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#### Abstract

In this paper a maximal correlation algorithm using alternating projections is investigated. This algorithm was suggested by Breiman and Friedman (1985) without a proof. We prove the convergence of a slightly modified version of the algorithm. The convergence is exponentially fast.


## 1. Introduction.

Let $S_{0}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}$ be linear subspaces of a Hilbert space H. Breiman and Friedman (1985) considered the following problem:
(1.1) Find, if exist, $s_{i}^{*} \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$ such that $\left\|\mathrm{s}_{0}^{*}\right\|=1$ and $\left\|\sum_{0}^{k} s_{i}^{*}\right\|=c_{0} \equiv \min \left\{\left\|\sum_{0}^{k} s_{i}\right\|: s_{i} \in S_{i}, i=0, \ldots, k,\left\|s_{0}\right\|=1\right\}$.
If $s_{i}^{*}$ exist then $s_{0}^{*}$ and $\sum_{1}^{k} s_{k}^{*}$ is the pair of elements belonging to $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}+S_{2}+\cdots+S_{k}$ respectively with maximum "correlation'" (or, with minimal angle between them). Breiman and Friedman (1985) considered the situation where Y, $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are random variables defined on the same probability space and one looks for function $\mathrm{s}_{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{k}}$ that maximize the correlation between $\mathrm{s}_{0}(\mathrm{Y})$ and $\Sigma \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$.

Breiman and Friedman (1985) suggested the following algorithm for an approximate solution of (1.1). Let $P_{i}$ be the orthogonal projection on $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=0, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\left(I-P_{k}\right)\left(I-P_{k-1}\right) \cdots\left(I-P_{1}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then define

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{0}^{(n+1)}=-P_{0} \sum_{1}^{k} s_{i}^{(n)} /\left\|P_{0} \sum_{1}^{k} s_{i}^{(n)}\right\| \\
& \sum_{0}^{k} s_{i}^{(n+1)}=T^{m(n)}\left(s_{0}^{(n+1)}+\sum_{1}^{k} s_{i}^{(n)}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m(n)$ is appropriate large number and $\Sigma_{i=0}^{k} s_{i}^{(1)}$ is arbitrary. It is well known that $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}$ where P is the projection on $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$.

Breiman and Friedman (1985) suggested without a proof a 'single-loop" algorithm which is more symmetrical with respect to $\mathrm{P}_{0}, \ldots \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$. It is defined essentially as the above algorithm but with $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}) \equiv 1$. They report that this single loop algorithm has a better performance.

We prove in this paper that this single loop algorithm converges exponentially fast if $\mathrm{PP}_{0} \mathrm{P}$ is compact and A 2 below is satisfied. Then we prove that the algorithm actually converges to $\mathrm{s}_{0}^{*}, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{k}}^{*}$ if the algorithm is modified as follows.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\left(I-P_{1}\right)\left(I-P_{2}\right) \cdots\left(I-P_{k}\right)\left(I-P_{k-1}\right) \cdots\left(I-P_{1}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define

$$
u_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} s_{j}^{(n)}
$$

Then replace (1.3) by

$$
u_{n+1}+s_{\delta^{(n+1)}}=\left[\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) I+\alpha_{n} T\right]\left(u_{n}+s_{0}^{(n+1)}\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in(0,1)$ is a predeterminant sequence of positive numbers (their actual values has no influence on the theoretical result).

## 2. Preliminaries.

Let $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{PP}_{0} \mathrm{P}: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$. We assume
$\mathrm{A} 1: \mathrm{Q}$ is a compact operator (e.g. $\mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ compact) and $\|\mathrm{Q}\|>0$
A2: $\left.\quad \inf \left\{\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{k} s_{j}\right\|: s_{j} \in \mathbf{S}_{j}, j=i+1, \ldots, k, s_{i} \in S_{i} \cap\left(S_{i+1}+\cdots+S_{k}\right)\right\}^{\prime},\left\|s_{i}\right\|=1\right\}>0$, $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1$.

Let $\sigma_{0} \geq \sigma_{1} \geq \cdots$ be the eigenvalues of Q (including multiplicities) and let $\sigma$ ( Q ) denotes the spectrum of Q . For any operator $\mathrm{H}, \mathbf{N}(\mathrm{H})$ denotes its null space.

## Proposition 1.

i) $\quad \mathrm{s}_{0}^{*}=-\mathrm{P}_{0} \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} /\left\|\mathrm{P}_{0} \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}\right\|, \quad \quad \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}=-\mathrm{P} \mathrm{s}_{0}^{*}$ and

$$
\mathrm{Q} \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}=\left\|\mathrm{P}_{0} \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}\right\| \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}
$$

ii) If $\quad u \in S_{1}+\cdots+S_{k}, \quad Q u=\sigma u \quad$ and $\quad\left\|P_{0} u\right\|=\sigma$. Then $\left\|u-P_{0} u /\right\| P_{0} u\| \|^{2}=1-\sigma . \quad$ In particular, $\quad Q \Sigma_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}^{*}=\sigma_{0} \Sigma_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}^{*} \quad$ and $c_{0}=1-\sigma_{0}$.
iii) $\quad(I-T)(I-P)=0 \quad$ and $\quad u_{i+1}=\left\{\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) I+\alpha_{i} T+\alpha_{i} \lambda_{i}(I-T) Q\right\} u_{i} \quad i=1,2, \ldots$ where $\lambda_{i}=\left\|P_{0} u_{i}\right\|^{-1}, i=1,2, \ldots$

