.E.D.

In this Section we will construct the Levy Laplacian by the Brownian particles with respect to a RP of H.from now on we set  $W_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} Ie_n G_n(t), N = 1, 2, ...$ 

Definition. Suppose that  $H_0$  is a dense subspace and it is a

topological vector space and the inclusion map is continuous. Let ( $K_{\epsilon}$ ) be a RP of H such that  $K_{\epsilon}(H) \subset H_{0}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $W(\epsilon, t)$  be the Brownian motion corresponding to ( $K_{\epsilon}$ ) and  $W_{N}(\epsilon, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{\epsilon} e_{n} G_{n}(t)$ . If  $F \in C_{b}(U), U$  is an open subset of  $H_{0}$ , such that for every  $x \in U$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that when  $0 \leq t \leq \delta$  and  $0 < \epsilon \leq \delta$  we have  $x + W_{N}(\epsilon, t)$   $\epsilon U$  for all N and  $E(F(x+W_{N}(\epsilon, t))$  converges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , at this time we denote the limit by  $E(F(x+W(\epsilon, t)))$  and say that F is admissible with respect to RP of H, ( $K_{\epsilon}$ ).

Remark 3.1. Let  $H = L^2[0,1]$  and  $(K_{\epsilon})$  be the convolution operators mentioned in [4]. We can prove that the normal polynomials (see [4]) are admissible with respect to  $(K_{\epsilon})$ .

When  $F \in C_{h}(H)$ , then F is admissible for all RP of H.

Remark 3.2. For each Hilbert space H,we have a simplest RP of H as follows. Choose a CONS ( $e_n$ ) of H and set

$$K_{e^{u}} = \sum_{l=1}^{N} (u, e_{n}) e_{n}$$
, for  $(N+1)^{-1} \le l \le N^{-1}$ 

This RP of H is due to P.Levy.Every bounded continuous functional ( on H<sub>O</sub>) is admissible with respect to it.We call this RP of H Levy RP of H.

Remark 3.3. For every sphere  $O(x,r) \subset H$ , we can find a  $Q \in C_b^{\infty}(H)$ 

such that Q(y) = 1 when  $y \in O(x,r)$  and Q(y) = 0 when  $y \in O(x,2r)$ . So for every  $F \in C_b^2(U), U$  is an open subset of H, and  $x \in U$  we can find a  $F_1 \in C_b^2(H)$  such that  $F = F_1$  on  $O(x,r) \subset U, r > 0$ .

Lemma 3.4. Suppose  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are in  $C_b(H)$  and  $F_1 = F_2$  on the sphere O(x,r), then for all RP of H we have

$$\lim t^{-1} [E(F_{1}(x+W(t))-E(F_{2}(x+W(t)))] = 0$$

Proof. Because

$$E(\|W(t)\|_{H}^{4}) \leq 3t^{2}, t > 0$$

**S**0

$$E(F_j(x + W(t); H - O(x,r)) = O(t), \text{ for } j = 1,2.$$

Q.E.D.

Now we are in the position to construct the Levy Laplacian by Brownian particles.

Definition. Suppose  $H_0$  is a dense subspace of H and it is a topological vector space and the inclusion map is continuous. Let (  $K_e$ ) be a RP of H and W(e,t) be its Brownian particles.If  $F \in C_b(U), U$  is an open subset of  $H_0$ , such that F is admissible with respect to ( $K_e$ ). The Laplacian corresponding to ( $K_e$ ) is defined as follows

$$\bigwedge_{\substack{\epsilon \to o}} F(x) = 2 \lim \lim_{\substack{t \to o}} t^{-1} [E(F(x+W(\epsilon,t))-F(x)], x \in U.$$

We will see that for many important functionals this Laplacian is not anything else, but the Lévy Laplacian, so we will call it Lévy Laplacian of ( $K_{\epsilon}$ ).

Remark 3.5. Let  $F \in C_b(U), U$  is an open subset of H, From Remark 3.3. we know that for every  $x \in U$  there exists  $F_1 \in C_b(H)$  such that  $F = F_1$  on O(x,r), r > 0. So we can define  $\triangle F(x) = \triangle F_1(x), if$  the right hand has meaning.