## Proof.

i) Consider the problems:
(a) minimize $\left\|\mathrm{s}_{0}+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}\right\|$ subject to $\mathrm{s}_{0} \in \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ and $\left\|\mathrm{s}_{0}\right\|=1$
(b) minimize $\left\|\mathrm{s}_{0}^{*}+\mathrm{u}\right\|$ subject to $\mathrm{u} \in \mathbf{S}$

We get immediately that $\mathrm{s}_{0}^{*}=-\mathrm{P}_{0} \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} /\left\|\mathrm{P}_{0} \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}\right\|$ and
(c) $\quad \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}=-\mathrm{Ps}_{0}^{*}$

$$
=P_{0} \Sigma_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}^{*} /\left\|P_{0} \Sigma_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}^{*}\right\|
$$

$$
=\mathrm{Q} \Sigma_{i=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} /\left\|\mathrm{P}_{0} \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}\right\|
$$

ii) Let $u$ be any eigenvector of $Q, Q u=\sigma u$, normalized such that $\left\|P_{0} u\right\|=\sigma$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
<u, u\rangle & =\left\langle u, \sigma^{-1} P P_{0} u\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\sigma^{-1}<u, P_{0} u\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\sigma^{-1}<P_{0} u, P_{0} u\right\rangle=\sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{0} & \geq\left\|u-P_{0} u /\right\| P_{0} u\| \|^{2} \\
& =\|u\|^{2}-2 \sigma^{-1}<u, P_{0} u>+1 \\
& =1-\sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Sigma_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}^{*}$ satisfies (c) we conclude that it corresponds to $\sigma_{0}, c_{0}=1-\sigma_{0}$ and $\|u\|^{2}=\sigma_{0}$.
iii) The first claim follows since $\left(I-P_{i}\right)(I-P)=I-P$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{i+1} & =\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) u_{i}+\alpha_{i} T u_{i}+\alpha_{i} \lambda_{i}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}) \mathrm{P}_{0} u_{i} \\
& =\left(1-\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}) \mathrm{PP}_{0} \mathrm{Pu}_{\mathrm{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Main result.

In this section we prove the following theorem.
Theorem: Suppose A1 and A2 hold. Let $u_{i} i=2,3, \ldots$ be defined recursively as in Proposition 1(iii). Let $c_{i}=\left\|u_{i}-P_{0} u_{i} /\right\| P_{0} u_{i}\| \|^{2}$.
i) Suppose $0<\alpha^{*} \leq \alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \leq 1$ and that T is given either by (1.2) or by (1.4). Then $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ converges exponentially fast and $\sigma_{\infty} \equiv 1-\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} c_{i} \in \sigma(Q) . u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots$ converges exponentially fast and $\lim _{\mathrm{i} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathbf{N}\left(\sigma_{\infty} \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Q}\right)$. Both rates have uniform bounds which depend only on $\sigma_{\infty}$. In particular the convergence is uniform on $\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}:\left\|\mathrm{u}_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{u}_{1} /\right\| \mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{u}_{1}\| \|^{2} \leq \bar{c}<1\right\}$.
ii) If further $0<\alpha^{*} \leq \alpha_{i}<1$ and $T$ is given by (1.4) then $\left\{u_{1}: u_{i} \rightarrow N\left(\sigma_{0} I-Q\right)\right\}$ is an open everywhere dense set.
The theorem will be proved by the following lemmas. See in particular Lemmas 3(ii), 4 and 7.

We assume for simplicity that $\left\|P_{0} u_{1}\right\|>1 / 2\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}$, which can always be achieved by normalization except in the trivial case where $u_{1}=u_{2}=\cdots$. Then $\left\|u_{1}-P_{0} u_{1} /\right\| P_{0} u_{1}\| \|^{2}=\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}-2\left\|P_{0} u_{1}\right\|+1<1$. Note that this is the case in particular if $\mathrm{u}_{1}=(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}) \mathrm{s}_{0} \neq 0$ for arbitrary $\mathrm{s}_{0} \in \mathrm{~S}_{0},\left\|\mathrm{~s}_{0}\right\|=1$.

In the following $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{1}, \mathbf{C}_{2}, \ldots$ are constants which do not depend on the particular sequence $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots$ or on $i$ as long as $c_{i}<\bar{c}<1$. In particular they hold uniformlly on $\left\{u_{1}: c_{1} \leq \bar{c}<1\right\}$. They may depend on $\bar{c}$.

In the remaining, all operators except $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$ are considered as operating from $\mathbf{S}$ to $\mathbf{S}$.

Lemma 1. $\|\mathrm{T}\|<1$.
Proof. The lemma will be proved by induction on the number of subspaces $\mathbf{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$. For $\mathrm{k}=1$ the result is trivial $(\|\mathrm{T}\|=0)$. Suppose the lemma holds for $\mathrm{k}-1$ subspaces. Assume T is given by (1.4). Let $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right) \cdots\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}-1}\right) \cdots\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, thus $\quad \mathrm{T}=\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ ( $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ). We prove now that $\|\mathrm{T}\|<1$. Assume otherwise, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathrm{T}\|=1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T$ is self adjoint operator, there are $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots$ such that $T w_{i}=\beta_{i} w_{i},\left\|w_{i}\right\|=1$ $i=1,2, \ldots \quad$ and $\quad \beta_{i} \rightarrow 1$. Since $\left\|\left(I-P_{i}\right)\right\| \leq 1, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k \quad$ we have: $\left\|\left(I-P_{1}\right) w_{i}\right\| \geq\left\|\left(I-P_{1}\right) T^{\prime}\left(I-P_{1}\right) w_{i}\right\|=\beta_{i}$. Therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{1} w_{i}\right\|^{2}=\left\|w_{i}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\left(I-P_{1}\right) w_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq 1-\beta_{i}^{2} \quad i=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way; $\left\|w_{i}\right\| \geq\left\|T^{\prime}\left(I-P_{1}\right) w_{i}\right\| \geq\left\|\left(I-P_{1}\right) T^{\prime}\left(I-P_{1}\right) w_{i}\right\|=\beta_{i}\left\|w_{i}\right\|$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{1}\right) \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{1}\right) \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{1}\right) \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leq 1-\beta_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

Now, $\left(I-P_{1}\right) T^{\prime}\left(I-P_{1}\right) w_{i}=\beta_{i} w_{i}$ hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-T^{\prime}\right) w_{i}=\left(1-\beta_{i}\right) w_{i}-P_{1} T^{\prime}\left(I-P_{1}\right) w_{i}-T^{\prime} P_{1} w_{i} \quad i=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together (3.2) - (3.4) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(I-T^{\prime}\right) w_{i}\right\| \leq 1-\beta_{i}+2\left(1-\beta_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ be the (orthogonal) projection on $\mathrm{S}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$ operator. By proposition 1(iii), $\left\|\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\|=\left\|\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\| \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots$. Therefore (3.5) and the induction hypotheses imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P^{\prime} w_{i}\right\|=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $\quad w_{i}=w_{i}^{\prime}+w_{i}^{\prime \prime} \quad$ where $\quad w_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{S}_{1} \cap\left\{\mathbf{S}_{1} \cap\left(\mathbf{S}_{2}+\cdots+\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right\}^{\perp} \quad$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbf{S}_{2}+\cdots+\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$. we conclude from (3.2) and (3.6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}+\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0 \text { and } \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { hence }  \tag{3.7}\\
& \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}-\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly $\quad w_{i}^{\prime} \nrightarrow 0 . \quad$ (Otherwise $\quad \lim w_{i}^{\prime \prime}=-\lim P_{1} w_{i}^{\prime}=0 \quad$ contradicting $\left\|w_{i}^{\prime}+w_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\|=1$ ). Now, $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ is a self adjoint and by (3.7) its spectral radius is 1 . This contradicts assumption A2 by Proposition 1. Hence (3.1) was contradicted. Now, if T is given by (1.2) the same argument applies since $\|\mathrm{Tw}\|^{2}=w^{T} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Tw}$.

## Lemma 2.

i) $\mathrm{c}_{1} \geq \mathrm{c}_{2} \geq \cdots$
ii) $\left\|u_{i}-u_{i+1}\right\|^{2} \leq(2 k-1) \alpha_{i}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right) \quad i=1,2, \ldots$

## Proof.

i) Fix any $i \geq 1$ let $v=P_{0} u_{i} /\left\|P_{0} u_{i}\right\|$ and define $w_{0}, w_{1} \cdots$, by:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w_{0}-v=u_{i}-v,  \tag{3.8}\\
w_{j+1}-v=\left(I-P_{j}\right)\left(w_{j}-v\right) & j=1, \ldots, k-1, \\
w_{j+1}-v=I-P_{2 k-1-j}\left(w_{j}-v\right) & j=k, \ldots, 2 k-2 .
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) u_{i}+\alpha_{i} w_{2 k-1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{j+1}-v\right\|^{2}=\left\|w_{j}-v\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{j+1}-w_{j}\right\|^{2} \quad j=0, \ldots, 2 k-2 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{2 k-1}-v\right\|^{2}=\left\|u_{i}-v\right\|^{2}-\Sigma_{j=0}^{2 k-2}\left\|w_{j+1}-w_{j}\right\|^{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

A convexity argument, (3.9) and (3.11) together imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{i+1}-v\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)\left\|u_{i}-v\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{i}\left\|w_{2 k-1}-v\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.12}\\
& =\left\|u_{i}-v\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{i} \Sigma_{j=0}^{2 k-1}\left\|w_{j+1}-w_{j}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i+1} & =\left\|u_{i+1}-\right\| P_{0} u_{i+1}\left\|^{-1} P_{0} u_{i+1}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.13}\\
& \leq\left\|u_{i+1}-v\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq c_{i}-\alpha_{i} \sum_{j=0}^{2 k-1}\left\|w_{j+1}-w_{j}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Which proves part (i).
ii) The second part follows (3.13) and the Schwartz inequality since

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{i+1}-u_{i}\right\|^{2} & =\alpha_{i}^{2}\left\|w_{2 k-1}-u_{i}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \leq \alpha_{i}^{2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2 k-1}\left\|w_{j+1}-w_{j}\right\|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{i}^{2}(2 k-1) \sum_{j=0}^{2 k-1}\left\|w_{j+1}-w_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq(2 k-1) \alpha_{i}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Establishing Lemma 2, we are ready to prove a stronger convergence result.