Theorem 3.6. Assume that  $H_0$  is a dense subspace of H and it is a Hilbert space with respect to its own inner product and the inclusion map is continuous and ( $K_{\xi}$ ) is a RP of H and  $F \in C_b^2(H_0)$  which is admissible with respect to ( $K_{\xi}$ ). If for every  $x \in H_0$  the Fréchet second derivative F''(x) corresponds to a bounded operator on H such that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \sup[\left\| E(F''(x+W_N(,t))-F''(x) \right\| : N \ge 1] = 0$$

then

4

$$\bigwedge F(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\epsilon \to o} Z(\epsilon)^{-2} [\text{trace } \mathbf{K}^*_{\epsilon} F''(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{K}_{\epsilon}], \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{H}_0.$$

Proof. We first recall that

$$F(x+W_{N}(\ell,t)) = F(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} F'(x+W_{N}(\ell,s)(I_{\ell}e_{n}) dG_{n}(s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} (F''(x+W_{N}(\ell,s))(I_{\ell}e_{n}), I_{\ell}e_{n}) ds$$

where  $I_{\xi} = K_{\xi} / Z(\xi)$ . Note that the second term in the right hand is martingales, hence

$$E(F(x+W_{N}(\epsilon,t)) = F(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} (E(F''(x+W_{N}(\epsilon,s))(I_{\epsilon}e_{n}), I_{\epsilon}e_{n}) ds$$

where  $E(F''(x+W_N(\xi,t))$  is the Bochner integral.

By the assumption and trace  $I_{\epsilon}^*I_{\epsilon} = 1$ , we have

$$E(F(x+W_{N}(\epsilon,t)) = F(x) + \frac{1}{2} traceK^{*} F''(x)K / Z(\epsilon)^{2} + O(t)$$

where O(t) depends on  $\epsilon$ . Because F is admissible, let  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , through the double limit procedure we complete the proof.

Q.E.D.

Now we are investigating the Normal polynomials.Let  $H_0$  be a nuclear space of all  $C^{\infty}$  functions with periodic boundary on T = [0,1] and assume that  $H_0$  is a dense subspace of H and the in-

clusion map is continuous. We then have a Gelfand trip  $H_0 C H C H_0^*$ . Following with [4], a finite linear combination of functionals of the form

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \int b(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \mathbf{x}(t_1)^{p_1} \dots \mathbf{x}(t_n)^{p_n} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n$$

$$\mathcal{T}^n$$

where  $b \in L^{\infty}(T^n)$  and  $x \in H_0$  and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \ge 1$ , is called a normal polynomial (see [4], section 3).

Let  $K_{\epsilon}$ ,  $\epsilon$ , 0, be a  $C^{\infty}$  function satisfying the following conditions:

a. supp[  $K_{\epsilon}$ ]  $\subset$   $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ , b.  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int u(t) K_{\epsilon}(t) dt = 1$ . We define

$$K_{\epsilon}u(t) = \int_{T} K_{\epsilon}(t-s)u(s)ds, \qquad u \in H,$$

where we consider K as a  $C^{\bullet -}$  function on real line with period 1.(this operator K has been used in [4]).

In [4], the authors revealed a wonderful relation between the Levy Laplacian and the Gross Laplacian by using ( $K_{\epsilon}$ ). Now we start to explain their result. Of course ( $K_{\epsilon}$ ) is a RP of H and this RP of H has very special properties. For example, if ( $e_n$ )  $\subset$  H<sub>0</sub> is a CONS of H, then for every t  $\epsilon$  T,

$$Z(\epsilon)^{-2} \sum_{n} K_{\epsilon} e_{n}(t)^{2} = Z(\epsilon)^{-2} \sum_{n} \left[ \int K_{\epsilon}(t-s) e_{n}(s) ds \right]^{2}$$
$$= Z(\epsilon)^{-2} \int K_{\epsilon}(t-s)^{2} ds = 1.$$

Remark 3.7. Every normal polynomial satisfys the assumption of Theorem 3.6. and when F is of the form

$$F(x) = b(y) x(y_1)^{p_1} x(y_2)^{p_2} \dots x(y_n)^{p_n} dy,$$

where  $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n), dy = dy_1 dy_2 \ldots dy_n$  and  $b \in L^{\infty}(T^n)$ .