## Lemma 3.

i) $\quad\left\|u_{i}-\lambda_{i} Q u_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq(2 k-1)(1-\|T\|)^{-2} \alpha_{i}^{-1}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right) \quad i=1,2, \ldots \quad$ where $\lambda_{i}=\left\|P_{0} u_{i}\right\|^{-1}$.
ii) $\lim _{\mathrm{i} \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \equiv \sigma_{\infty} \in \sigma(\mathrm{Q})$
iii) $\left|\lambda_{i}^{-1}-\sigma_{\infty}\right| \leq K_{1}\left(c_{i}-1+\sigma_{\infty}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad$ i $=1,2, \ldots$ where $\mathrm{K}_{1}=1+\left\{(2 \mathrm{k}-1) \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}\right\}^{1 / 2}(\mathrm{I}-\|\mathrm{T}\|)^{-1}$.
iv) $\left\|u_{i}\right\| \geq 1-c_{i}^{1 / 2}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots$.
v) $2 / 5 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq\left(1-c_{i}^{1 / 2}\right)^{-1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots$

## Proof.

By proposition (iii) $u_{i+1}-u_{i}=\alpha_{i}(I-T)\left(\lambda_{i} Q u_{i}-u_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots$, and part (i) follows Lemmas 1 and 2(ii). Write

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i} & =\lambda_{i} Q u_{i}+w_{i}  \tag{3.15}\\
& =\lambda_{i} P P_{0} u_{i}+w_{i} \quad i=1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\|w_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{(2 k-1)}{(1-\|T\|)^{2} \alpha_{i}}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right)$. This proves part (i). Now

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =<\lambda_{i} P_{0} u_{i}, \lambda_{i} P_{0} u_{i}>  \tag{3.16}\\
& =\lambda_{i}<u_{i}, \lambda_{i} P_{0} u_{i}> \\
& =\lambda_{i}<u_{i}, \lambda_{i} P P_{0} u_{i}> \\
& =\lambda_{i}<u_{i}, u_{i}-w_{i}>\quad \text { by (3.15) } \\
& =\lambda_{i}\left\|u_{i}\right\|^{2}-\lambda_{i}<u_{i}, w_{i}>, \quad i=1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i} & =\left\|u_{i}-\lambda_{i} P_{0} u_{i}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.17}\\
& =\left\|u_{i}\right\|^{2}-2<u_{i}, \lambda_{i} P_{0} u_{i}>+1 \\
& =1-\lambda_{i}^{-1}-<u_{i}, w_{i}>\quad i=1,2, \ldots .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\|u_{i}\right\| \leq\left\|u_{i}-\lambda_{i} P_{0} u_{i}\right\|+1 \leq 2$, (3.15) and (3.17) together imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{i}-1-\lambda_{i}^{-1}\right| \leq 2\left\{\frac{2 k-1}{(1-\|T\|)^{2} \alpha_{i}}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\lambda_{\infty}^{-1}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{i}^{-1}=1-\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} c_{i}$. Now, $Q$ is compact and $\left\|u_{i}\right\|<2$ $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots$, hence the RHS of (3.15) has a converging subsequence, $i(1), i(2), \ldots$, say. But (3.15) implies that $u_{i(1)}, u_{i(2)}$,... converges to $u$, say, such that $u-\lambda_{\infty} Q u=0$. This proves part (ii). To prove the third part conclude from (3.18) and the monotonicity of $\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\lambda_{i}^{-1}-\sigma_{\infty}\right| & \leq\left|c_{i}-1+\lambda_{i}^{-1}\right|+\left|1-c_{i}-\sigma_{\infty}\right|  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leq 2\left\{\frac{2 k-1}{(1-\|T\|)^{2} \alpha_{i}}\left(c_{i}-1+\sigma_{\infty}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}+c_{i}-1+\sigma_{\infty}
\end{align*}
$$

Part (iv) is proved by $\left\|u_{i}\right\| \geq\left\|\lambda_{i} P_{0} u_{i}\right\|-\left\|u_{i}-\lambda_{i} P_{0} u_{i}\right\| \equiv 1-c_{i}^{1 / 2}$.
Finally, $c_{i} \equiv\left\|u_{i}-\right\| P_{0} u_{i}\left\|^{-1} P_{0} u_{i}\right\|^{2}=\left\|u_{i}\right\|^{2}-2\left\|P_{0} u_{i}\right\|+1$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i} \equiv\left\|P_{0} u_{i}\right\|^{1}=2\left(1+\left\|u_{i}\right\|^{2}-c_{i}\right)^{-1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0 \leq c_{i} \leq 1$ and $\left(1-c_{i}^{1 / 2}\right)^{-2} \leq\left\|u_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq 4$ the proof of the lemma is completed by (3.20).

We need the following lemma to complete the proof of the first part of the Theorem.

## Lemma 4.

$u_{\infty}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} u_{i}$ exists and there are $\varepsilon>0, K_{2}$ and $b \in(0,1)$ which depend on $u_{1}$ only through $\sigma_{\infty}$, such that $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}-1+\sigma_{\infty}<\varepsilon$ implies:
i) $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}-1+\sigma_{\infty} \leq\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}-1+\sigma_{\infty}\right) \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}}, \quad \mathrm{i} \geq \mathrm{m}$
ii) $\left.\left|\lambda_{i}-\sigma_{\infty}\right| \leq K_{2}\left(c_{m}-1+\sigma_{\infty}\right)\right)^{i-m}, \quad$ i $\geq m$
iii) $\left\|u_{i}-u_{\infty}\right\| \leq K_{2}\left(c_{m}-1+\sigma_{\infty}\right) b^{i-m}, \quad i \geq m$.