In that case, F belongs to the domain of the Levy Laplacian given by (  $K_{\xi}$ ) and

$$F(x) = \sum_{j} \int_{p_{j}(p_{j}-1)x(y_{j})}^{p_{j}-2} b(y) \quad \overline{\prod_{i \neq j}} x(y_{j})^{p_{i}} dy \quad (*)$$

It is just Lemma 4.2 of [4].

For simplicity we take n = 2, then

$$F(x+W_{N}(t)) = \int b(y) \left[ \sum_{\lambda=0}^{p_{1}} {\binom{p_{1}}{i}} x(y_{1})^{p_{1}-i} W_{N}(t)^{i} \right]$$

$$F_{2} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{p_{2}} {\binom{p_{2}}{j}} x(y_{2})^{p_{2}-j} W_{N}(t)^{j} \right] dy,$$

Since  $W_{N}(t)(y_{j})$  is Gaussian random variable and

$$E(W_{N}(t)(y_{1}) W_{N}(t)(y_{2})) = Z(\epsilon)^{-2} \oint_{N=1}^{N} k_{\epsilon}(y_{1}-s_{1})e_{n}(s_{1})ds_{1}) (\int_{N} k_{\epsilon}(y_{2}-s_{2})e_{n}(s_{2})ds_{2})$$

Through the double limit, it is easy to see F is admissible and (\*) holds.Now we examine F''(x).It is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \sup \left[ \left| E\left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} W_{N}(t)(y_{j})^{p_{j}} \right) \right| : N \ge 1, (y_{1}, y_{2}, \dots, y_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \right] = 0$$

where  $p_1 + p_2 + \ldots + p_n \stackrel{>}{=} 2$ . This is immediate from the following

$$E(W_N(t)(y)^{2q}) \leq (2q)! t^q/2^q q!$$
, for all N and y.

Combine these facts and Theorem 3.6. we have the Lemma 4.2. of [4].

## The harmonic functionals on Hilbert spaces

Now we turn to study the harmonic functionals on H .Of course, first we should study the spherical mean.Here we prefer to present it with probability language.

Definition. Let  $W(\epsilon, t)$  is a Brownian particle and  $P_{\epsilon,t}$  is its distribution on H.Suppose  $F \in C_{b}(H)$  such that for  $x \epsilon H$  and t > 0

 $\int F(x+y) \, dP_{\xi,t} \quad (y) \text{ converges as } \{ \downarrow 0, \text{ in this time we say } F$ has the spherical mean over the sphere of radius t with center at x, and we denote the limit by MF(x,t). Let U be an open subset of H, we say that a functional F ( $\in C_b(U)$ ) possesses the mean value property on U if for each  $x \in U$  there exists R = R(x) > 0such that MF(x,t) = F(x) whenever t < R.

The following result has been noted in [7], here we give it in our setup.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose functional F is reqularly analytic at  $x_0 \in H$  (see [7]). Then for each RP of H, there exists R > 0 and an extension  $F_1$  of F at  $x_0$  (see Remark 3.3.) such that  $MF_1(x_0, t) = F(x_0)$  whenever t < R.

Proof. For simplicity, let  $x_e = 0$ . According to [7], the regularly analytical functional F admits an expression

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k} \langle \mathbf{a}_{k}, \mathbf{x}^{\otimes k} \rangle , \mathbf{a}_{k} \in S^{k} H \text{ and } \sum_{k} ||\mathbf{a}_{k}|| R^{k} , R > 0.$$

By Remark 3.3., we can find a functional  $Q \in C_b(H)$  such that Q(x) = 1 when  $\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{4}R$  and Q(x) = 0 when  $\|x\| \geq \frac{1}{2}R$ . We choose  $Q(x)F(x) = F_1(x)$  as the extenssion of F.Set a family of  $\sigma$ -fields such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{t} = \sigma [G_{n}(s) : s \leq t \text{ and all } n].$$