Proof. Let $\xi_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{j}=0,1,2, \ldots$ satisfy $\mathrm{Q} \xi_{\mathrm{j}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{j}} \xi_{\mathrm{j}}, \quad<\xi_{\mathrm{j}}, \xi_{l}>=\delta_{\mathrm{j} l}$. Let $\mathrm{J}=\left\{\mathrm{j}: \sigma_{\mathrm{j}}=\sigma_{\infty}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{c}}=\left\{\mathrm{j}: \sigma_{\mathrm{j}} \neq \sigma_{\infty}\right\}$. Let $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{P}_{0} \xi_{\mathrm{j}}$. Note that $\mathrm{P} v_{\mathrm{j}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{j}} \xi_{\mathrm{j}},\left\langle\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}, \xi_{l}\right\rangle=0$ for $\mathrm{j} \neq l$ and $\left\langle v_{\mathrm{j}}, v_{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle v_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{P}_{0} \xi_{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle v_{\mathrm{j}}, \xi_{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle P v_{\mathrm{j}}, \xi_{l}\right\rangle=\sigma_{\mathrm{j}} \delta_{\mathrm{j} l}$. Since $\sigma_{\infty} \geq 1-c_{i}>0$ and $Q$ is compact operator there is $\gamma>0$ such that $2 \gamma \leq \min _{j \in J_{c}}\left|\sigma_{j}-\sigma_{\infty}\right|$. Suppose $\varepsilon$ is small enough such that (3.21) and (3.25) below are satisfied when $c_{i}-1+\sigma_{\infty}<\varepsilon$.

First note that if $\varepsilon$ is small enough then for $\mathrm{i} \geq \mathrm{m}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\min _{j \in J_{c}}\left|1-\lambda_{i} \sigma_{j}\right| & =\min _{j \in J_{c}} \lambda_{i}\left|\lambda_{i}^{-1}-\sigma_{j}\right|  \tag{3.21}\\
& \geq \lambda_{i}\left(2 \gamma-\left|\lambda_{i}^{-1}-\sigma_{\infty}\right|\right) \\
& \geq \frac{2 \gamma-K_{1}\left(c_{i}-1+\sigma_{\infty}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\sigma_{\infty}+K_{1}\left(c_{i}-1+\sigma_{\infty}\right)^{1 / 2}} \quad \text { by Lemma 3(iii) } \\
& \geq \gamma .
\end{align*}
$$

Now write $u_{i}=\Sigma \alpha_{i j} \xi_{j}, i \geq m$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{i}-\lambda_{i} Q u_{i}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}\left(1-\lambda_{i} \sigma_{j}\right) \xi_{j}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.22}\\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}^{2}\left(1-\lambda_{i} \sigma_{j}^{2} \quad i \geq m\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3(i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}^{2}\left(1-\lambda_{i} \sigma_{j}\right)^{2} \leq C_{1}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right) \quad i \geq m \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{C}_{1}=(2 \mathrm{k}-1)(1-\|\mathrm{T}\|)^{-2} \alpha^{*-1}$. Combine (3.21) and (3.23) and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in J_{c}} \alpha_{i j}^{2} \leq \frac{C_{1}}{\gamma}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right) \quad i \geq m \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3(iv) and (3.24) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{i j}^{2} & =\left\|u_{i}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{j \in J_{c}} \alpha_{i j}^{2}  \tag{3.25}\\
& \geq 1-c_{i}^{1 / 2}-\frac{C_{1}}{\gamma}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right) \\
& \geq 1 / 2\left(1-c_{m}^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We conclude from (3.23) and (3.25) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\lambda_{i} \sigma_{\infty}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{2 C_{1}}{1-c_{m}^{1 / 2}} \quad i \geq m \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our last preliminary result is:

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =\lambda_{i}^{2}\left\|P_{0} u_{i}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.27}\\
& =\lambda_{i}^{2}\left\|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j} v_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\lambda_{i}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}^{2} \sigma_{j} \quad i \geq m .
\end{align*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i} & =\left\|u_{i}-\lambda_{i} P_{0} u_{i}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.28}\\
& =\left\|\Sigma_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}\left(\xi_{j}-\lambda_{i} v_{j}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}^{2}\left(1-2 \lambda_{i}<\xi_{j}, v_{j}>+\lambda_{i}^{2}\left\|v_{j}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\Sigma_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}^{2}\left(1-2 \lambda_{i} \sigma_{j}+\lambda_{i}^{2} \sigma_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}^{2}\left(1-\lambda_{i} \sigma_{j}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{i}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}^{2} \sigma_{j}-\lambda_{i}^{2} \Sigma_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2} \quad i \geq m
\end{align*}
$$

The last term in the RHS of (3.28) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2}=\sigma_{\infty} \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{i j}^{2} \lambda_{i}^{2} \sigma_{j}+\sum_{j \in J_{c}} \alpha_{i j}^{2} \lambda_{i}^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2} \quad i \geq m \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used (3.27). Combine now (3.24), (3.26), (3.27) and (3.29) and get

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i} & =1-\sigma_{\infty}+\sigma_{\infty} \sum_{j \in J_{c}} \alpha_{i j}^{2} \lambda_{i}^{2}\left(\sigma_{j}-\sigma_{j}^{2}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i j}^{2}\left(1-\lambda_{i} \sigma_{j}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.30}\\
& \leq 1-\sigma_{\infty}+1 / 2 \sigma_{\infty} \frac{C_{1}}{\gamma} \lambda_{i}^{2}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right)+C_{1}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right) \quad i \geq m .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3(v) and (3.30) imply that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}-1+\sigma_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{C}_{1}\left(1+1 / 2 \sigma_{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{i}^{2}}{\gamma}\right)\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right) \leq C_{2}\left(c_{i}-c_{i+1}\right) \quad i \geq m \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mathbf{C}_{2}>0$.
Hence (note that $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}+1} \geq 1-\sigma_{\infty}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i+1}-1+\sigma_{\infty} & \leq \frac{\mathbf{C}_{2}-1}{\mathbf{C}_{2}}\left(c_{i}-1+\sigma_{\infty}\right)  \tag{3.32}\\
& \leq\left(c_{m}-1+\sigma_{\infty}\right)\left(\frac{\mathbf{C}_{2}-1}{\mathbf{C}_{2}}\right)^{i-m} \quad i \geq m
\end{align*}
$$

which proves Part (i). Part (ii) follows Part (i) and (3.26). Finally, Part (iii) is proved by Part (i) and Lemma 2(i).