Because ( $G_n(t)$ ) is an independent stochastic process sequence, so for all  $n, G_n(t)$  is a martingale with respect to ( $\mathcal{F}_t$ )<sub>t>0</sub>. For R>0, we define stopping time  $\mathcal{T}$  such that

$$\mathcal{I} = \inf [t : \|W(\epsilon, t)\|_{H} > \frac{1}{2}R],$$

where  $W(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t)$  is a Brownian particle with a RP of H. We have

$$F_1(W(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},t)) = Q(W(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},t))F(W(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},t)) = F_1(W(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},t\boldsymbol{\wedge}t))$$

= 
$$Q(W(E, tAT)) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle a_{\mathbf{k}}, W(E, tAT) \rangle^{\otimes k} > .$$

Obviously  $\| W(\ell, t \wedge \tau) \|_{H} \leq \frac{1}{2}R$  and  $\langle a_{k}, W(\ell, t \wedge \tau)^{\otimes k} \rangle$  is a finite linear combination of functions of the form

$$H(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) = (b_1, W(\mathcal{E}, tA\tau)) \dots (b_m, W(\mathcal{E}, tA\tau)),$$

where  $b_j \in H$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m < \infty$ .

Since  $(b_j, W(f, tAT))$  is martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ , invirtue of Ito formula, we have

$$E(H(b_1,...,b_m)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i \in j \leq m \\ i \leq i \leq m \\ i \leq j \leq$$

Then

$$\left| E(H(b_1,\ldots,b_m)) \right| \leq Z(\epsilon)^{-2} M \left| \sum_{\substack{k \in K_{\epsilon}^* \\ k \neq j \leq m}} (K_{\epsilon} K_{\epsilon}^* b_j, b_j) \right| t,$$

where M is a constant independent from  $\epsilon$ . Combining this fact with the assumption, dominated convergence theorem implies

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} E(F_1(W(\epsilon,t)) = F(0).$$

#### Q.E.D.

Corollary 4.2. For every RP of H regularly analytical functionals are harmonic on H.

The purpose of studying the Levy Laplacian aim at functionals on infinite dimensional spaces. Naturally the harmonic functional is very interesting object. In this subject we have

Proposition 4.3. If F  $C_b^2(H)$ , then F is harmonic with respect to any RP of H,(  $K_{\epsilon}$ ) if and only F''(x) is absolutely continuous linear operstor on H for all x  $\in$  H.

Proof. The only thing we to do is that if  $A \in B(H)$ , then the quadratic functional F(x) = (Ax, x) is harmonic for all RP of H if and only if A is absolutely continuous on H.

By Theorem 3.6.,

$$\begin{split} \bigwedge F(\mathbf{x}) &= \lim_{\epsilon \neq 0} \mathbb{Z}(\epsilon)^{-2} [ \text{trace } \mathbb{K}_{\epsilon}^{*} \mathbb{A} \mathbb{K}_{\epsilon} ] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \neq 0} \mathbb{Z}(\epsilon)^{-2} [ \text{trace } \mathbb{K}_{\epsilon}^{*} (\mathbb{A} - \mathbb{S}) \mathbb{K}_{\epsilon} ], \end{split}$$

where S is a finite rank operator on H.Since

trace 
$$[K_{\epsilon}^{*}(A-S) K_{\epsilon}] \leq [A-S]_{B(H)}$$
 trace  $K_{\epsilon}^{*}K_{\epsilon}$ .

That means  $\Delta F(x) = 0$  when A is absolutely continuous on H.

On the other hand, if  $\bigwedge F(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , but A was not absolutely continuous on H In this case, from the spectral theory of selfadjoint operators we know that there is a positive number c>0 and an infinite dimensional subspace H<sub>0</sub> such that for every  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{H}_0$ (Ay,y)  $\geq$  c>0. Choose a CONS of H<sub>0</sub> and a CONS of H  $\bigcirc$  H<sub>0</sub>, respectively ( $\mathbf{u}_m$ ) and ( $\mathbf{v}_k$ ). We construct a CONS of H such that

$$e_n \in (u_m)$$
 when  $n \neq 2^k, k = 0, 1, ...,$   
 $e_n = v_k$ , when  $n = 2^k, k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

Using the CONS of H to construct the Levy RP of H,it is easy to see that  $\bigwedge F(x) \ge c > 0$ . This contradiction indicates A must be absolutely continuous on H. Now we come back to the Gelfand trip  $H_0 \subset H \subset H_0^*$ . It follows that there exists a unique probability measure  $\mu$  on  $H_0^*$  such that

$$\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \prod x \mathfrak{l}_{H}^{2}\right] = \int \exp\left[i \langle y, x \rangle\right] d\mu(y), x \in \mathbb{H}_{0},$$

$$H_{0}^{*}$$

where  $\langle y, x \rangle$  stands for the canonical bilinear form on  $H_0^* X H_o$ . We will conclude this section by extending a well known fact about the harmonic property of U-functionals (see [4] and [7] ).

Theorem 4.4. Let  $f(y,z) \in L^p(H^*_o \times H^*_o, d\mu(y) \times d\mu(z))$ , 1 . Suppose that

$$F(x) = \iint f(y,z) \exp[\langle y+iz, x \rangle] d\mu(y) d\mu(z), x \in H_0.$$

If  $(K_{\xi})$  is a RP of H such that  $K_{\xi} H \subset H_0$  for all  $\xi$ , then F is harmonic with respect to the Lévy Laplacian given by  $(K_{\xi})$ , that means  $\bigwedge F(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in H_0$ .

Before giving its proof we are going to present some lemmas which have their own interesting.

Lemma 4.5. Define

$$\langle y, x \rangle = \sum_{n} \langle y, e_n \rangle \langle (e_n, x) \rangle_{H}$$
, for  $y \in H_o^*, x \in H$ ,

where (  $e_n$  )  $\subset$   ${\rm H}_0{\rm and}$  it is a CONS of H,then the series converges in  $L^2($   ${\rm H}_{\bullet}^{\bigstar}$  ,  ${\rm d}\mu(y)$  ) and

$$\int \exp[r \langle y, x \rangle] d\mu(y) = \exp[\frac{1}{2}r^2 \| x\|_{H}^{2}], x \in H.$$

So we can extend F as follows

$$F(x) = \iint f(y,z) \exp[\langle y+iz,x \rangle] d\mu(y) d\mu(z), \quad x \in H.$$
  
Let  $W_N(\xi,t) = \sum_{N=1}^N I_{\xi} e_n G_n(t)$ , we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} E(\left| F(x+W_{N}(\epsilon,t)) - F(x+W(\epsilon,t)) \right|) = 0.$$

thats means F is admissible with respect to (  $\mathtt{K}_{\textbf{f}}).$ 

Proof. Since

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\int \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle y, e_n \rangle (e_n, W(t) - W_N(t))\right|^2 d\mu(y)\right] = 0$$

and for all r > 1 and all N

$$E[\iint]exp(\langle y+iz, W_{N}(t) \rangle) \int^{r} d\mu(y) d\mu(z) \leq 1.$$

We have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[ \iint \exp(\langle y+iz, W_N(t) \rangle) - \exp(\langle y+iz, W(t) \rangle) \right|^r d\mu(y) d\mu(z) = 0.$$

The proof can be completed easily. Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.6. Let (  $e_n$  ) ⊂  $H_0$  and (  $e_n$  ) be a CONS of H such that  $K_{\xi}K_{\xi}^*e_n = \lambda_n^2e_n$ , then

$$\sum_{n} |\langle y+iz, K_{\epsilon} e_{n} \rangle|^{2} = \sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{2} \langle y+iz, e_{n} \rangle^{2}, \quad y, z \in H_{\bullet}^{*},$$

and when r > 1 ,  $rt < \frac{1}{4}$ , we have

$$A = \iint \left( \sum_{n} \frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{Z^{(\ell)}} \langle y + iz, e_{n} \rangle^{2} \right)^{r} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}rt \sum_{n} \frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{Z^{(\ell)}} \langle y + iz, e_{n} \rangle^{2} \right] d\mu(y) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) + \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) + \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) + \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) + \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) + \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int (r + \frac{1}{2}) \right) d\mu(z) + \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \left( \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e}} \int \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{e$$