The first part of the theorem is now proved. We introduce now some new notation to simplify the proof of the second part. Let $x_{i}=(I-T)^{-1 / 2} u_{i} i=1,2, \ldots$ and $\mathrm{M}=(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T})^{1 / 2} \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T})^{1 / 2}$ where $(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T})^{1 / 2}$ is the self adjoint operator such that $(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T})^{1 / 2}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T})^{1 / 2}=\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}$. Then it is easy to see that $x_{i+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) x_{i}+\alpha_{i}\left(T+\lambda_{i} M\right) x_{i}$ and $\lambda_{i}=\left\langle x_{i}, M x_{i}\right\rangle^{-1 / 2}, i \geq 1$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})=\left(1-\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{x}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Tx}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Mx}>^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{Mx}, \quad \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{i} \geq 1 \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{i} \geq 1$.
In the next lemma we establish some properties of the operator $f_{i}(\cdot)$.

## Lemma 5.

i) $f_{i}(\cdot)$ is monotone coerctive self adjoint operator with $F$-derivative at $x \in S$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{f}_{i}(y ; x)=\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) y+\alpha_{i} T y+\alpha_{i}<X, M x>^{-1 / 2} M y \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$-\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Mx}>^{-1 / 2}<\mathrm{Mx}, \mathrm{y}>\mathrm{Mx}, \quad \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{i} \geq 1$.
ii) $f_{i}$ has a bounded inverse.
iii) If $x \in S, f_{i}(x)=x$ and $<x, M x><\sigma_{0}^{2},(I-T)^{1 / 2} x \in \mathbb{N}\left(\sigma_{0} I-Q\right)$ then $\left\|\dot{f}_{i}(\cdot ; x)\right\|>1$.
iv) For any $\varepsilon>0$, and $x \in S, \dot{f}_{i}(\cdot ; x)$ has a finite number of eigenvalues including multiplicities greater than $\alpha_{i}+\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)\|T\|+\varepsilon$.

## Proof.

i) Immediate.
ii) Since $M$ is self adjoint positive semidefinite operator, $\langle x, M x\rangle$ $\langle\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{My}\rangle-\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{My}\rangle^{2} \geq 0$ (Schwartz inequality). T is self adjoint positive semidefinite operator. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\mathrm{y}, \dot{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{x})>=\right. & \left.\left(1-\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\|\mathrm{y}\|^{2}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Ty}\right\rangle  \tag{3.35}\\
& +\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Mx}>^{-3 / 2}\left(<\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Mx}><\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{My}>-<\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{My}>^{2}\right) \\
\geq & \left(1-\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\|\mathrm{Y}\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $\dot{f}_{i}(\cdot ; x)$ is invertible (Joshi and Bose, (1985)).
iii) Let $\lambda=\langle x, M x\rangle^{-1 / 2}$ and $y=(I-T)^{-1 / 2} u_{0}$ where $u_{0} \in N\left(\sigma_{0} I-Q\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}=\left(\mathrm{T}+\sigma_{0}^{-1} \mathrm{M}\right) \mathrm{y} . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x=(T+\lambda M) x, \quad x \in S$ with $\lambda>\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle y, x\rangle & =\langle y,(T+\lambda M) x\rangle  \tag{3.37}\\
& =<(T+\lambda M) y, x\rangle \\
& =\left\langle y+\left(\lambda-\sigma_{0}^{-1}\right) M y, x\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\langle y, x\rangle+\left(\lambda-\sigma_{0}^{-1}\right)<M y, x\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $<y, M x>=0$ implying that $\dot{f}_{i}(y ; x)=\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) y+\alpha_{i} T y+\alpha_{i} \lambda M y$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle y, \dot{f}_{i}(y ; x)\right\rangle & =\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)\|Y\|^{2}+\alpha_{i}<(T+\lambda M) y, y>  \tag{3.38}\\
& =\|y\|^{2}+\alpha_{i}\left(\lambda-\sigma_{0}^{-1}\right)<y, M y>.
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\|T\|<1$, (3.36) implies that $<y, M y \gg 0$ hence $<y, \dot{f}_{i}(y ; x)>\geq\|y\|^{2}$ which proved part (iii).
iv) Suppose $i \geq 1, \quad x \in S$ and $\dot{f}_{i}\left(y_{n} ; x\right)=\gamma_{n} y_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots$ where $y_{n} \in S$, $<y_{n}, y_{m}>=\delta_{n m}$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{n}}>1-\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}(1+\|\mathrm{T}\|)+\varepsilon$.