Proof. Assume

$$B(y) = \left(\sum_{n} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{Z(\epsilon)^{2}} \langle y, e_{n} \rangle^{2}\right) \exp\left[-t\sum_{n} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{Z(\epsilon)^{2}} \langle y, e_{n} \rangle^{2}\right],$$
  

$$C(y) = \exp\left[-t\sum_{n} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{Z(\epsilon)^{2}} \langle y, e_{n} \rangle^{2}\right],$$

where  $Z(\epsilon)^2$  = trace  $K_{\epsilon}^* K_{\epsilon}$  = trace  $K_{\epsilon} K_{\epsilon}^* = \sum_n \lambda_n^2$  and ( $e_n$ ) is depending on  $K_{\epsilon}$ .

Since

$$A \leq 2^{\mathbf{r}} \left[ \int B(\mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{r}} d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \right] \left[ \int C(\mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{r}} d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \right],$$

and

$$\int B(y)^{r} d\mu(y) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{N} \prod_{h=1}^{N} \left( 1 - \frac{2tr \lambda_{n}^{2}}{Z(e)^{2}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \left( \int_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{Z(e)^{2}} \left( 1 - \frac{2tr \lambda_{n}^{2}}{Z(e)^{2}} \right)^{-1} y_{n}^{2} \right)^{r} R^{N} \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( y \right)^{2} \right) dy \right],$$

where 
$$(y_1, ..., y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$$
 and  $|y|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N y_j^2$ ,  $dy = dy_1 ... dy_N$ .

Because  $1-2\operatorname{tr} \lambda_n^2 / Z(\epsilon)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and

$$(1 - 2\operatorname{tr} \lambda_n^2/Z(\epsilon)^2) \ge e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

by Jensen's inequality and  $\sum_{n} \lambda_{n}^{z} / z(\epsilon)^{2} = 1$ ,

$$\left(\sum_{n}\frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{Z(t)^{2}}y_{n}^{2}\right)^{r} \leq \sum_{n}\frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{Z(t)^{2}}y_{n}^{2r},$$

combining all facts, we have

$$\int B(y)^{r} d\mu(y) \leq 2^{2r-1} e^{\frac{1}{4}} \int (r+\frac{1}{2}) , \text{ for } t < \frac{1}{4}r^{-1}.$$
  
For C(y), we have 
$$\int C(y)^{r} d\mu(y) \leq e^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Q.E.D.

We call a functional g on  $H_o^* X H_o^*$  cylindrical if g is of the form  $g(\langle u_1, y \rangle, \ldots, \langle u_m, y \rangle, \langle u_1, z \rangle, \ldots, \langle u_m, z \rangle)$ ,  $m < \infty$  and  $g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$  and  $u_j \in H_0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

Lemma 4.7. Suppose g is a cylidrical functional on  $H_{o}^{*} \times H_{o}^{*}$  and  $g \in L^{p}(H_{o}^{*} \times H_{o}^{*}, d\mu \chi d\mu)$ , 1 and

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = \iint g(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \exp[\langle \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{i}\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x} \rangle] d\mu(\mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{z}),$$

then G is harmonic with respect to all RP of H.

Proof. For simplicity we assume that g is of the form

where (  $e_n$  ) is a CONS of H and (  $e_n$ )  $\subset$  H<sub>0</sub>. In that case

$$G(W(t)) = \iint g(y,z) \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle y+iz, e_j \rangle (e_j, W(t)) d\mu(y) d\mu(z)\right]$$

and

$$E(G(W(t))) = \iint g(y,z) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}t\sum_{j=1}^{m} ||K^*e_j||^2 (y+iz,e_j)^2 / Z(\epsilon)^2\right] d\mu(y) d\mu(z).$$

Now we see that

In the similar way we can prove that  $\triangle G(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in H_o$ . Q.E.D.

The proof of Theorem 4.4.By Lemma 4.5.

$$E(F(W(\epsilon,t)) = \iint f(y,z) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}t \sum_{n} \frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{z(\epsilon)^{2}} \langle y+iz, e_{n} \rangle^{2}\right] d\mu(y) d\mu(z),$$

and using Lemma 4.6. to it, we have estimate

$$t^{-1} | E(F(W(\ell, t)) - F(0)) | \leq \frac{2^{2r-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{e} \Gamma(r+\frac{1}{2}) | f||_{p}.$$

Since the set of cylidrical functionals is dense in  $L^{p}(H_{o}^{*} \chi H_{o}^{*}, d\mu\chi d\mu)$   $1 , and in virtue of Lemma 4.7., we know that <math>\bigwedge F(0) = 0$ . Consider  $f(y,z)exp[\langle y+iz, x_{o} \rangle]$  for  $x_{o} \in H_{0}$ , we have  $\bigwedge F(x_{o}) = 0$ . Q.E.D.

# 5. Some remarks of generalized Levy

#### Laplacian

In this section we give some generalized Levy Laplacians.Figst

if A(t, $\omega$ ) is bounded linear operator valued process which is adapted with respect to ( $\mathcal{F}_t$ ),  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma[G_n(s) : s \leq t$  and for all n ], and

$$E\left(\int \left\|A(s)\right\|_{B(H)}^{2} ds \right) < \infty$$

we can define the stochastic integral with respect to Brownian particle  $W(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},t)$  such that

$$X(\ell,t) = \int_{0}^{t} A(s) \ dW(\ell,s) = \sum_{n} \int_{0}^{t} A(s)(I_{\ell}e_{n}) dG_{n}(s),$$

obviously the series converges in H and

$$E(||X(\epsilon,t)||^{2}) = E(\int_{0}^{t} [trace I_{\epsilon}^{*}A(s)I_{\epsilon}] ds.$$

Second, if  $G(t, x, \omega)$  is a jointly measurable map from  $T \times H \times \Omega$ to H such that  $G(0, x, \omega) = 0$  and for fixed  $\omega \in \Omega_{,} G(t, x, \omega)$  is continuous map from  $T \times H$  to H, we set

$$X(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},t) = G(t,W(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},t),\boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

In these cases we can define the generalized Levy Laplacians as follows.

$$\mathbb{A}_{X} F(x) = 2 \lim_{t \to 0} \lim_{t \to 0} [E(x+X(f,t))-F(x)]/t,$$

if the limit exists.

Such kind of generalized Levy Laplacians corresponds to the variable coefficient second order differential operators in finite dimensional spaces (see [1]).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was done during the author's enjoyable stay at the Department of Statistics of the University of California at Berkeley in November 1991. The author expresses his sincere appreciation to U.C.Berkeley, in particular to Professor L.LeCam, for their hospitality. The author thanks Professor H.-H.Kuo for many useful comments and discussion .

#### REFERENCES

- M.N.Feller, Infinite-dimensional elliptic equations and operators of Levy type, Russian Math.Surveys 41:4(1986),119-170.
- L.Gross, Potential theory on Hilbert space, J.Funct.Anal.1(1967) 123-181.
- 3. T.Hida and K.Saito, White noise analysis and the Levy Laplacian, in "Stochastic Processes in Physics and Engineering" (S.Albeverio et al., Eds.), pp. 177-184, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1988.

26

- 4. H.-H.Kuo and N.Obata and K.Saito, Levy Laplacian of Generalized Functions on a Nuclear Space, J.Funct.Anal.1(1990), 74-92.
- 5. P.Levy, "Lecons d'analyse fonctionnelle, "Gauthier-Villar, Paris, 1922.
- P.Levy, "Problemes concrets d'analyse fonctionnelle," Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1951.
- 7. N.Obata, The Levy Laplacian and mean value theorem, in "Probability Measure on Groups, IX (H.Heyer, Ed.), pp.242-253, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol.1379, Spring-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1989.
- 8. N.Obata, A characterization of the Levy Laplacian in terms of infinite dimensional rotation group, Nagoya Math.J.118(1990) 111-132.

Zhang Yinnan Institute of Mathematics Fudan University Shanghai 200433 P.R.of China