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) y_{n}+\alpha_{i}\left(T y_{n}+\lambda M y_{n}-\lambda^{3}<x, M y_{n}>M x\right)=\gamma_{n} y_{n} \quad n \geq 1 \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\langle x, M x\rangle^{-1 / 2}$. Now $\dot{f}_{i}$ is bounded and $M$ is compact hence, after passing if necessary to a subsequence, $\gamma_{n} \rightarrow \gamma \geq \alpha_{i}+\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)\|T\|+\varepsilon$ and $M y_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{S}$, say. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=\left[\gamma_{n}-\alpha_{i} 1-\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) T\right]^{-1}\left(\lambda M y_{n}-\lambda^{3}<x, M y_{n}>M x\right)  \tag{3.39}\\
& \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow}\left[\gamma-\alpha_{i}-\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) T\right)^{-1}\left(\lambda y-\lambda^{3}<x, y>M x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

contradicting the assumption $\left\|y_{n}-y_{m}\right\|=2 \delta_{n m}$.

Let $\psi(x)$ denote the linear space spaned by the eigenvector of $\dot{f}_{i}(\cdot ; x), x \in S$ with eigenvalues greater than 1. (Note that $\psi(x)$ is independent of $\alpha_{i}$ ). For any vector $\mathrm{e} \neq 0$ and linear spaces $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, k\left(e, \psi_{1}\right)$ is the arccos of the norm of the orthogonal projection of $\mathrm{e} /\|\mathrm{e}\|$ on $\psi,\left(K\left(e, \psi_{1}\right) \in[0, \pi / 2]\right)$ and $K\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=\max \left\{K\left(e, \psi_{1}\right)\right.$, $\left.e \in \psi_{2},\|e\|=1\right\}$. Recall that by Lemma $4 \quad x_{i} \rightarrow x_{\infty}=\left(T+\lambda_{\infty} M\right) X_{\infty}$ and $u_{i}=(I-T)^{1 / 2} x_{i} \rightarrow u_{\infty}=\lambda_{\infty} Q u_{\infty}$.
Lemma 6. Suppose that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{\infty}=\left(\mathrm{T}+\sigma_{\infty}^{-1} \mathrm{M}\right) \mathrm{x}_{\infty}$ where $\sigma_{\infty}<\sigma_{0}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{m}^{\prime}=x_{m}+e_{m} \\
& x_{i+1}=f_{i}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right), e_{i+1}=x_{i+1}^{\prime}-x_{i+1} \quad i>m
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there are $\varepsilon>0$ and $\bar{\theta}>0$ such that $u_{i}^{\prime}=(I-T)^{1 / 2} x_{i}{ }^{\prime} \rightarrow u_{\infty}{ }^{\prime}=\lambda_{\infty}{ }^{\prime} Q u_{\infty}$, $\lambda_{\infty}{ }^{\prime} \neq \sigma_{\infty}^{-1}$ whenever $\left|\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}-1+\sigma_{\infty}\right|<\varepsilon, 0<\left\|\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\|<\varepsilon$ and $k\left\{\mathrm{e}, \psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{\infty}\right)\right\}<\bar{\theta}$.
Proof.
Suppose $\quad \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}-1+\sigma_{\infty}<\varepsilon, \quad 0<\left\|\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}\right\|<\varepsilon, \quad \neq\left(\mathrm{e}, \psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{\infty}\right) \leq \bar{\theta} \quad\right.$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}-\sigma_{\infty}^{-1} \mathrm{M}\right)$. We will show that if $\bar{\theta}>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ are small enough this is contradiction.

In the following $\mathbf{C}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are appropriate constants which depends on $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ only through $\sigma_{\infty}$.

Let $c_{i}^{\prime}=\left\|u_{i}^{\prime}-\right\| P_{0} u_{i}^{\prime}\left\|^{-1} P_{0} u_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}, i \geq m$. Then $\left|c_{m}^{\prime}-c_{m}\right| \leq C_{1} \varepsilon$ for some $C_{1}>0$. Lemma 4(iii) implies therefore that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{i}^{\prime}-u_{i}\right\| & \leq\left\|u_{i}-u_{m}\right\|+\left\|u_{i}^{\prime}-u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|u_{m}-u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|  \tag{3.40}\\
& \leq C_{2} \varepsilon \quad i \geq m
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $\mathbf{C}_{2}$.

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{i}\right\|=\left\|(I-T)^{-1 / 2}\left(u_{i}^{\prime}-u_{i}\right)\right\| \leq C_{3} \varepsilon \quad i \geq M . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{\infty}{ }^{\prime}$, say, again by Lemma 4(iii). Therefore:

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{i+1} & =f_{i}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)-f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)  \tag{3.42}\\
& =\dot{f}_{i}\left(e_{i} ; x_{i}^{*}\right) \quad x_{i}^{*}=x_{i}+\beta_{i} e_{i}, \quad \beta_{i} \in[0,1] \\
& =\dot{f}_{i}\left(e_{i} ; x_{\infty}^{*}\right)+\Delta_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \quad i \geq m
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{\infty}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{m}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{m}+1}, \ldots$ are self adjoint operator such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right\| \leq \mathrm{C}_{4} \varepsilon \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{i} \geq \mathrm{m} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\gamma>0$ be such that $\dot{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\cdot ; \mathrm{x}_{\infty}\right)$ does not have any eigenvalue in $(1,1+3 \gamma)$. The existence of such $\gamma$ is ensured by Lemma 5(iv). Now, Lemma 5(iii) ensures that $\dot{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\cdot, \mathrm{x}_{\infty}\right)$ has p (including multiplicities) eigenvalues greater than $1+2 \gamma(1 \leq \mathrm{p}<\infty)$. If $\left\|\dot{f}_{i}\left(\cdot ; x_{i}^{*}\right)-\dot{f}_{i}\left(\cdot, x_{\infty}\right)\right\|<\gamma$ then $\dot{f}_{i}\left(\cdot, x_{i}^{*}\right)$ has exactly $p$ eigenvalues greater than $(1+2 \gamma)$ and none in $\left(1+\gamma, 1+2 \gamma\right.$ ). Let $\theta_{i}=\nless\left(e_{i}, \psi\left(x_{i}^{*}\right)\right), \delta_{i}=\nless\left\{\psi\left(x_{i}^{*}\right), \psi\left(x_{i+1}^{*}\right)\right\}$ and $\xi_{i}=k\left\{e_{i+1}, \psi\left(x_{i}^{*}\right)\right\} i \geq M$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left(\delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \leq \frac{\left\|\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}-\Delta_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right\|}{\gamma} \quad \mathrm{i} \geq \mathrm{M} . \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Davis and Kahan (1970).
Now if $\left(\left\|\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right\|+\left\|\Delta_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right\|\right) / \gamma \leq 1 / 2$ then (3.44) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{i} & \leq 2 \sin \delta_{i}  \tag{3.45}\\
& \leq 2 C_{4} \varepsilon b^{i-m} \quad i \geq m
\end{align*}
$$

(the last inequality follows (3.43)). Clearly $\xi_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \theta_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i} \geq \mathrm{m}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{i+1} & \leq \xi_{i}+\delta_{i}  \tag{3.46}\\
& \leq \theta_{i}+\delta_{i} \\
& \leq \theta_{m}+\sum_{j=m}^{i} \delta_{j} \quad i \geq m
\end{align*}
$$

So, (3.45) and (3.46) can be combined to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i} \leq \theta_{m}+2 C_{4}(1-b)^{-1} \varepsilon \quad i \geq m . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}, \psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{\infty}\right)\right)<\bar{\theta}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\theta_{\mathrm{m}} \leq \bar{\theta}+k \psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{\infty}\right), \psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{\infty}^{*}\right)\right\} \leq \bar{\theta}+\mathbf{C}_{5} \varepsilon . \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose now $\bar{\theta}$ and $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+2 \gamma) \cos \left\{\bar{\theta}+2 \mathrm{C}_{4} \varepsilon(1-\mathrm{b})^{-1}+\mathrm{C}_{5} \varepsilon\right\}>1 \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{i+1}\right\| \geq(1+2 \gamma)\left\|e_{i}\right\| \cos \theta_{i} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

contradicting (3.41).

## Lemma 7.

i) Suppose $u_{i} \rightarrow u_{\infty}=\sigma_{\infty}^{-1} Q u_{\infty}$ and $\sigma_{0}<\sigma_{\infty}$. Then for any open ball $B_{0}$ such that $u_{1} \in B_{0}$ there is an open ball $B_{1} \subseteq B_{0}$ such that the algorithm starting at any $u_{1}{ }^{\prime} \in B_{1}$ converges to $\mathrm{N}\left(\sigma_{0} \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{q}\right)$.
ii) If $u_{i} \rightarrow N\left(\sigma_{0} I-Q\right)$ then there is an open ball $B_{2}, u_{1} \in B_{2}$ and the algorithm starting at any point of $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ converges to $\mathrm{N}\left(\sigma_{0} \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Q}\right)$.

## Proof.

Let $\quad \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{u})=\left(1-\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{u}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Tu}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\left\|\mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{u}\right\|^{-1}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}) \mathrm{Qu} \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots$ and let $g_{1, \mathrm{~m}}=g_{m-1} \circ g_{m-2} \circ \cdots \circ g_{1}$. Then $u_{m}=g_{1, m}\left(u_{1}\right)$. By Lemma $5 g_{1 m}$ and its inverse have continuous bounded derivatives, hence both take open sets to open sets. But by Lemma 6 , for m large enough, $g_{1 m}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{0}\right)$ has an open subset such that for any point in the later the algorithm does not converge to $N\left(I-\sigma_{\infty}^{-1} Q\right) . g_{1 m}^{-1}$ maps this open set to an open set $\mathrm{B}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ included in $\mathrm{B}_{0}$. Since $\tilde{\sigma}: \sigma(Q) \cap\left\{\sigma: \sigma>1-\left\|u_{1}-\right\| P_{0} u_{1}\left\|^{-1} P_{0} u_{1}\right\|^{2}\right\}$ is a finite set by taking $B_{1}^{\prime}$ small enough we ensure that the algorithm starting at points in $B_{1}$ converge to k $\bigcup_{j=0} N\left(\sigma_{i} I-Q\right)$ for finite $k$. Repeating the above argument $k$ times results in an open set $B_{1} \subseteq B_{0}$ such that the algorithm starting at points of $B_{1}$ converges to $N\left(\sigma_{0} I-Q\right)$.
ii) If $u_{i} \rightarrow N\left(\sigma_{0} I-Q\right)$, then $c_{i} \rightarrow 1-\sigma_{0}$ and for some $m, c_{m}<1-\sigma_{I}$ where $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1}=\cdots=\sigma_{\mathrm{I}-1}>\sigma_{\mathrm{I}}$. Now take $\mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime}$ open such that $\|\mathrm{u}-\| \mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{u}\left\|^{-1} \mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{u}\right\|^{2}<1-\sigma_{\mathrm{I}}$ for all $u \in B_{2}^{\prime}$ and $u_{m} \in B_{2}^{\prime}$. Let $B_{2}=g_{1 m}^{-1} B_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $B_{2}$ is open, $u_{1} \in B_{2}$, and the algorithm, starting at points of $B_{2}$, converges to $N\left(\sigma_{0} I-Q\right)$.
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